

To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
ALBANY COUNTY			
ALBANY CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged BERNE-KNOX-WESTERLO CSD	712 315 397	354 155 199	49.7% 49.2% 50.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged BETHLEHEM CSD	97 25 72	83 17 66	85.6% 68.0% 91.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	449 18 431	419 17 402	93.3% 94.4% 93.3%
RAVENA-COEYMANS-SELKIRK CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	180 44 136	137 32 105	76.1% 72.7% 77.2%
COHOES CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	184 83 101	127 59 68	69.0% 71.1% 67.3%
SOUTH COLONIE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	483 59 424	423 46 377	87.6% 78.0% 88.9%
NORTH COLONIE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	504 37 467	450 25 425	89.3% 67.6% 91.0%
GREEN ISLAND UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged GUILDERLAND CSD	34 14 20	28 11 17	82.4% 78.6% 85.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged VOORHEESVILLE CSD	481 19 462	434 15 419	90.2% 79.0% 90.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	92 6 86	90 6 84	97.8% 100.0% 97.7%
WATERVLIET CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	98 55 43	76 41 35	77.6% 74.6% 81.4%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
ALLEGANY COUNTY			
ALFRED-ALMOND CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	50 13 37	44 9 35	88.0% 69.2% 94.6%
ANDOVER CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged GENESEE VALLEY CSD	34 14 20	30 11 19	88.2% 78.6% 95.0%
All Students	56	46	82.1%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	12 44	11 35	91.7% 79.6%
BELFAST CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	28 11 17	25 9 16	89.3% 81.8% 94.1%
CANASERAGA CSD			0
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	21 8 13	17 7 10	81.0% 87.5% 76.9%
FRIENDSHIP CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	29 15 14	24 13 11	82.8% 86.7% 78.6%
FILLMORE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	57 19 38	50 17 33	87.7% 89.5% 86.8%
WHITESVILLE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged CUBA-RUSHFORD CSD	30 18 12	22 12 10	73.3% 66.7% 83.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SCIO CSD	75 24 51	51 16 35	68.0% 66.7% 68.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WELLSVILLE CSD	36 16 20	27 13 14	75.0% 81.3% 70.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	133 43 90	108 28 80	81.2% 65.1% 88.9%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County	Count	Students who Graduated with	
District Name	of Cohort	Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count %	
ALLEGANY COUNTY			
BOLIVAR-RICHBURG CSD			
All Students	69	57	82.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	20	90.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	47	37	78.7%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
BROOME COUNTY			
CHENANGO FORKS CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	146 35 111	126 29 97	86.3% 82.9% 87.4%
BINGHAMTON CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	442 154 288	293 96 197	66.3% 62.3% 68.4%
HARPURSVILLE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	71 35 36	49 24 25	69.0% 68.6% 69.4%
SUSQUEHANNA VALLEY CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	180 36 144	152 30 122	84.4% 83.3% 84.7%
CHENANGO VALLEY CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	180 45 135	167 39 128	92.8% 86.7% 94.8%
MAINE-ENDWELL CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	213 25 188	183 25 158	85.9% 100.0% 84.0%
DEPOSIT CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	48 23 25	41 18 23	85.4% 78.3% 92.0%
WHITNEY POINT CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged UNION-ENDICOTT CSD	130 51 79	101 37 64	77.7% 72.6% 81.0%
All Students	361	281	77.8%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	99 262	64 217	64.7% 82.8%
JOHNSON CITY CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	219 88 131	174 66 108	79.5% 75.0% 82.4%
VESTAL CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	350 41 309	322 34 288	92.0% 82.9% 93.2%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County	Count	Students who Graduated with	
District Name	of Cohort	Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count %	
BROOME COUNTY			
WINDSOR CSD			
All Students	183	154	84.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	50	39	78.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	133	115	86.5%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
CATTARAUGUS COUNTY			
WEST VALLEY CSD			
All Students	50	43	86.0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	3 47	#	#
	47	#	#
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	101 16	86 11	85.2% 68.8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	85	75	88.2%
ELLICOTTVILLE CSD			
All Students	55	45	81.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	9	75.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	36	83.7%
FRANKLINVILLE CSD			
All Students	76	50	65.8%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	35 41	21 29	60.0% 70.7%
HINSDALE CSD	41	29	70.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	35 5	25 3	71.4% 60.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	22	73.3%
CATTARAUGUS-LITTLE VALLEY CSD			
All Students	88	61	69.3%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	16	61.5%
OLEAN CITY SD	62	45	72.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	209 74	139 43	66.5% 58.1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	135	96	71.1%
GOWANDA CSD			
All Students	123	89	72.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	30	73.2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	82	59	72.0%
PORTVILLE CSD			
All Students	76	66	86.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	11	78.6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	62	55	88.7%
RANDOLPH CSD			
All Students	79	65	82.3%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	34 45	31 34	91.2% 75.6%
RANDOLPH ACAD UFSD		. .	, .
	F	1	20.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	5 1	1 #	20.0% #
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
CATTARAUGUS COUNTY			
SALAMANCA CITY SD			
All Students	88	61	69.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	26	56.5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	35	83.3%
YORKSHIRE-PIONEER CSD			
All Students	215	166	77.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	63	82.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	139	103	74.1%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
CAYUGA COUNTY			
AUBURN CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WEEDSPORT CSD	441 112 329	303 58 245	68.7% 51.8% 74.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	84 15 69	72 13 59	85.7% 86.7% 85.5%
CATO-MERIDIAN CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	89 20 69	63 13 50	70.8% 65.0% 72.5%
SOUTHERN CAYUGA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	80 17 63	62 12 50	77.5% 70.6% 79.4%
PORT BYRON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged MORAVIA CSD	107 23 84	71 13 58	66.4% 56.5% 69.1%
All Students	96	74	77.1%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged UNION SPRINGS CSD	90 29 67	22 52	75.9% 77.6%
	100	0.4	04.00/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	100 17 83	84 11 73	84.0% 64.7% 88.0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY			
SOUTHWESTERN CSD AT JAMESTOWN			
All Students	133	119	89.5%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	20 113	17 102	85.0% 90.3%
FREWSBURG CSD			001070
All Students	88	76	86.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	16	76.2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged CASSADAGA VALLEY CSD	67	60	89.6%
	102	70	76.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	103 28	79 18	64.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	61	81.3%
CHAUTAUQUA LAKE CSD			
All Students	81	67	82.7%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	14 67	10 57	71.4% 85.1%
PINE VALLEY CSD (SOUTH DAYTON)			
All Students	52	45	86.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	18	81.8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged CLYMER CSD	30	27	90.0%
	22	20	00.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	33 16	30 14	90.9% 87.5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	16	94.1%
DUNKIRK CITY SD			
All Students	187 70	139 51	74.3% 72.9%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	117	88	75.2%
BEMUS POINT CSD			
All Students	63	61	96.8%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	4 59	# #	# #
FALCONER CSD	35	π	π
All Students	114	93	81.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	26	72.2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	78	67	85.9%
SILVER CREEK CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	98 28	78 24	79.6% 85.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	70	54	77.1%
FORESTVILLE CSD			
All Students	46	39	84.8%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	14 32	11 28	78.6% 87.5%
the Loonennean, Diodutanagoa	02	_0	0.1070

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY			
PANAMA CSD			
PANAMA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	54 11 43	48 8 40	88.9% 72.7% 93.0%
JAMESTOWN CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	412 211 201	296 140 156	71.9% 66.4% 77.6%
FREDONIA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged BROCTON CSD	174 33 141	154 27 127	88.5% 81.8% 90.1%
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged RIPLEY CSD	64 64	52 52	81.3% 81.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SHERMAN CSD	46 30 16	33 19 14	71.7% 63.3% 87.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WESTFIELD CSD	44 17 27	40 17 23	90.9% 100.0% 85.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	67 11 56	51 8 43	76.1% 72.7% 76.8%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
CHEMUNG COUNTY			
ELMIRA CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged HORSEHEADS CSD	579 213 366	385 142 243	66.5% 66.7% 66.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged ELMIRA HEIGHTS CSD	357 46 311	288 41 247	80.7% 89.1% 79.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	91 22 69	72 18 54	79.1% 81.8% 78.3%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
CHENANGO COUNTY			
AFTON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged BAINBRIDGE-GUILFORD CSD	58 26 32	43 17 26	74.1% 65.4% 81.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged GREENE CSD	76 29 47	63 19 44	82.9% 65.5% 93.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged UNADILLA VALLEY CSD	112 41 71	98 37 61	87.5% 90.2% 85.9%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	90 42 48	74 32 42	82.2% 76.2% 87.5%
NORWICH CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged GEORGETOWN-SOUTH OTSELIC CSD	152 55 97	119 37 82	78.3% 67.3% 84.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged OXFORD ACADEMY & CSD	23 5 18	19 3 16	82.6% 60.0% 88.9%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SHERBURNE-EARLVILLE CSD	91 31 60	81 29 52	89.0% 93.6% 86.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	154 60 94	119 43 76	77.3% 71.7% 80.9%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
CLINTON COUNTY			
AUSABLE VALLEY CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged BEEKMANTOWN CSD	120 23 97	97 17 80	80.8% 73.9% 82.5%
All Students	199	162	81.4%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	64 135	50 112	78.1% 83.0%
NORTHEASTERN CLINTON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	146 41 105	114 30 84	78.1% 73.2% 80.0%
CHAZY UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	39 7 32	30 4 26	76.9% 57.1% 81.3%
NORTHERN ADIRONDACK CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged PERU CSD	85 34 51	63 22 41	74.1% 64.7% 80.4%
All Students	200	155	77.5%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged PLATTSBURGH CITY SD	66 134	41 114	62.1% 85.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SARANAC CSD	167 55 112	123 33 90	73.7% 60.0% 80.4%
	407	140	81.8%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	137 19 118	112 15 97	81.8% 79.0% 82.2%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
COLUMBIA COUNTY			
BERKSHIRE UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	13 13	2 2	15.4% 15.4%
TACONIC HILLS CSD All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged GERMANTOWN CSD	157 40 117	127 30 97	80.9% 75.0% 82.9%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged CHATHAM CSD	58 12 46	47 10 37	81.0% 83.3% 80.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged HUDSON CITY SD	112 20 92	99 16 83	88.4% 80.0% 90.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged KINDERHOOK CSD	185 80 105	116 44 72	62.7% 55.0% 68.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NEW LEBANON CSD	184 22 162	152 16 136	82.6% 72.7% 84.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	53 10 43	43 6 37	81.1% 60.0% 86.1%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
CORTLAND COUNTY			
CINCINNATUS CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged CORTLAND CITY SD	60 17 43	46 14 32	76.7% 82.4% 74.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged MCGRAW CSD	230 44 186	164 28 136	71.3% 63.6% 73.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged HOMER CSD	52 15 37	36 7 29	69.2% 46.7% 78.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged MARATHON CSD	192 37 155	153 24 129	79.7% 64.9% 83.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	89 19 70	74 17 57	83.2% 89.5% 81.4%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
DELAWARE COUNTY			
ANDES CSD			
All Students	15	11	73.3%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	4 11	#	# #
DOWNSVILLE CSD	11	#	#
	20	07	00.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	29 11	27 11	93.1% 100.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	16	88.9%
CHARLOTTE VALLEY CSD			
All Students	37	30	81.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	18	90.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	12	70.6%
DELHI CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	96 30	78 20	81.3% 66.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	66	20 58	87.9%
FRANKLIN CSD			
All Students	20	17	85.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	8	88.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	9	81.8%
HANCOCK CSD			
All Students	59	50	84.8%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	21 38	16 34	76.2% 89.5%
MARGARETVILLE CSD		01	00.070
All Students	40	29	72.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	8	61.5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	21	77.8%
ROXBURY CSD			
All Students	24	21	87.5%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	7 17	4 17	57.1% 100.0%
SIDNEY CSD	17	17	100.0%
	407	05	70 40/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	107 63	85 46	79.4% 73.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	44	39	88.6%
STAMFORD CSD			
All Students	34	28	82.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	8	80.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	20	83.3%
SOUTH KORTRIGHT CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	23 8	22 8	95.7% 100.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	o 15	0 14	93.3%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County	Count	Students who Graduated with	
District Name	of Cohort	Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count %	
DELAWARE COUNTY			
WALTON CSD			
All Students	104	91	87.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	40	88.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	59	51	86.4%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
DUTCHESS COUNTY			
BEACON CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	321 95 226	234 61 173	72.9% 64.2% 76.6%
DOVER UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	148 31 117	110 22 88	74.3% 71.0% 75.2%
HYDE PARK CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	376 72 304	285 42 243	75.8% 58.3% 79.9%
NORTHEAST CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	55 16 39	41 13 28	74.6% 81.3% 71.8%
PAWLING CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	133 16 117	121 12 109	91.0% 75.0% 93.2%
PINE PLAINS CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	111 22 89	79 11 68	71.2% 50.0% 76.4%
POUGHKEEPSIE CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged ARLINGTON CSD	344 223 121	190 126 64	55.2% 56.5% 52.9%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SPACKENKILL UFSD	890 46 844	747 31 716	83.9% 67.4% 84.8%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged RED HOOK CSD	168 16 152	148 11 137	88.1% 68.8% 90.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged RHINEBECK CSD	195 11 184	161 5 156	82.6% 45.5% 84.8%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	113 7 106	100 7 93	88.5% 100.0% 87.7%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	Students who Graduated witi Regents or Local Diplomas Count %	
DUTCHESS COUNTY			
WAPPINGERS CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged MILLBROOK CSD	1102 63 1039	893 41 852	81.0% 65.1% 82.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	109 8 101	100 6 94	91.7% 75.0% 93.1%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
ERIE COUNTY	Members	ooun	70
ALDEN CSD			
	400	470	04 50/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	182 16	172 13	94.5% 81.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	166	159	95.8%
AMHERST CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	251 37	223 24	88.9% 64.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	214	199	93.0%
WILLIAMSVILLE CSD			
All Students	878	812	92.5%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	67 811	59 753	88.1% 92.9%
SWEET HOME CSD			
All Students	329	294	89.4%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	97 232	82 212	84.5% 91.4%
EAST AURORA UFSD	202	212	011170
All Students	186	175	94.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	12	75.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged BUFFALO CITY SD	170	163	95.9%
All Students	3541	1736	49.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2377	1220	51.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1164	516	44.3%
CHEEKTOWAGA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	231 85	190 65	82.3% 76.5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	146	125	85.6%
CHEEKTOWAGA-MARYVALE UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	207 57	179 47	86.5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	150	132	82.5% 88.0%
CLEVELAND HILL UFSD			
All Students	114	102	89.5%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	41 73	37 65	90.2% 89.0%
DEPEW UFSD			
All Students	191	157	82.2%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	50 141	42 115	84.0% 81.6%
CHEEKTOWAGA-SLOAN UFSD	141	110	01.070
All Students	112	91	81.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	28	84.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	79	63	79.8%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
ERIE COUNTY			
CLARENCE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	438 27 411	418 22 396	95.4% 81.5% 96.4%
SPRINGVILLE-GRIFFITH INST CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	185 28 157	153 20 133	82.7% 71.4% 84.7%
EDEN CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	141 11 130	133 11 122	94.3% 100.0% 93.9%
IROQUOIS CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	273 26 247	262 20 242	96.0% 76.9% 98.0%
EVANS-BRANT CSD (LAKE SHORE)			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	287 90 197	211 50 161	73.5% 55.6% 81.7%
GRAND ISLAND CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged HAMBURG CSD	293 52 241	264 46 218	90.1% 88.5% 90.5%
All Students	357	311	87.1%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	33 324	26 285	78.8% 88.0%
	_	_	
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged FRONTIER CSD	5 5	0 0	0.0% 0.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged HOLLAND CSD	434 100 334	376 82 294	86.6% 82.0% 88.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	98 23 75	90 20 70	91.8% 87.0% 93.3%
LACKAWANNA CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	194 138 56	114 76 38	58.8% 55.1% 67.9%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
ERIE COUNTY			
LANCASTER CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged AKRON CSD	531 76 455	493 69 424	92.8% 90.8% 93.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	115 18 97	103 15 88	89.6% 83.3% 90.7%
NORTH COLLINS CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	67 15 52	60 14 46	89.6% 93.3% 88.5%
ORCHARD PARK CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	473 26 447	450 25 425	95.1% 96.2% 95.1%
TONAWANDA CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged KENMORE-TONAWANDA UFSD	176 37 139	138 31 107	78.4% 83.8% 77.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WEST SENECA CSD	738 192 546	561 134 427	76.0% 69.8% 78.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	668 152 516	569 125 444	85.2% 82.2% 86.1%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Regents or L	Graduated with ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
ESSEX COUNTY			
CROWN POINT CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged ELIZABETHTOWN-LEWIS CSD	27 14 13	19 8 11	70.4% 57.1% 84.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged KEENE CSD	31 7 24	22 4 18	71.0% 57.1% 75.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged MINERVA CSD	16 8 8	14 7 7	87.5% 87.5% 87.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	11 4 7	10 # #	90.9% # #
MORIAH CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	49 19 30	43 16 27	87.8% 84.2% 90.0%
NEWCOMB CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	7 1 6	6 # #	85.7% # #
LAKE PLACID CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	52 5 47	45 3 42	86.5% 60.0% 89.4%
SCHROON LAKE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged TICONDEROGA CSD	24 11 13	18 7 11	75.0% 63.6% 84.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WESTPORT CSD	74 27 47	56 20 36	75.7% 74.1% 76.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	26 9	24 7	92.3% 77.8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged WILLSBORO CSD	17	17	100.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	24 7 17	19 6 13	79.2% 85.7% 76.5%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
FRANKLIN COUNTY			
TUPPER LAKE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged CHATEAUGAY CSD	86 25 61	69 15 54	80.2% 60.0% 88.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	61 17 44	53 12 41	86.9% 70.6% 93.2%
SALMON RIVER CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	127 80 47	79 48 31	62.2% 60.0% 66.0%
SARANAC LAKE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	133 29 104	115 19 96	86.5% 65.5% 92.3%
MALONE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged BRUSHTON-MOIRA CSD	218 89 129	148 43 105	67.9% 48.3% 81.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged ST REGIS FALLS CSD	62 29 33	45 20 25	72.6% 69.0% 75.8%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	22 10 12	16 7 9	72.7% 70.0% 75.0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group FULTON COUNTY	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
FUETON COUNTY			
GLOVERSVILLE CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged JOHNSTOWN CITY SD	262 122 140	166 62 104	63.4% 50.8% 74.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	183 56 127	137 36 101	74.9% 64.3% 79.5%
MAYFIELD CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	97 26 71	80 20 60	82.5% 76.9% 84.5%
NORTHVILLE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	48 20 28	36 16 20	75.0% 80.0% 71.4%
OPPENHEIM-EPHRATAH CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged BROADALBIN-PERTH CSD	34 10 24	27 8 19	79.4% 80.0% 79.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	183 42 141	161 29 132	88.0% 69.1% 93.6%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	•••••	Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
GENESEE COUNTY			
ALEXANDER CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged BATAVIA CITY SD	102 13 89	77 6 71	75.5% 46.2% 79.8%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged BYRON-BERGEN CSD	217 77 140	169 50 119	77.9% 64.9% 85.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged ELBA CSD	106 22 84	96 21 75	90.6% 95.5% 89.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged LE ROY CSD	49 9 40	47 8 39	95.9% 88.9% 97.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged OAKFIELD-ALABAMA CSD	115 21 94	97 14 83	84.4% 66.7% 88.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged PAVILION CSD	108 29 79	80 16 64	74.1% 55.2% 81.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged PEMBROKE CSD	85 20 65	74 15 59	87.1% 75.0% 90.8%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	104 21 83	92 17 75	88.5% 81.0% 90.4%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group GREENE COUNTY	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
CAIRO-DURHAM CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged CATSKILL CSD	138 47 91	104 30 74	75.4% 63.8% 81.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	132 42 90	101 29 72	76.5% 69.1% 80.0%
COXSACKIE-ATHENS CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	125 19 106	98 12 86	78.4% 63.2% 81.1%
GREENVILLE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	116 26 90	105 24 81	90.5% 92.3% 90.0%
HUNTER-TANNERSVILLE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WINDHAM-ASHLAND-JEWETT CSD	49 18 31	42 14 28	85.7% 77.8% 90.3%
All Students	34	25	73.5%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	6 28	2 2 23	33.3% 82.1%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



н

Public School District Total Cohort Graduation Rate -ARRA Reporting

To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
HAMILTON COUNTY			
INDIAN LAKE CSD			
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged LAKE PLEASANT CSD	19 19	17 17	89.5% 89.5%
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged	1 1	#	#
LONG LAKE CSD			
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged WELLS CSD	8 8	7 7	87.5% 87.5%
All Students	18	18	100.0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	3 15	#	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
HERKIMER COUNTY			
WEST CANADA VALLEY CSD			
All Students	71	62	87.3%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	22 49	19 43	86.4% 87.8%
FRANKFORT-SCHUYLER CSD	45	45	07.070
All Students	103	70	75 70/
Economically Disadvantaged	27	78 20	75.7% 74.1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	76	58	76.3%
ILION CSD			
All Students	143	116	81.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	44	34	77.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	99	82	82.8%
MOHAWK CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	75 15	59 10	78.7% 66.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	49	81.7%
HERKIMER CSD			
All Students	130	89	68.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	18	66.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	103	71	68.9%
LITTLE FALLS CITY SD			
All Students	90	68	75.6%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	36 54	22 46	61.1% 85.2%
DOLGEVILLE CSD	01	10	00.270
All Students	82	69	84.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	26	81.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	50	43	86.0%
POLAND CSD			
All Students	54	45	83.3%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	17 37	14 31	82.4% 83.8%
VAN HORNESVILLE-OWEN D YOUNG CSD	57	51	03.0%
All Students	22	18	81.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	5	71.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	13	86.7%
TOWN OF WEBB UFSD			
All Students	23	23	100.0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	8	100.0%
	15	15	100.0%
		<i>c</i> -	
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	118 38	96 26	81.4% 68.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	80	70	87.5%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
JEFFERSON COUNTY			
SOUTH JEFFERSON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	174 45 129	134 30 104	77.0% 66.7% 80.6%
ALEXANDRIA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	56 2 54	51 # #	91.1% # #
INDIAN RIVER CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	231 71 160	205 62 143	88.8% 87.3% 89.4%
GENERAL BROWN CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	126 29 97	111 24 87	88.1% 82.8% 89.7%
THOUSAND ISLANDS CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	92 20 72	78 14 64	84.8% 70.0% 88.9%
BELLEVILLE HENDERSON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	56 22 34	51 20 31	91.1% 90.9% 91.2%
SACKETS HARBOR CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	37 6 31	32 4 28	86.5% 66.7% 90.3%
LYME CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	28 14 14	25 12 13	89.3% 85.7% 92.9%
LA FARGEVILLE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	48 20 28	33 14 19	68.8% 70.0% 67.9%
WATERTOWN CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	321 92 229	220 54 166	68.5% 58.7% 72.5%
CARTHAGE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	245 89 156	179 59 120	73.1% 66.3% 76.9%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
LEWIS COUNTY			
COPENHAGEN CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged HARRISVILLE CSD	44 13 31	40 11 29	90.9% 84.6% 93.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged LOWVILLE ACADEMY & CSD	39 18 21	29 12 17	74.4% 66.7% 81.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SOUTH LEWIS CSD	98 36 62	84 31 53	85.7% 86.1% 85.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged BEAVER RIVER CSD	96 36 60	75 25 50	78.1% 69.4% 83.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	81 25 56	72 21 51	88.9% 84.0% 91.1%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group LIVINGSTON COUNTY	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with Local Diplomas %
AVON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged CALEDONIA-MUMFORD CSD	88 19 69	81 16 65	92.1% 84.2% 94.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged GENESEO CSD	84 15 69	84 15 69	100.0% 100.0% 100.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged LIVONIA CSD	78 11 67	63 6 57	80.8% 54.6% 85.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	178 32 146	146 20 126	82.0% 62.5% 86.3%
MT MORRIS CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged DANSVILLE CSD	51 21 30	39 20 19	76.5% 95.2% 63.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged DALTON-NUNDA CSD (KESHEQUA)	144 43 101	104 31 73	72.2% 72.1% 72.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged YORK CSD	66 15 51	54 15 39	81.8% 100.0% 76.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	77 19 58	69 17 52	89.6% 89.5% 89.7%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Regents or L	Graduated with ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
MADISON COUNTY			
BROOKFIELD CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	21 7 14	20 7 13	95.2% 100.0% 92.9%
CAZENOVIA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	137 17 120	124 11 113	90.5% 64.7% 94.2%
DERUYTER CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	42 13 29	32 11 21	76.2% 84.6% 72.4%
MORRISVILLE-EATON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	71 29 42	55 18 37	77.5% 62.1% 88.1%
HAMILTON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	51 9 42	47 8 39	92.2% 88.9% 92.9%
CANASTOTA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	127 32 95	97 22 75	76.4% 68.8% 79.0%
MADISON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	42 17 25	34 15 19	81.0% 88.2% 76.0%
ONEIDA CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	212 57 155	172 42 130	81.1% 73.7% 83.9%
STOCKBRIDGE VALLEY CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	43 15 28	40 13 27	93.0% 86.7% 96.4%
CHITTENANGO CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	202 57 145	165 43 122	81.7% 75.4% 84.1%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
MONROE COUNTY			
BRIGHTON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	279 31	256 28	91.8% 90.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged GATES-CHILI CSD	248	228	91.9%
All Students	423	351	83.0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	100 323	85 266	85.0% 82.4%
GREECE CSD			
All Students	1124	946	84.2%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	335 789	271 675	80.9% 85.6%
EAST IRONDEQUOIT CSD	100	0/0	00.070
All Students	300	261	87.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	100	86	86.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged WEST IRONDEQUOIT CSD	200	175	87.5%
All Students	349	313	89.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	49	75.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	284	264	93.0%
HONEOYE FALLS-LIMA CSD			
All Students	246	234	95.1%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	24 222	20 214	83.3% 96.4%
SPENCERPORT CSD			001170
All Students	422	363	86.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	46	73.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged HILTON CSD	359	317	88.3%
All Students	440	373	89.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	418 75	57	76.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	343	316	92.1%
PENFIELD CSD			
All Students	423	393	92.9%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	55 368	45 348	81.8% 94.6%
FAIRPORT CSD	500	540	94.078
	004	500	04.004
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	604 51	569 42	94.2% 82.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	553	527	95.3%
EAST ROCHESTER UFSD			
All Students	104	83	79.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	20	69.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	63	84.0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Regents or L	Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
MONROE COUNTY			
PITTSFORD CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged CHURCHVILLE-CHILI CSD	531 18 513	514 15 499	96.8% 83.3% 97.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	385 61 324	343 54 289	89.1% 88.5% 89.2%
ROCHESTER CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	2737 1831 906	1359 1032 327	49.7% 56.4% 36.1%
RUSH-HENRIETTA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	505 131 374	454 119 335	89.9% 90.8% 89.6%
BROCKPORT CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WEBSTER CSD	366 131 235	315 101 214	86.1% 77.1% 91.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WHEATLAND-CHILI CSD	767 52 715	700 42 658	91.3% 80.8% 92.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	64 9 55	56 7 49	87.5% 77.8% 89.1%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



Public School District Total Cohort Graduation Rate -ARRA Reporting

To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Regents or L	Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
MONTGOMERY COUNTY			
AMSTERDAM CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged CANAJOHARIE CSD	326 107 219	196 44 152	60.1% 41.1% 69.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	86 34 52	70 26 44	81.4% 76.5% 84.6%
FONDA-FULTONVILLE CSD All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	135 36 99	116 24 92	85.9% 66.7% 92.9%
FORT PLAIN CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	78 37 41	67 30 37	85.9% 81.1% 90.2%
ST JOHNSVILLE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	40 19 21	26 10 16	65.0% 52.6% 76.2%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
NASSAU COUNTY			
GLEN COVE CITY SD			
All Students	236	192	81.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	85	65	76.5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged HEMPSTEAD UFSD	151	127	84.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	403 210	205 130	50.9% 61.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	193	75	38.9%
UNIONDALE UFSD			
All Students	488	387	79.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	220	187	85.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	268	200	74.6%
EAST MEADOW UFSD			
All Students	689	631	91.6%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	39 650	34 597	87.2% 91.9%
	000	557	51.570
	054	c 07	00.00/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	654 69	607 63	92.8% 91.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	585	544	93.0%
SEAFORD UFSD			
All Students	189	170	90.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	7	100.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	182	163	89.6%
ROOSEVELT UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	222 91	130 60	58.6% 65.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	131	70	53.4%
FREEPORT UFSD			
All Students	521	389	74.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	208	161	77.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	313	228	72.8%
BALDWIN UFSD			
All Students	446	409	91.7%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	7 439	7 402	100.0% 91.6%
	400	402	01.070
	405	466	04.40/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	495 25	466 22	94.1% 88.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	470	444	94.5%
MALVERNE UFSD			
All Students	161	127	78.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	38	90.5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	119	89	74.8%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
NASSAU COUNTY			
HEWLETT-WOODMERE UFSD			
All Students	279	269	96.4%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	14 265	14 255	100.0% 96.2%
	205	200	90.2 /0
		0.17	04.00/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	266 41	217 28	81.6% 68.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	225	189	84.0%
GARDEN CITY UFSD			
All Students	306	296	96.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	306	296	96.7%
EAST ROCKAWAY UFSD			
All Students	116	108	93.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	13	100.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	103	95	92.2%
LYNBROOK UFSD			
All Students	258	243	94.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	10	100.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	248	233	94.0%
ROCKVILLE CENTRE UFSD			
All Students	290	281	96.9%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	36 254	31 250	86.1% 98.4%
WANTAGH UFSD			
All Students	286	282	98.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	283	#	#
ISLAND TREES UFSD			
All Students	227	208	91.6%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	9 218	8 200	88.9% 91.7%
WEST HEMPSTEAD UFSD	2.0	200	0
All Students	230	205	89.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	30	88.2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	196	175	89.3%
VALLEY STREAM CENTRAL HS DISTRICT			
All Students	775	729	94.1%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	66 709	61 668	92.4% 94.2%
SEWANHAKA CENTRAL HS DISTRICT	100	000	07.270
All Students	1439	1345	93.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	221	199	90.1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1218	1146	94.1%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
NASSAU COUNTY			
BELLMORE-MERRICK CENTRAL HS DISTRICT			
All Students	1017	963	94.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	21	87.5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	993	942	94.9%
LONG BEACH CITY SD			
All Students	362	312	86.2%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	84 278	68 244	81.0% 87.8%
WESTBURY UFSD	210	244	07.070
		- / -	
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	296 180	247 156	83.5% 86.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	116	91	78.5%
EAST WILLISTON UFSD			
	134	100	99.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	134 1	133 #	99.3% #
Not Economically Disadvantaged	133	#	#
ROSLYN UFSD			
All Students	319	308	96.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	25	89.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	291	283	97.3%
PORT WASHINGTON UFSD			
All Students	405	384	94.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	35	89.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	366	349	95.4%
MANHASSET UFSD			
All Students	217	207	95.4%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	4 213	#	#
GREAT NECK UFSD	215	TT TT	11
		-	.
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	642 66	617 64	96.1% 97.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	576	553	96.0%
HERRICKS UFSD			
All Students	360	335	93.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	7	77.8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	351	328	93.5%
MINEOLA UFSD			
All Students	212	176	83.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	30	20	66.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	182	156	85.7%
CARLE PLACE UFSD			
All Students	124	116	93.6%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	12 112	10 106	83.3% 94.6%
	112	100	34.070

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
NASSAU COUNTY			
NORTH SHORE CSD			
All Students	234	218	93.2%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	10 224	8 210	80.0% 93.8%
SYOSSET CSD		210	00.070
All Students	555	537	96.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	14	93.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	540	523	96.9%
LOCUST VALLEY CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	180 7	175 7	97.2% 100.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	173	, 168	97.1%
PLAINVIEW-OLD BETHPAGE CSD			
All Students	435	421	96.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	19	86.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	413	402	97.3%
OYSTER BAY-EAST NORWICH CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	130 14	122 14	93.9% 100.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	116	108	93.1%
JERICHO UFSD			
All Students	349	344	98.6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	349	344	98.6%
HICKSVILLE UFSD			
All Students	486	432	88.9%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	92 394	78 354	84.8% 89.9%
PLAINEDGE UFSD			
All Students	280	263	93.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	25	86.2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	251	238	94.8%
BETHPAGE UFSD			
All Students	278	267	96.0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	16 262	15 252	93.8% 96.2%
FARMINGDALE UFSD			
All Students	527	482	91.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	81	61	75.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	446	421	94.4%
MASSAPEQUA UFSD	- <i>·</i> -	0.11	05 50
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	640 21	611 19	95.5% 90.5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	619	592	95.6%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County	Count	Students who Graduated w	
District Name	of Cohort	Regents or Local Diploma	
Student Group	Members	Count %	
NYC CENTRAL OFFICE COUNTY	Moniboro	count	70
N Y C ALTERNATIVE HS DIST			
All Students	13	0	0.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	0	0.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	5	0	0.0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
MANHATTAN COUNTY			
NYC GEOG DIST # 1 - MANHATTAN			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NYC GEOG DIST # 2 - MANHATTAN	950 669 281	589 390 199	62.0% 58.3% 70.8%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	9919 7056 2863	6596 4797 1799	66.5% 68.0% 62.8%
NYC GEOG DIST # 3 - MANHATTAN			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	2626 1647 979	1766 949 817	67.3% 57.6% 83.5%
NYC GEOG DIST # 4 - MANHATTAN			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	938 736 202	673 555 118	71.8% 75.4% 58.4%
NYC GEOG DIST # 5 - MANHATTAN			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NYC GEOG DIST # 6 - MANHATTAN	955 620 335	618 403 215	64.7% 65.0% 64.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	1134 870 264	767 613 154	67.6% 70.5% 58.3%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
BRONX COUNTY			
NYC GEOG DIST # 7 - BRONX			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NYC GEOG DIST # 8 - BRONX	1746 1466 280	950 853 97	54.4% 58.2% 34.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	2981 2560 421	1408 1287 121	47.2% 50.3% 28.7%
NYC GEOG DIST # 9 - BRONX			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	2217 1927 290	1396 1265 131	63.0% 65.7% 45.2%
NYC GEOG DIST #10 - BRONX			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	4951 3767 1184	3160 2431 729	63.8% 64.5% 61.6%
NYC GEOG DIST #11 - BRONX			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NYC GEOG DIST #12 - BRONX	2644 1842 802	1593 1161 432	60.3% 63.0% 53.9%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	1455 1218 237	726 663 63	49.9% 54.4% 26.6%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
BROOKLYN COUNTY			
NYC GEOG DIST #13 - BROOKLYN			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NYC GEOG DIST #14 - BROOKLYN	2891 2108 783	2239 1648 591	77.5% 78.2% 75.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NYC GEOG DIST #15 - BROOKLYN	1539 1244 295	1051 886 165	68.3% 71.2% 55.9%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NYC GEOG DIST #16 - BROOKLYN	1295 955 340	669 506 163	51.7% 53.0% 47.9%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	683 446 237	289 200 89	42.3% 44.8% 37.6%
NYC GEOG DIST #17 - BROOKLYN			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	2435 1921 514	1533 1245 288	63.0% 64.8% 56.0%
NYC GEOG DIST #18 - BROOKLYN			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	1135 655 480	402 236 166	35.4% 36.0% 34.6%
NYC GEOG DIST #19 - BROOKLYN			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	1832 1393 439	1004 846 158	54.8% 60.7% 36.0%
NYC GEOG DIST #20 - BROOKLYN			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NYC GEOG DIST #21 - BROOKLYN	2893 1659 1234	1850 1104 746	64.0% 66.6% 60.5%
	2051	1054	64.40/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	3051 2388 663	1954 1631 323	64.1% 68.3% 48.7%
NYC GEOG DIST #22 - BROOKLYN			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	2975 1170 1805	2272 881 1391	76.4% 75.3% 77.1%
NYC GEOG DIST #23 - BROOKLYN			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	766 611 155	312 275 37	40.7% 45.0% 23.9%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
BROOKLYN COUNTY			
NYC GEOG DIST #32 - BROOKLYN			
All Students	942	497	52.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	810	447	55.2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	132	50	37.9%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
QUEENS COUNTY			
NYC GEOG DIST #24 - QUEENS			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NYC GEOG DIST #25 - QUEENS	3092 2319 773	1961 1570 391	63.4% 67.7% 50.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	2379 1626 753	1395 1090 305	58.6% 67.0% 40.5%
NYC GEOG DIST #26 - QUEENS			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NYC GEOG DIST #27 - QUEENS	4203 1892 2311	3397 1588 1809	80.8% 83.9% 78.3%
All Students	2005	4700	F7 00/
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	3095 2296 799	1789 1422 367	57.8% 61.9% 45.9%
NYC GEOG DIST #28 - QUEENS			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NYC GEOG DIST #29 - QUEENS	3434 1939 1495	2613 1576 1037	76.1% 81.3% 69.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NYC GEOG DIST #30 - QUEENS	986 618 368	608 430 178	61.7% 69.6% 48.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	2569 1962 607	1655 1343 312	64.4% 68.5% 51.4%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
RICHMOND COUNTY			
NYC GEOG DIST #31 - STATEN ISLAND			
All Students	4660	3426	73.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	1809	1211	66.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2851	2215	77.7%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
NIAGARA COUNTY			
LEWISTON-PORTER CSD			
All Students	197	188	95.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	26	89.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	168	162	96.4%
LOCKPORT CITY SD			
All Students	452	362	80.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	77	54	70.1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	375	308	82.1%
NEWFANE CSD			
All Students	170	144	84.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	35	92.1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	132	109	82.6%
NIAGARA-WHEATFIELD CSD			
All Students	402	347	86.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	78	58	74.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	324	289	89.2%
NIAGARA FALLS CITY SD			
All Students	650	468	72.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	288	198	68.8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	362	270	74.6%
NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SD			
All Students	386	319	82.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	43	62.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	317	276	87.1%
STARPOINT CSD			
All Students	242	219	90.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	28	87.5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	210	191	91.0%
ROYALTON-HARTLAND CSD			
All Students	121	101	83.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	8	72.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	110	93	84.6%
BARKER CSD			
All Students	88	83	94.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	22	95.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	65	61	93.9%
WILSON CSD			
All Students	109	95	87.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	17	89.5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	90	78	86.7%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
ONEIDA COUNTY			
ADIRONDACK CSD			
All Students	120	89	74.2%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	43 77	32 57	74.4% 74.0%
	11	57	74.070
All Students	212	177	92 50/
Economically Disadvantaged	74	60	83.5% 81.1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	138	117	84.8%
CLINTON CSD			
All Students	150	138	92.0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	14 136	13 125	92.9% 91.9%
NEW HARTFORD CSD	150	125	91.970
	222	242	05 50/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	8	212 4	95.5% 50.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	214	208	97.2%
NY MILLS UFSD			
All Students	32	29	90.6%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	8 24	8 21	100.0% 87.5%
SAUQUOIT VALLEY CSD	24	21	07.578
All Students	94	77	81.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	17 77	6	35.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged REMSEN CSD	11	71	92.2%
	10		05 70/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	46 15	44 14	95.7% 93.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	30	96.8%
ROME CITY SD			
All Students	495	362	73.1%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	182 313	118 244	64.8% 78.0%
WATERVILLE CSD	515	244	70.0%
All Students	86	72	83.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	19	73.1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	53	88.3%
SHERRILL CITY SD			
All Students	185	160	86.5%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	49 136	38 122	77.6% 89.7%
HOLLAND PATENT CSD	100	. 22	00.170
All Students	169	154	91.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	30	88.2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	135	124	91.9%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with Local Diplomas %
ONEIDA COUNTY			
UTICA CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	732 389 343	471 260 211	64.3% 66.8% 61.5%
WESTMORELAND CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	95 14 81	86 11 75	90.5% 78.6% 92.6%
ORISKANY CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WHITESBORO CSD	66 10 56	61 10 51	92.4% 100.0% 91.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	306 46 260	267 34 233	87.3% 73.9% 89.6%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
ONONDAGA COUNTY			
WEST GENESEE CSD			
All Students	402	363	90.3%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	48	78.7%
	341	315	92.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	834 185	684 138	82.0% 74.6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	649	546	84.1%
EAST SYRACUSE-MINOA CSD			
All Students	321	268	83.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	74	57	77.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	247	211	85.4%
JAMESVILLE-DEWITT CSD			
All Students	237	210	88.6%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	30 207	20 190	66.7% 91.8%
JORDAN-ELBRIDGE CSD	207	150	51.070
	400	440	04.00/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	138 38	113 27	81.9% 71.1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	100	86	86.0%
FABIUS-POMPEY CSD			
All Students	90	79	87.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	12	92.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	67	87.0%
WESTHILL CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	152 11	142 6	93.4% 54.6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	141	136	96.5%
SOLVAY UFSD			
All Students	185	153	82.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	37	71.2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	133	116	87.2%
LAFAYETTE CSD			
All Students	64	56	87.5%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	13 51	12 44	92.3% 86.3%
BALDWINSVILLE CSD	01		00.070
All Students	505	461	91.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	461 49	81.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	445	412	92.6%
FAYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS CSD			
All Students	391	362	92.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	21	77.8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	364	341	93.7%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
ONONDAGA COUNTY			
MARCELLUS CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged ONONDAGA CSD	180 20 160	159 19 140	88.3% 95.0% 87.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged LIVERPOOL CSD	92 20 72	68 13 55	73.9% 65.0% 76.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged LYNCOURT UFSD	674 160 514	543 117 426	80.6% 73.1% 82.9%
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged SKANEATELES CSD	1 1	# #	# #
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SYRACUSE CITY SD	157 6 151	149 5 144	94.9% 83.3% 95.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged TULLY CSD	1594 867 727	799 478 321	50.1% 55.1% 44.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	104 19 85	93 18 75	89.4% 94.7% 88.2%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	•••••	Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
ONTARIO COUNTY	Members	ooun	,0
CANANDAIGUA CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged EAST BLOOMFIELD CSD	369 70 299	322 51 271	87.3% 72.9% 90.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged GENEVA CITY SD	93 15 78	87 13 74	93.6% 86.7% 94.9%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	178 67 111	122 39 83	68.5% 58.2% 74.8%
GORHAM-MIDDLESEX CSD (MARCUS WHITMAN			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	141 45 96	121 39 82	85.8% 86.7% 85.4%
MANCHESTER-SHORTSVILLE CSD (RED JACK			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NAPLES CSD	79 16 63	69 11 58	87.3% 68.8% 92.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	78 21 57	72 19 53	92.3% 90.5% 93.0%
PHELPS-CLIFTON SPRINGS CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	176 31 145	146 23 123	83.0% 74.2% 84.8%
HONEOYE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	108 18 90	92 14 78	85.2% 77.8% 86.7%
VICTOR CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	293 27 266	267 24 243	91.1% 88.9% 91.4%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
ORANGE COUNTY			
WASHINGTONVILLE CSD			
All Students	392	362	92.4%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	71 321	61 301	85.9% 93.8%
	521	301	93.0 %
	04	70	00.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	91 9	76 9	83.5% 100.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	82	67	81.7%
CORNWALL CSD			
All Students	296	274	92.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	26	89.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	267	248	92.9%
PINE BUSH CSD			
All Students	526	456	86.7%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	152 374	124 332	81.6% 88.8%
GOSHEN CSD	574	552	00.070
	007	040	0 4 404
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	237 25	216 21	91.1% 84.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	212	195	92.0%
HIGHLAND FALLS CSD			
All Students	149	135	90.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	18	85.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	128	117	91.4%
MIDDLETOWN CITY SD			
All Students	528	407	77.1%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	264 264	212 195	80.3% 73.9%
MINISINK VALLEY CSD	204	100	10.070
	447	077	00.40/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	417 61	377 50	90.4% 82.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	356	327	91.9%
MONROE-WOODBURY CSD			
All Students	599	531	88.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	41	74.6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	544	490	90.1%
KIRYAS JOEL VILLAGE UFSD			
All Students	4	#	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#	#
VALLEY CSD (MONTGOMERY)			
All Students	407	346	85.0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	97 310	78 268	80.4% 86.5%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Regents or l	Graduated with Local Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
ORANGE COUNTY			
NEWBURGH CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged PORT JERVIS CITY SD	1003 431 572	639 273 366	63.7% 63.3% 64.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged TUXEDO UFSD	283 107 176	208 69 139	73.5% 64.5% 79.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WARWICK VALLEY CSD	85 6 79	80 6 74	94.1% 100.0% 93.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged FLORIDA UFSD	406 28 378	383 26 357	94.3% 92.9% 94.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	76 5 71	74 5 69	97.4% 100.0% 97.2%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
ORLEANS COUNTY			
ALBION CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged KENDALL CSD	211 60 151	178 45 133	84.4% 75.0% 88.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	87 21 66	76 18 58	87.4% 85.7% 87.9%
HOLLEY CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	133 52 81	112 41 71	84.2% 78.9% 87.7%
MEDINA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	169 44 125	133 35 98	78.7% 79.6% 78.4%
LYNDONVILLE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	59 5 54	52 2 50	88.1% 40.0% 92.6%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



0

Public School District Total Cohort Graduation Rate -ARRA Reporting

To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
DSWEGO COUNTY			
ALTMAR PARISH-WILLIAMSTOWN CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged FULTON CITY SD	133 64 69	106 49 57	79.7% 76.6% 82.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	308 120 188	232 86 146	75.3% 71.7% 77.7%
HANNIBAL CSD All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged CENTRAL SQUARE CSD	151 55 96	99 32 67	65.6% 58.2% 69.8%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged MEXICO CSD	411 112 299	306 71 235	74.5% 63.4% 78.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged OSWEGO CITY SD	211 74 137	156 47 109	73.9% 63.5% 79.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged PULASKI CSD	415 94 321	303 48 255	73.0% 51.1% 79.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SANDY CREEK CSD	87 35 52	76 28 48	87.4% 80.0% 92.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	75 36 39	64 29 35	85.3% 80.6% 89.7%
PHOENIX CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	189 67 122	153 53 100	81.0% 79.1% 82.0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
OTSEGO COUNTY			
GILBERTSVILLE-MOUNT UPTON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged EDMESTON CSD	40 17 23	34 14 20	85.0% 82.4% 87.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged LAURENS CSD	44 15 29	30 7 23	68.2% 46.7% 79.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SCHENEVUS CSD	36 14 22	30 9 21	83.3% 64.3% 95.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	26 9 17	25 9 16	96.2% 100.0% 94.1%
MILFORD CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	42 15 27	34 11 23	81.0% 73.3% 85.2%
MORRIS CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	38 16 22	33 13 20	86.8% 81.3% 90.9%
ONEONTA CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	168 38 130	130 25 105	77.4% 65.8% 80.8%
OTEGO-UNADILLA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged COOPERSTOWN CSD	97 32 65	64 22 42	66.0% 68.8% 64.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged RICHFIELD SPRINGS CSD	102 15 87	92 12 80	90.2% 80.0% 92.0%
	- 1	<u></u>	70 50/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged CHERRY VALLEY-SPRINGFIELD CSD	51 18 33	39 15 24	76.5% 83.3% 72.7%
All Students	43	22	76.7%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	43 15 28	33 11 22	73.3% 78.6%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County	Count	Students who Graduated with	
District Name	of Cohort	Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count %	
OTSEGO COUNTY			
WORCESTER CSD			
All Students	33	24	72.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	4	44.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	20	83.3%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
PUTNAM COUNTY			
MAHOPAC CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged CARMEL CSD	430 28 402	398 22 376	92.6% 78.6% 93.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged HALDANE CSD	397 48 349	353 41 312	88.9% 85.4% 89.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged PUTNAM VALLEY CSD	82 3 79	82 # #	100.0% # #
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged BREWSTER CSD	154 154	140 140	90.9% 90.9%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	301 34 267	272 30 242	90.4% 88.2% 90.6%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
RENSSELAER COUNTY			
BERLIN CSD			
All Students	78	50	64.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	15	60.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	53	35	66.0%
BRUNSWICK CSD (BRITTONKILL)			
All Students	126	105	83.3%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	14 112	11 94	78.6% 83.9%
EAST GREENBUSH CSD	112	34	03.970
All Students	429	375	87.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	51	37	72.6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	378	338	89.4%
HOOSICK FALLS CSD			
All Students	109	92	84.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	29	85.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	63	84.0%
LANSINGBURGH CSD			
All Students	245	173	70.6%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	102 143	69 104	67.7% 72.7%
RENSSELAER CITY SD	145	104	12.170
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	77 36	59 30	76.6% 83.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	41	29	70.7%
AVERILL PARK CSD			
All Students	288	243	84.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	23	67.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	254	220	86.6%
HOOSIC VALLEY CSD			
All Students	110	95	86.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	19 91	14 81	73.7% 89.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged SCHODACK CSD	91	01	89.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	100 19	93 17	93.0% 89.5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	81	76	93.8%
TROY CITY SD			
All Students	369	278	75.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	167	119	71.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	202	159	78.7%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
ROCKLAND COUNTY			
CLARKSTOWN CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NANUET UFSD	778 48 730	726 37 689	93.3% 77.1% 94.4%
All Students	170	153	90.0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	14 156	133 11 142	78.6% 91.0%
HAVERSTRAW-STONY POINT CSD (NORTH RO			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SOUTH ORANGETOWN CSD	679 220 459	563 166 397	82.9% 75.5% 86.5%
	075	050	02.40/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	275 16 259	256 14 242	93.1% 87.5% 93.4%
NYACK UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged PEARL RIVER UFSD	233 42 191	202 33 169	86.7% 78.6% 88.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged RAMAPO CSD (SUFFERN)	215 7 208	207 7 200	96.3% 100.0% 96.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged EAST RAMAPO CSD (SPRING VALLEY)	372 30 342	338 22 316	90.9% 73.3% 92.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	656 315 341	459 243 216	70.0% 77.1% 63.3%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



S

Public School District Total Cohort Graduation Rate -ARRA Reporting

To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

	County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
	Student Group	Members	Count	%
ST. LAWREN	ICE COUNTY			
	BRASHER FALLS CSD			
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	87 33 54	57 21 36	65.5% 63.6% 66.7%
	CANTON CSD			
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	125 25 100	90 16 74	72.0% 64.0% 74.0%
	CLIFTON-FINE CSD			
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	23 10 13	20 8 12	87.0% 80.0% 92.3%
	COLTON-PIERREPONT CSD			
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	26 7 19	23 6 17	88.5% 85.7% 89.5%
	GOUVERNEUR CSD			
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	152 67 85	120 51 69	79.0% 76.1% 81.2%
	HAMMOND CSD			
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	23 7 16	16 3 13	69.6% 42.9% 81.3%
	HERMON-DEKALB CSD			
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged LISBON CSD	31 15 16	28 14 14	90.3% 93.3% 87.5%
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged MADRID-WADDINGTON CSD	48 13 35	41 11 30	85.4% 84.6% 85.7%
		07	40	74.00/
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged MASSENA CSD	67 23 44	48 14 34	71.6% 60.9% 77.3%
	All Students	219	158	72.2%
	Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	83 136	51 107	61.5% 78.7%
	MORRISTOWN CSD			
	All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	36 18 18	28 13 15	77.8% 72.2% 83.3%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group ST. LAWRENCE COUNTY	Count of Cohort Members	•••••	Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
NORWOOD-NORFOLK CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged OGDENSBURG CITY SD	98 36 62	70 16 54	71.4% 44.4% 87.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	138 53 85	98 31 67	71.0% 58.5% 78.8%
HEUVELTON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	57 18 39	46 14 32	80.7% 77.8% 82.1%
PARISHVILLE-HOPKINTON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	48 15 33	38 11 27	79.2% 73.3% 81.8%
POTSDAM CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged EDWARDS-KNOX CSD	114 27 87	104 23 81	91.2% 85.2% 93.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	64 44 20	54 34 20	84.4% 77.3% 100.0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



S

Public School District Total Cohort Graduation Rate -ARRA Reporting

To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
SARATOGA COUNTY			
BURNT HILLS-BALLSTON LAKE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	267 14	244 11	91.4% 78.6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged SHENENDEHOWA CSD	253	233	92.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	739 63	679 47	91.9% 74.6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged CORINTH CSD	676	632	93.5%
All Students	94	75	79.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	25	69.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged GALWAY CSD	58	50	86.2%
All Students	84	73	86.9%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	15 69	13 60	86.7% 87.0%
MECHANICVILLE CITY SD			01.070
All Students	133	106	79.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	8	44.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	115	98	85.2%
BALLSTON SPA CSD			
All Students	385	329	85.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	50	76.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	320	279	87.2%
SOUTH GLENS FALLS CSD			
All Students	244	195	79.9%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	44 200	25 170	56.8% 85.0%
SCHUYLERVILLE CSD	200	170	05.078
	100	447	00.00/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	133 25	117 21	88.0% 84.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	108	96	88.9%
SARATOGA SPRINGS CITY SD			
All Students	608	509	83.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	57	64.8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	520	452	86.9%
STILLWATER CSD			
All Students	112	93	83.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	9	75.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	100	84	84.0%
WATERFORD-HALFMOON UFSD			
All Students	70	52	74.3%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	14 56	9 43	64.3% 76.8%
NUL LUUIUIIILAIIY DISAUVAIILAYEU	50	40	10.070

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group SCHENECTADY COUNTY	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
DUANESBURG CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CSD	89 8 81	75 5 70	84.3% 62.5% 86.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	266 35 231	219 25 194	82.3% 71.4% 84.0%
NISKAYUNA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	374 20 354	345 16 329	92.3% 80.0% 92.9%
SCHALMONT CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	198 25 173	160 14 146	80.8% 56.0% 84.4%
ROTTERDAM-MOHONASEN CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SCHENECTADY CITY SD	294 54 240	238 38 200	81.0% 70.4% 83.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	702 326 376	397 209 188	56.6% 64.1% 50.0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
SCHOHARIE COUNTY			
GILBOA-CONESVILLE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged JEFFERSON CSD	25 11 14	20 8 12	80.0% 72.7% 85.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	20 8 12	18 8 10	90.0% 100.0% 83.3%
MIDDLEBURGH CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	87 31 56	73 24 49	83.9% 77.4% 87.5%
COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	192 54 138	156 42 114	81.3% 77.8% 82.6%
SCHOHARIE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SHARON SPRINGS CSD	100 10 90	73 4 69	73.0% 40.0% 76.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	28 8 20	24 8 16	85.7% 100.0% 80.0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas Count %	
Student Group	Weinbers	Count	70
SCHUYLER COUNTY			
ODESSA-MONTOUR CSD			
All Students	71	57	80.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	12	75.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	55	45	81.8%
WATKINS GLEN CSD			
All Students	103	82	79.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	27	87.1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	72	55	76.4%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group SENECA COUNTY	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
SENECACOUNT			
SOUTH SENECA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	79 27 52	64 25 39	81.0% 92.6% 75.0%
ROMULUS CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SENECA FALLS CSD	49 9 40	37 5 32	75.5% 55.6% 80.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WATERLOO CSD	108 21 87	85 15 70	78.7% 71.4% 80.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	175 57 118	129 44 85	73.7% 77.2% 72.0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
STEUBEN COUNTY			
ADDISON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged AVOCA CSD	92 46 46	75 36 39	81.5% 78.3% 84.8%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged BATH CSD	73 26 47	58 21 37	79.5% 80.8% 78.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged BRADFORD CSD	166 46 120	141 36 105	84.9% 78.3% 87.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	21 5 16	15 4 11	71.4% 80.0% 68.8%
CAMPBELL-SAVONA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	98 30 68	90 24 66	91.8% 80.0% 97.1%
CORNING CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	444 99 345	360 80 280	81.1% 80.8% 81.2%
CANISTEO-GREENWOOD CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	86 28 58	75 27 48	87.2% 96.4% 82.8%
HORNELL CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged ARKPORT CSD	161 65 96	120 39 81	74.5% 60.0% 84.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged PRATTSBURGH CSD	58 7 51	51 6 45	87.9% 85.7% 88.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged JASPER-TROUPSBURG CSD	45 21 24	41 19 22	91.1% 90.5% 91.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	53 22 31	42 19 23	79.3% 86.4% 74.2%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
STEUBEN COUNTY			
HAMMONDSPORT CSD			
All Students	41	35	85.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	10	90.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	25	83.3%
WAYLAND-COHOCTON CSD			
All Students	141	118	83.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	32	71.1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	96	86	89.6%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
SUFFOLK COUNTY			
BABYLON UFSD			
All Students	158	147	93.0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	6 152	6 141	100.0% 92.8%
WEST BABYLON UFSD	152	141	92.07
	10.1		00.00/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	404 80	362 71	89.6% 88.8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	324	291	89.8%
NORTH BABYLON UFSD			
All Students	431	377	87.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	89	76	85.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	342	301	88.0%
LINDENHURST UFSD			
All Students	617	559	90.6%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	105 512	92 467	87.6% 91.2%
COPIAGUE UFSD	512	407	91.270
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	436 184	357 158	81.9% 85.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	252	199	79.0%
AMITYVILLE UFSD			
All Students	267	209	78.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	120	106	88.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	147	103	70.1%
DEER PARK UFSD			
All Students	367	338	92.1%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	100 267	83 255	83.0% 95.5%
WYANDANCH UFSD	-		
All Students	162	109	67.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	144	99	68.8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	10	55.6%
THREE VILLAGE CSD			
All Students	650	620	95.4%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	28 622	24 596	85.7% 95.8%
BROOKHAVEN-COMSEWOGUE UFSD	022	590	95.0 %
	044	004	00 70/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	314 45	291 37	92.7% 82.2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	269	254	94.4%
SACHEM CSD			
All Students	1155	1040	90.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	133	116	87.2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1022	924	90.4%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



S

Public School District Total Cohort Graduation Rate -ARRA Reporting

To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
SUFFOLK COUNTY			
PORT JEFFERSON UFSD			
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged	87 87	86 86	98.9% 98.9%
MT SINAI UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	225 14 211	216 13 203	96.0% 92.9% 96.2%
MILLER PLACE UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged ROCKY POINT UFSD	251 15 236	231 14 217	92.0% 93.3% 92.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	292 37 255	255 28 227	87.3% 75.7% 89.0%
MIDDLE COUNTRY CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged LONGWOOD CSD	855 145 710	774 139 635	90.5% 95.9% 89.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged PATCHOGUE-MEDFORD UFSD	739 244 495	579 165 414	78.4% 67.6% 83.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WILLIAM FLOYD UFSD	711 202 509	593 147 446	83.4% 72.8% 87.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged CENTER MORICHES UFSD	814 253 561	617 182 435	75.8% 71.9% 77.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	125 16 109	109 13 96	87.2% 81.3% 88.1%
SOUTH COUNTRY CSD All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged EAST HAMPTON UFSD	387 117 270	290 67 223	74.9% 57.3% 82.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	253 28 225	221 17 204	87.4% 60.7% 90.7%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
SUFFOLK COUNTY			
SAG HARBOR UFSD			
All Students	72	63	87.5%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	5 67	4 59	80.0% 88.1%
ELWOOD UFSD	01		001170
All Students	189	187	98.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	14	100.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	175	173	98.9%
COLD SPRING HARBOR CSD			
All Students	165	162	98.2%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	1 164	#	#
HUNTINGTON UFSD			
All Students	299	236	78.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	44	57.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	223	192	86.1%
NORTHPORT-EAST NORTHPORT UFSD			
All Students	499	474	95.0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	27 472	25 449	92.6% 95.1%
HALF HOLLOW HILLS CSD		110	00.170
All Students	783	753	96.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	56	88.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	720	697	96.8%
HARBORFIELDS CSD			
All Students	284	282	99.3%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	25 259	23 259	92.0% 100.0%
COMMACK UFSD	200	200	1001070
All Students	613	597	97.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	18	90.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	593	579	97.6%
SOUTH HUNTINGTON UFSD			
All Students	497	452	91.0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	160 337	134 318	83.8% 94.4%
BAY SHORE UFSD			
All Students	516	422	81.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	168	125	74.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	348	297	85.4%
ISLIP UFSD			
All Students	315	294	93.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	51 264	46 248	90.2% 93.9%
Hot Economically Diodavantaged	207	270	00.070

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
SUFFOLK COUNTY			
EAST ISLIP UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	453 43 410	402 30 372	88.7% 69.8% 90.7%
SAYVILLE UFSD		0.1	001170
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	298 11 287	290 11 279	97.3% 100.0% 97.2%
BAYPORT-BLUE POINT UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	179 5 174	164 5 159	91.6% 100.0% 91.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	303 21	292 18	96.4% 85.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged CONNETQUOT CSD	282	274	97.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	579 58 521	525 44 481	90.7% 75.9% 92.3%
WEST ISLIP UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged BRENTWOOD UFSD	471 21 450	449 20 429	95.3% 95.2% 95.3%
All Students	1138	850	74.7%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	437 701	333 517	76.2% 73.8%
CENTRAL ISLIP UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	476 205 271	297 141 156	62.4% 68.8% 57.6%
SHOREHAM-WADING RIVER CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	211 5 206	199 3 196	94.3% 60.0% 95.2%
RIVERHEAD CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	389 115 274	302 78 224	77.6% 67.8% 81.8%
LITTLE FLOWER UFSD			
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged	2 2	# #	# #

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
SUFFOLK COUNTY			
SHELTER ISLAND UFSD			
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged	25 25	24 24	96.0% 96.0%
SMITHTOWN CSD			
All Students	780	750	96.2%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	24 756	17 733	70.8% 97.0%
KINGS PARK CSD			
All Students	301	294	97.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	18	100.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	283	276	97.5%
WESTHAMPTON BEACH UFSD			
All Students	249	215	86.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	15	83.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	231	200	86.6%
HAMPTON BAYS UFSD			
All Students	158	137	86.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	18 140	14	77.8% 87.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged SOUTHAMPTON UFSD	140	123	87.9%
		100	
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	154 31	133 24	86.4% 77.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	123	109	88.6%
BRIDGEHAMPTON UFSD	.20		001070
All Students	20	18	90.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	4	80.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	14	93.3%
EASTPORT-SOUTH MANOR CSD			
All Students	326	308	94.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	26	83.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	295	282	95.6%
FISHERS ISLAND UFSD			
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged	2 2	# #	# #
SOUTHOLD UFSD	-		
All Students	100	95	95.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	5	100.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	95	90	94.7%
GREENPORT UFSD			
All Students	49	37	75.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	7	53.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	30	83.3%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
SUFFOLK COUNTY			
MATTITUCK-CUTCHOGUE UFSD			
All Students	135	126	93.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	6	85.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	128	120	93.8%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
SULLIVAN COUNTY			
FALLSBURG CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged ELDRED CSD	107 44 63	66 28 38	61.7% 63.6% 60.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged LIBERTY CSD	74 12 62	58 9 49	78.4% 75.0% 79.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged TRI-VALLEY CSD	146 70 76	93 39 54	63.7% 55.7% 71.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	90 28 62	73 17 56	81.1% 60.7% 90.3%
ROSCOE CSD All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged LIVINGSTON MANOR CSD	23 12 11	21 12 9	91.3% 100.0% 81.8%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged MONTICELLO CSD	53 16 37	40 10 30	75.5% 62.5% 81.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SULLIVAN WEST CSD	295 116 179	201 75 126	68.1% 64.7% 70.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	133 20 113	109 14 95	82.0% 70.0% 84.1%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group TIOGA COUNTY	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
WAVERLY CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged CANDOR CSD	147 42 105	117 37 80	79.6% 88.1% 76.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	64 13 51	52 9 43	81.3% 69.2% 84.3%
NEWARK VALLEY CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	116 41 75	93 34 59	80.2% 82.9% 78.7%
OWEGO-APALACHIN CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	175 49 126	148 27 121	84.6% 55.1% 96.0%
SPENCER-VAN ETTEN CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged TIOGA CSD	81 24 57	65 21 44	80.3% 87.5% 77.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	92 35 57	81 28 53	88.0% 80.0% 93.0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
TOMPKINS COUNTY			
DRYDEN CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged GEORGE JUNIOR REPUBLIC UFSD	178 54 124	139 31 108	78.1% 57.4% 87.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged GROTON CSD	6 6	3 3	50.0% 50.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	94 29 65	69 17 52	73.4% 58.6% 80.0%
ITHACA CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged LANSING CSD	480 82 398	382 65 317	79.6% 79.3% 79.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NEWFIELD CSD	128 16 112	108 12 96	84.4% 75.0% 85.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged TRUMANSBURG CSD	91 28 63	75 19 56	82.4% 67.9% 88.9%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	117 25 92	97 19 78	82.9% 76.0% 84.8%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
ULSTER COUNTY	Weinbers	ooum	70
OLSTER COUNTY			
WEST PARK UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	9 7 2	0 # #	0.0% # #
KINGSTON CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	620 187 433	442 110 332	71.3% 58.8% 76.7%
HIGHLAND CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	190 45 145	163 40 123	85.8% 88.9% 84.8%
RONDOUT VALLEY CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	233 53 180	199 43 156	85.4% 81.1% 86.7%
MARLBORO CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	184 47 137	154 42 112	83.7% 89.4% 81.8%
NEW PALTZ CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	220 23 197	190 16 174	86.4% 69.6% 88.3%
ONTEORA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	168 53 115	131 35 96	78.0% 66.0% 83.5%
SAUGERTIES CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	284 62 222	210 39 171	73.9% 62.9% 77.0%
WALLKILL CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	299 58 241	229 45 184	76.6% 77.6% 76.4%
ELLENVILLE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	155 70 85	116 60 56	74.8% 85.7% 65.9%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with .ocal Diplomas %
WARREN COUNTY			
BOLTON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged NORTH WARREN CSD	33 2 31	30 # #	90.9% # #
All Students	49	40	81.6%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	49 24 25	40 17 23	70.8% 92.0%
GLENS FALLS CITY SD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	246 10 236	172 0 172	69.9% 0.0% 72.9%
JOHNSBURG CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	28 10 18	23 8 15	82.1% 80.0% 83.3%
LAKE GEORGE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged HADLEY-LUZERNE CSD	93 9 84	81 9 72	87.1% 100.0% 85.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged QUEENSBURY UFSD	74 20 54	51 12 39	68.9% 60.0% 72.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WARRENSBURG CSD	334 40 294	300 30 270	89.8% 75.0% 91.8%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	75 19 56	59 13 46	78.7% 68.4% 82.1%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
WASHINGTON COUNTY			
ARGYLE CSD			
All Students	62	53	85.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	12	66.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	44	41	93.2%
FORT ANN CSD			
All Students	44	35	79.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	7	70.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	34	28	82.4%
FORT EDWARD UFSD			
All Students	36	26	72.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	6	46.2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	23	20	87.0%
GRANVILLE CSD			
All Students	144	110	76.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	23	69.7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	111	87	78.4%
GREENWICH CSD			
All Students	80	74	92.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	8	100.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	72	66	91.7%
HARTFORD CSD			
All Students	51	43	84.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	10	71.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	37	33	89.2%
HUDSON FALLS CSD			
All Students	199	138	69.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	45	75.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	139	93	66.9%
SALEM CSD			
All Students	66	48	72.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	11	57.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	47	37	78.7%
CAMBRIDGE CSD			
All Students	112	92	82.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	19	70.4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	85	73	85.9%
WHITEHALL CSD			
All Students	78	64	82.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	13	72.2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	51	85.0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort		Graduated with ocal Diplomas
Student Group	Members	Count	%
WAYNE COUNTY			
NEWARK CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	224 60 164	174 40 134	77.7% 66.7% 81.7%
CLYDE-SAVANNAH CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	74 26 48	60 19 41	81.1% 73.1% 85.4%
LYONS CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	91 42 49	66 28 38	72.5% 66.7% 77.6%
MARION CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	90 32 58	81 26 55	90.0% 81.3% 94.8%
WAYNE CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	219 31 188	199 24 175	90.9% 77.4% 93.1%
PALMYRA-MACEDON CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	188 53 135	161 35 126	85.6% 66.0% 93.3%
GANANDA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged SODUS CSD	94 22 72	78 19 59	83.0% 86.4% 81.9%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WILLIAMSON CSD	127 51 76	103 38 65	81.1% 74.5% 85.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	105 22 83	92 19 73	87.6% 86.4% 88.0%
NORTH ROSE-WOLCOTT CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged RED CREEK CSD	120 55 65	89 37 52	74.2% 67.3% 80.0%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	95 34 61	75 25 50	79.0% 73.5% 82.0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
WESTCHESTER COUNTY			
KATONAH-LEWISBORO UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	310 5 305	299 4 295	96.5% 80.0% 96.7%
BEDFORD CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	325 47 278	292 40 252	89.9% 85.1% 90.7%
CROTON-HARMON UFSD			
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged HENDRICK HUDSON CSD	127 127	124 124	97.6% 97.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	233 19 214	213 13 200	91.4% 68.4% 93.5%
EASTCHESTER UFSD			
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged	240 240	234 234	97.5% 97.5%
TUCKAHOE UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	70 8 62	61 6 55	87.1% 75.0% 88.7%
BRONXVILLE UFSD			
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged	116 116	113 113	97.4% 97.4%
UFSD-TARRYTOWNS			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	224 103 121	190 86 104	84.8% 83.5% 86.0%
IRVINGTON UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	137 5 132	135 4 131	98.5% 80.0% 99.2%
DOBBS FERRY UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	128 7 121	122 6 116	95.3% 85.7% 95.9%
HASTINGS-ON-HUDSON UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged ARDSLEY UFSD	138 2 136	134 # #	97.1% # #
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	175 1 174	168 # #	96.0% # #

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



١

Public School District Total Cohort Graduation Rate -ARRA Reporting

To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
WESTCHESTER COUNTY			
EDGEMONT UFSD			
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged GREENBURGH CSD	183 183	179 179	97.8% 97.8%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged ELMSFORD UFSD	113 32 81	98 28 70	86.7% 87.5% 86.4%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged GREENBURGH-GRAHAM UFSD	82 19 63	70 17 53	85.4% 89.5% 84.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	6 5 1	1 # #	16.7% # #
GREENBURGH ELEVEN UFSD All Students	16	7	42.00/
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged HARRISON CSD	16 15 1	7 # #	43.8% # #
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	239 36 203	220 31 189	92.1% 86.1% 93.1%
MAMARONECK UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	327 34 293	302 28 274	92.4% 82.4% 93.5%
MT PLEASANT CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	155 2 153	139 # #	89.7% # #
HAWTHORNE-CEDAR KNOLLS UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	13 13	2 2	15.4% 15.4%
MT PLEASANT-COTTAGE UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	41 28 13	6 5 1	14.6% 17.9% 7.7%
VALHALLA UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	107 5 102	102 5 97	95.3% 100.0% 95.1%
MT PLEASANT-BLYTHEDALE UFSD			
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged	3 3	# #	# #

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name	Count of Cohort	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas	
Student Group	Members	Count	%
WESTCHESTER COUNTY			
PLEASANTVILLE UFSD			
All Students	172	168	97.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	169	#	#
MT VERNON SCHOOL DISTRICT			
All Students	679	406	59.8%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	126 553	31 375	24.6% 67.8%
CHAPPAQUA CSD		0.0	011070
	220	207	07.00/
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	336 2	327 #	97.3% #
Not Economically Disadvantaged	334	#	#
NEW ROCHELLE CITY SD			
All Students	812	648	79.8%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	323 489	236 412	73.1%
	469	412	84.3%
BYRAM HILLS CSD			
All Students	210 210	208 208	99.1% 99.1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged NORTH SALEM CSD	210	208	99.1%
All Students	111	103	92.8%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	1 110	#	#
OSSINING UFSD			
All Students	352	275	78.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	111	72	64.9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	241	203	84.2%
BRIARCLIFF MANOR UFSD			
All Students	162	161	99.4%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	8 154	8 153	100.0% 99.4%
PEEKSKILL CITY SD	104	100	55.470
All Students	226	155	68.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	94	67	71.3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	132	88	66.7%
PELHAM UFSD			
All Students	182	177	97.3%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	23 159	22 155	95.7% 97.5%
RYE CITY SD	159	155	91.070
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged	207 207	197 197	95.2% 95.2%
	201	131	9 9. Z /0

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



١

Public School District Total Cohort Graduation Rate -ARRA Reporting

To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas Count %	
WESTCHESTER COUNTY			
RYE NECK UFSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged PORT CHESTER-RYE UFSD	113 5 108	103 2 101	91.2% 40.0% 93.5%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged BLIND BROOK-RYE UFSD	256 115 141	209 88 121	81.6% 76.5% 85.8%
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged SCARSDALE UFSD	87 87	85 85	97.7% 97.7%
All Students Not Economically Disadvantaged SOMERS CSD	364 364	357 357	98.1% 98.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WHITE PLAINS CITY SD	259 9 250	241 8 233	93.1% 88.9% 93.2%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged YONKERS CITY SD	534 170 364	442 134 308	82.8% 78.8% 84.6%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged LAKELAND CSD	1668 1247 421	1145 931 214	68.7% 74.7% 50.8%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged YORKTOWN CSD	528 41 487	496 33 463	93.9% 80.5% 95.1%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	366 8 358	345 8 337	94.3% 100.0% 94.1%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group WYOMING COUNTY	Count of Cohort Members		Graduated with ocal Diplomas %
ATTICA CSD			
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged LETCHWORTH CSD	163 54 109	129 41 88	79.1% 75.9% 80.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged PERRY CSD	104 33 71	88 25 63	84.6% 75.8% 88.7%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged WARSAW CSD	86 17 69	64 10 54	74.4% 58.8% 78.3%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	84 12 72	66 9 57	78.6% 75.0% 79.2%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011



To comply with federal reporting requirements, in January 2012, SED must publish graduation rate data for the 2006 graduation rate cohort as of August 2010 for students who were enrolled for a minimum of ONE DAY.

County District Name Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	Students who Graduated with Regents or Local Diplomas Count %	
Student Group	Members	Count	70
YATES COUNTY			
PENN YAN CSD			
All Students	167	136	81.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	38	73.1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	115	98	85.2%
DUNDEE CSD			
All Students	82	69	84.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	32	80.0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	37	88.1%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2010-11 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2011