

2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: ALBANY		
Albany High School / Albany City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	652	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	309	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	343	24%
Berne-Knox-Westerlo Junior-Senior High School / Berne	e-Knox-Westerlo	Central School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	95	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	71	41%
Bethlehem Central Senior High School / Bethlehem Cen	tral School Distric	et
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	440	75%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	67%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	422	75%
Cohoes High School / Cohoes City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	176	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	78	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	98	31%
Heatly School / Green Island Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	33	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	42%
Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	446	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	430	69%
Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	500	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	466	68%
Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena	-Coeymans-Selkir	k Central School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	169	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	43	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	126	37%
•		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	_	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District	Count	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Colonie Central High School / South Colonie Cen		• ,
•	itiai School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	482	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	422	54%
Clayton A Bouton High School / Voorheesville Co	entral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	92	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	67%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	86	66%
Watervliet Junior-Senior High School / Watervliet	City School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	90	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	44%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: ALLEGANY	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Alfred-Almond Junior-Senior High School / Alfred-Almond	Central Schoo	I District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Central Conce	
All Students	49	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	75%
Andover School / Andover Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	33	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	42%
Belfast School / Belfast Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	27	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	56%
Bolivar-Richburg Junior-Senior High School / Bolivar-Rich	burg Central S	chool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	64	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	55%
Canaseraga School / Canaseraga Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	19	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	55%
Cuba-Rushford High School / Cuba-Rushford Central Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	69	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	47	45%
Fillmore Central School / Fillmore Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	51	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	43%
Friendship Central School / Friendship Central School Dist	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	29	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	29%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Genesee Valley Central School / Genesee Valley Central S	chool District A	At Angelica-Belmont
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	47	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	61%
Genesee Valley High School / Genesee Valley Central Sch	ool District At A	Angelica-Belmont
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Scio Central School / Scio Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	32	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	19%
Wellsville Senior High School / Wellsville Central School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	125	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	85	40%
Whitesville Central School / Whitesville Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	26	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	53%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	45%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: BROOME	Wellberg	
Binghamton High School / Binghamton City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	400	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	145	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	255	28%
Chenango Forks High School / Chenango Forks Central Sch		2570
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	looi District	
All Students	138	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	103	64%
Chenango Valley High School / Chenango Valley Central Sc		0.70
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	178	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	44	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	134	63%
Deposit Middle-Senior High School / Deposit Central Schoo	l District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	44	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	44%
Harpursville Junior-Senior High School / Harpursville Centr	al School Dist	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	53	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	46%
Johnson City Senior High School / Johnson City Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	203	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	119	58%
Maine-Endwell Senior High School / Maine-Endwell Central	School Distric	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	191	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	166	58%
Susquehanna Valley Senior High School / Susquehanna Va	lley Central So	chool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	166	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	132	47%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Union Endicott High School / Union-Endicott Central S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	317	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	229	65%
Vestal Senior High School / Vestal Central School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	314	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	46%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	279	68%
Whitney Point Senior High School / Whitney Point Cen	ntral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	122	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	73	49%
Windsor Central High School / Windsor Central School	I District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	164	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	118	51%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure County (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 School / District Count or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater Cohort of Cohort on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year Student Group Members COUNTY: CATTARAUGUS Allegany-Limestone High School / Allegany-Limestone Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 101 59% **Economically Disadvantaged** 16 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 85 66% Cattaraugus-Little Valley High School / Cattaraugus-Little Valley Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 34% 21 **Economically Disadvantaged** 38% Not Economically Disadvantaged 33% Ellicottville Middle School High School / Ellicottville Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 53 47% **Economically Disadvantaged** 12 17% Not Economically Disadvantaged 41 56% Franklinville Junior-Senior High School / Franklinville Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 69 32% **Economically Disadvantaged** 34 38% 35 Not Economically Disadvantaged 26% Gowanda High School / Gowanda Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 114 36% **Economically Disadvantaged** 40 35% Not Economically Disadvantaged 74 36% Hinsdale Central School / Hinsdale Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 31 39% **Economically Disadvantaged** 5 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 42% Olean Senior High School / Olean City School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 187 33% **Economically Disadvantaged** 24% 67 Not Economically Disadvantaged 120 38% Portville Junior-Senior High School / Portville Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 73 41% **Economically Disadvantaged** 13 23% Not Economically Disadvantaged 60 45%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group Randolph Academy / Randolph Academy Union Free School	Count of Cohort Members ol District	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Randolph Senior High School / Randolph Central School Di	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	77	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	56%
Salamanca Alternative School / Salamanca City School Dist	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	10	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Salamanca High School / Salamanca City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	69	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	46%
West Valley Central School / West Valley Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	47	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	44	#
Pioneer Senior High School / Yorkshire-Pioneer Central Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	198	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	126	44%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort Members	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group COUNTY: CAYUGA	Members	,
Auburn High School / Auburn City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	393	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	301	49%
Cato-Meridian Senior High School / Cato-Meridian Centi		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ui concoi bistrio	•
All Students	87	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	68	37%
Moravia Junior-Senior High School / Moravia Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	88	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	43%
Port Byron Senior High School / Port Byron Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	78	40%
Southern Cayuga High School / Southern Cayuga Centr	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	79	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	63	27%
Union Springs Middle School High School / Union Sprin	gs Central Schoo	ol District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	82	49%
Weedsport Junior-Senior High School / Weedsport Cent	tral School Distric	et
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	66	52%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure County (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 School / District Count or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater Cohort of Cohort on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year Members Student Group COUNTY: CHAUTAUQUA Maple Grove Junior-Senior High School / Bemus Point Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 61 64% 3 **Economically Disadvantaged** # Not Economically Disadvantaged 58 # **Brocton Middle High School / Brocton Central School District** 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 57 46% 57 Not Economically Disadvantaged 46% Cassadaga Valley High School / Cassadaga Valley Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 102 38% **Economically Disadvantaged** 27 11% Not Economically Disadvantaged 75 48% Chautauqua Lake Secondary School / Chautauqua Lake Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 75 73% **Economically Disadvantaged** 13 54% Not Economically Disadvantaged 62 77% Clymer Central School / Clymer Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 32 38% **Economically Disadvantaged** 15 33% Not Economically Disadvantaged 17 41% **Dunkirk Senior High School / Dunkirk City School District** 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 170 19% **Economically Disadvantaged** 68 10% Not Economically Disadvantaged 102 25% Falconer Middle/High School / Falconer Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 107 50% **Economically Disadvantaged** 32 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 75 60% Forestville Central High School / Forestville Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 23% All Students 44 **Economically Disadvantaged** 14 0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation

30

The federal Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) prohibits the release of personally identifiable student information. This Act precludes the publication of summary information based on fewer than five students or in which subtraction or other simple mathematical operations could be used to obtain personal information. Cells that contain the ("#") symbol have been suppressed to protect student privacy.

Not Economically Disadvantaged

33%



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Fredonia High School / Fredonia Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	169	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	137	64%
Frewsburg Junior-Senior High School / Frewsburg Central S	chool Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	79	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	58	57%
Jamestown High School / Jamestown City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	386	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	201	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	185	62%
Panama High School / Panama Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	51	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	62%
Pine Valley Central Junior-Senior High School / Pine Valley C	Central School	ol District (South Dayton)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	50	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	73%
Ripley Central School / Ripley Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	34	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	43%
Sherman High School / Sherman Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	45	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	58%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	54%
Silver Creek High School / Silver Creek Central School Distri	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	66	50%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation

The federal Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) prohibits the release of personally identifiable student information. This Act precludes the publication of summary information based on fewer than five students or in which subtraction or other simple mathematical operations could be used to obtain personal information. Cells that contain the ("#") symbol have been suppressed to protect student privacy.



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Southwestern Senior High School / Southwester	n Central School District	At Jamestown
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	125	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	105	57%
Westfield High School / Westfield Central Schoo	l District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	64	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	52	60%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

CountyCountSchool / DistrictCountCohortof CohortStudent GroupMembers

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year

Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of Jul
COUNTY: CHEMUNG		
Elmira Alternative High School At Washington Sch	ool / Elmira City School D	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	58	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	44	0%
Elmira Free Academy / Elmira City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	218	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	96	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	122	34%
Southside High School / Elmira City School District	et .	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	266	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	167	23%
Thomas A Edison High School / Elmira Heights Ce	ntral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	90	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	68	34%
Horseheads Senior High School / Horseheads Cen	tral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	340	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	43	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	297	47%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: CHENANGO		
Afton Middle School High School / Afton Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	52	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	44%
Bainbridge-Guilford High School / Bainbridge-Guilford C	entral School Dis	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	72	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	46	50%
Otselic Valley Junior-Senior High School / Georgetown-S	South Otselic Cer	ntral School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	21	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	#
Greene High School / Greene Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	106	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	66	71%
Norwich High School / Norwich City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	144	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	89	63%
Oxford Academy High School / Oxford Academy And Cer	ntral School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	88	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	46%
Sherburne-Earlville Senior High School / Sherburne-Early	ville Central Sch	ool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	142	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	56	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	86	53%
Unadilla Valley High School / Unadilla Valley Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	85	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	47	57%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: CLINTON		
Ausable Valley High School / Ausable Valley Centi	ral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	112	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	92	52%
Beekmantown High School / Beekmantown Centra	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	192	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	129	40%
Chazy Central Rural Junior-Senior High School / C	Chazy Union Free School	ol District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	37	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	63%
Northeastern Clinton Middle School / Northeastern	n Clinton Central Schoo	ol District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Northeastern Clinton Senior High School / Northea	astern Clinton Central S	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	140	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	43	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	97	41%
Northern Adirondack Middle/High School / Northe	rn Adirondack Central S	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	47	36%
Peru Senior High School / Peru Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	195	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	64	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	131	45%
Plattsburgh Senior High School / Plattsburgh City	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	158	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	104	42%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Saranac High School / Saranac Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	127	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	110	36%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
County School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: COLUMBIA		
Berkshire Junior-Senior High School / Berkshire Union	Free School Distr	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	8	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	0%
Chatham High School / Chatham Central School District	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	110	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	90	43%
Germantown Central School / Germantown Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	57	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	47%
Hudson Junior/Senior High School / Hudson City School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	177	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	77	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	100	24%
Ichabod Crane Senior High School / Kinderhook Centra	I School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	175	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	155	56%
New Lebanon Junior-Senior High School / New Lebanon	n Central School I	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	49	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	40	53%
Taconic Hills High School / Taconic Hills Central Schoo	l District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	151	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	21%
Not Forgonically Disadvantaged	440	400/

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation

112

The federal Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) prohibits the release of personally identifiable student information. This Act precludes the publication of summary information based on fewer than five students or in which subtraction or other simple mathematical operations could be used to obtain personal information. Cells that contain the ("#") symbol have been suppressed to protect student privacy.

Not Economically Disadvantaged

49%



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: CORTLAND	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Cincinnatus High School / Cincinnatus Central School Distr	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	51	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	56%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	43%
Cortland Junior-Senior High School / Cortland City School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	207	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	165	48%
Homer Senior High School / Homer Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	187	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	152	50%
Marathon High School / Marathon Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	62	52%
Mcgraw High School / Mcgraw Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	46	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	39%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: DELAWARE	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Andes Central School / Andes Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	14	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	#
Charlotte Valley School / Charlotte Valley Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	34	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	36%
Delaware Academy High School / Delhi Central School Distr	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	84	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	62	31%
Downsville Central School / Downsville Central School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	29	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	64%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	44%
Franklin Central School / Franklin Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	17	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	63%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	9	33%
Hancock Junior-Senior High School / Hancock Central Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	57	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	37	43%
Margaretville Central School / Margaretville Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	38	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	40%
Roxbury Central School / Roxbury Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	22	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	50%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Sidney High School / Sidney Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	28%
South Kortright Central School / South Kortright Central S	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	22	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	67%
Stamford Central School / Stamford Central School Distric	et .	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	28	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	43%
Walton High School / Walton Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	100	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	55	45%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: DUTCHESS	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Arlington High School / Arlington Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	843	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	798	53%
•	790	33 //
Beacon High School / Beacon City School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
	202	240/
All Students	293	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	91	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	202	37%
Dover High School / Dover Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	400	2007
All Students	136	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	30	47%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	106	35%
Franklin D Roosevelt Senior High School / Hyde Park Centra	ai School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	050	9994
All Students	359	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	68	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	291	43%
Alden Place Elementary School / Millbrook Central School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Millbrook High School / Millbrook Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	102	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	95	51%
Webutuck High School / Northeast Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	51	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	47%
Pawling High School / Pawling Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	127	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	112	50%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Stissing Mountain High School / Pine Plains Central Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	87	43%
Poughkeepsie High School / Poughkeepsie City School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	317	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	211	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	106	30%
Red Hook Senior High School / Red Hook Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	185	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	176	66%
Rhinebeck Senior High School / Rhinebeck Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	107	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	100	55%
Spackenkill High School / Spackenkill Union Free School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	162	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	147	60%
John Jay Senior High School / Wappingers Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	546	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	525	56%
Orchard View Alternative High School / Wappingers Centr	al School Distri	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	15	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	#
Roy C Ketcham Senior High School / Wappingers Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	505	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	465	43%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: ERIE	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Akron High School / Akron Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	110	69%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	61%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	92	71%
Alden Senior High School / Alden Central School District	02	1170
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	173	67%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	67%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	158	67%
Amherst Central High School / Amherst Central School Dist		0.70
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	242	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	208	71%
Buffalo Academy Of Science Charter School / Buffalo Acade	emv Of Science	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	,	
All Students	56	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	56	7%
Bennett High School / Buffalo City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	347	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	269	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	78	4%
Buffalo Academy For The Visual & Performing Arts / Buffalo	City School I	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	137	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	4%
Buffalo Elementary School Of Technology / Buffalo City Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Burgard Vocational High School / Buffalo City School Distriction	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	128	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
City Honors School At Fosdick Masten Park / Buffalo City School	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	111	85%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	71%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	73	92%
East High School / Buffalo City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	299	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	222	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	3%
Emerson School Of Hospitality / Buffalo City School District	:	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	92	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	74	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	6%
Frederick Olmsted #56 / Buffalo City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Grover Cleveland High School / Buffalo City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	238	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	174	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	64	2%
Hutchinson Central Technical High School / Buffalo City School	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	251	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	151	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	100	46%
International Preparatory School At Grover Cleveland (The)	/ Buffalo City	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Lafayette High School / Buffalo City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	250	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	177	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	73	0%
Leonardo Da Vinci High School / Buffalo City School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	26%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Lovejoy Discovery School #43 / Buffalo City School District	1	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	4	щ
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Mckinley Vocational High School / Buffalo City School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	050	22/
All Students	356	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	255	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	101	3%
Ps 42 Occupational Training Center / Buffalo City School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	0.5	407
All Students	85	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	56	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	29	0%
Ps 84 / Buffalo City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	7	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	#
Riverside Institute Of Technology / Buffalo City School Dist	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	257	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	181	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	76	5%
Seneca Vocational High School / Buffalo City School Distri	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
South Park High School / Buffalo City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	300	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	208	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	92	3%
The Academy School #131 / Buffalo City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	52	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	0%
The Math Science Technology Preparatory School At Sene	ca / Buffalo Cit	ty School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	77	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Charter School For Applied Technologies / Charter	r School For Applied Te	echnologies
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	82	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	44	25%
Cheektowaga High School / Cheektowaga Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	220	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	82	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	138	46%
Maryvale High School / Cheektowaga-Maryvale Un 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ion Free School Distric	et .
All Students	196	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	141	40%
John F Kennedy Senior High School / Cheektowag	a-Sloan Union Free Sc	hool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	102	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	71	42%
Clarence Senior High School / Clarence Central Sc	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	429	75%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	48%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	402	77%
Cleveland Hill High School / Cleveland Hill Union F	ree School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	104	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	65	38%
Depew High School / Depew Union Free School Di	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	189	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	48	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	141	46%
East Aurora High School / East Aurora Union Free	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	173	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	160	73%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Eden Junior-Senior High School / Eden Central School Dis		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	136	70%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	64%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	125	70%
Enterprise Charter School / Enterprise Charter School		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	7	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	0%
Lake Shore Senior High School / Evans-Brant Central Scho	ool District (La	ke Shore)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	`	•
All Students	279	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	195	37%
Frontier Senior High School / Frontier Central School Distr	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	411	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	314	56%
Grand Island Senior High School / Grand Island Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	280	62%
Economically Disadvantaged	50	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	230	66%
Hamburg High School / Hamburg Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	337	62%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	52%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	308	63%
Holland High School / Holland Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	72	67%
Hopevale School / Hopevale Union Free School District At	Hamburg	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Iroquois Senior High School / Iroquois Central School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	266	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	48%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	241	76%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



County

# Public School Total Cohort Aspirational Performance Measure, English Language Arts and Mathematics - 2009-10 School Year by Economic Status

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure

2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Kenmore East Senior High School / Kenmore-Tonawand		nool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	257	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	66	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	191	55%
Kenmore West Senior High School / Kenmore-Tonawand	la Union Free Sc	hool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	381	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	100	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	281	48%
Lackawanna High School / Lackawanna City School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	175	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	127	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	13%
Lancaster High School / Lancaster Central School Distric	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	517	67%
Economically Disadvantaged	74	58%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	443	68%
North Collins Junior-Senior High School / North Collins (	Central School D	istrict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	62	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	56%
Oracle Charter School / Oracle Charter School		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	49	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	8%
Orchard Park High School / Orchard Park Central School	l District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	443	75%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	52%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	422	76%
Griffith Institute High School / Springville-Griffith Institut	e Central School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	174	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	146	59%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Sweet Home Senior High School / Sweet Home Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	315	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	90	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	225	56%
Tapestry Charter School / Tapestry Charter School		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	50	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	43%
Tonawanda Middle/High School / Tonawanda City School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	161	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	126	53%
West Seneca East Senior High School / West Seneca Cent	ral School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	279	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	226	58%
West Seneca West Senior High School / West Seneca Cen	tral School Dis	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	365	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	94	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	271	54%
Western New York Maritime Charter School / Western New	v York Maritime	Charter School
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	66	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	5%
Williamsville East High School / Williamsville Central Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	273	84%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	263	86%
Williamsville North High School / Williamsville Central Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	331	74%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	308	77%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Williamsville South High School / Williamsville C	Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	246	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	61%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	218	73%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

COUNTY: ESSEX   Crown Point Central School / Crown Point Central School District	County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 25 32% Economically Disadvantaged 13 15% Not Economically Disadvantaged 12 50% Elizabethtown-Lewis Central School / Elizabethtown-Lewis Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 30 30% Economically Disadvantaged 6 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 8 0% Reme Central School / Keene Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 14 79% Economically Disadvantaged 8 75% Not Economically Disadvantaged 9 19 53% Economically Disadvantaged 9 19 20% Economically Disadvantaged 9 19 26% Not Economically Disadvantage	COUNTY: ESSEX		
All Students 25 32% Economically Disadvantaged 13 15% Not Economically Disadvantaged 12 50%  Elizabethtown-Lewis Central School / Elizabethtown-Lewis Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 30 30% Economically Disadvantaged 6 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 24 38% Keene Central School / Keene Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 14 79% Economically Disadvantaged 8 75% Not Economically Disadvantaged 9 49 53% Economically Disadvantaged 4 4 # Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 9 7 # Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 10 20% Economically Disadvantaged 9 8 # Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 10 20% Economically Disadvantaged 7 # Morial Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 48 42% Economically Disadvantaged 19 28% Not Economically Disadvantaged 29 52%  Novemb Central School / Newcomb Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Molt Economically Disa	Crown Point Central School / Crown Point Central School	District	
Economically Disadvantaged   13   15%   Not Economically Disadvantaged   12   50%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged   12   50%	All Students	25	32%
Elizabethtown-Lewis Central School / Elizabethtown-Lewis Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 30 0,0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 0,0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 24 388%  Keene Central School / Keene Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 14 79% Economically Disadvantaged 8 75% Not Economically Disadvantaged 8 75% Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 833%  Lake Placid Junior-Senior High School / Lake Placid Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 49 53% Economically Disadvantaged 4 9 53% Economically Disadvantaged 4 9 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 45 # Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 5 10 20% Economically Disadvantaged 7 # All Students 10 20% Economically Disadvantaged 7 # Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 6 48 42% Economically Disadvantaged 7 # Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 48 42% Economically Disadvantaged 29 52% Not Economically Disadvantaged 29 52% Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 6 # Schoon Economically Disadvantaged 6 # Schoon Economically Disadvantaged 6 # Schoon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 6 # Schroon Lake Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 6 # Schroon Lake Central School J Schroon Lake Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 6 # Schroon Lake Central School J Schroon Lake Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Economically Disadvantaged	13	15%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 30 00% Economically Disadvantaged 6 00% Not Economically Disadvantaged 24 088%  Keene Central School / Keene Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 14 79% Economically Disadvantaged 8 75% Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 83%  Lake Placid Junior-Senior High School / Lake Placid Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 49 53% Economically Disadvantaged 4 9 # Mot Economically Disadvantaged 5 # Mot Economically Disadvantaged 7 # # Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 48 42% Economically Disadvantaged 19 26% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 26% Not Economically Disadvantaged 29 52%  Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 6 # School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 6 # School Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 6 # # School Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 6 # # School Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 9 # All St	Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	50%
All Students 30 30% Economically Disadvantaged 6 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 24 38%  Keene Central School / Keene Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 14 79% Economically Disadvantaged 6 883%  Lake Placid Junior-Senior High School / Lake Placid Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 49 53%  Lake Placid Junior-Senior High School / Lake Placid Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 49 53% Economically Disadvantaged 4 ## Not Economically Disadvantaged 45 ## Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 10 20% Economically Disadvantaged 3 ## Not Economically Disadvantaged 7 ## Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 48 42% Economically Disadvantaged 19 26% Not Economically Disadvantaged 29 52% Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 48 42% Economically Disadvantaged 19 26% Not Economically Disadvantaged 29 52%  Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 7 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 6 ## Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 ## Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 7 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 6 ## Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 24 46% All Students 24	Elizabethtown-Lewis Central School / Elizabethtown-Lewi	s Central School	ol District
Economically Disadvantaged         6         0%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         24         38%           Keene Central School / Keene Central School District         38%           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         4         79%           All Students         14         79%           Economically Disadvantaged         8         75%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         6         83%           Lake Placid Junior-Senior High School / Lake Placid Central School District         8           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         49         53%           All Students         49         53%           Economically Disadvantaged         45         #           Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District         20%         #           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         10         20%         #           All Students         10         20%         #           Economically Disadvantaged         7         #           Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District         20         26%           200 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         48         42%           All Students         48         42%           Economically Disadvantaged	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged         24         38%           Keene Central School / Keene Central School District           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         14         79%           All Students         14         79%           Economically Disadvantaged         8         75%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         6         83%           Lake Placid Junior-Senior High School / Lake Placid Central School District         8           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         49         53%           Economically Disadvantaged         45         #           Milery a Central School / Minerva Central School District         8         #           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         41         #           All Students         10         20%           Economically Disadvantaged         3         #           Not Economically Disadvantaged         7         #           Morital Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District         2006         20%           Economically Disadvantaged         48         42%           Economically Disadvantaged         29         52%           Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District         20         #           2006 Total Cohort - 4	All Students	30	30%
Keene Central School / Keene Central School District           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         14         79%           All Students         8         75%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         6         83%           Lake Placid Junior-Senior High School / Lake Placid Central School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome           All Students         49         53%           Economically Disadvantaged         4         #           Not Economically Disadvantaged         45         #           Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         3         #           All Students         10         20%         20         10         20%         10         20%         10         20%         10         20%         10         20%         10	Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 14 79% Economically Disadvantaged 8 75% Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 83%  Lake Placid Junior-Senior High School / Lake Placid Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 49 53% Economically Disadvantaged 45 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 45 # Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 10 0 20% Economically Disadvantaged 45 # Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 10 0 20% Economically Disadvantaged 7 # Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 48 42% Economically Disadvantaged 19 26% Not Economically Disadvantaged 29 52%  Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 5 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 # Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 # Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 # Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 # Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 24 # 46% Economically Disadvantaged 11 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 # All Students 24 #	Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	38%
All Students 14 79% Economically Disadvantaged 8 75% Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 83%  Lake Placid Junior-Senior High School / Lake Placid Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 49 53% Economically Disadvantaged 4 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 45 # Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 10 20% Economically Disadvantaged 45 # Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 10 20% Economically Disadvantaged 7 # Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 48 42% Economically Disadvantaged 19 26% Not Economically Disadvantaged 29 52%  Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 48 42% Economically Disadvantaged 29 52%  Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 # Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 6 # Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 24 46% Economically Disadvantaged 1 1 46%	Keene Central School / Keene Central School District		
Economically Disadvantaged         8         75%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         6         83%           Lake Placid Junior-Senior High School / Lake Placid Central School District         Seconomically Disadvantaged         49         53%           Economically Disadvantaged         49         53%           Economically Disadvantaged         45         #           Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District         80         20%           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         10         20%           All Students         10         20%           Economically Disadvantaged         7         #           Not Economically Disadvantaged         7         #           All Students         48         42%           Economically Disadvantaged         19         26%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         29         52%           Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District         8         42%           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         7         71%           All Students         7         71%           Economically Disadvantaged         1         #           Not Economically Disadvantaged         6         #           Schroon Lake Central Schoo	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged         6         83%           Lake Placid Junior-Senior High School / Lake Placid Central School District           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         49         53%           All Students         49         53%           Economically Disadvantaged         45         #           Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District         **           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         10         20%           All Students         10         20%           Economically Disadvantaged         7         #           Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District         **           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         48         42%           All Students         48         42%           Economically Disadvantaged         19         26%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         29         52%           Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District         206 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome           All Students         7         71%           Economically Disadvantaged         1         #           Not Economically Disadvantaged         6         #           Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District         4	All Students	14	79%
Lake Placid Junior-Senior High School / Lake Placid Central School District           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         49         53%           All Students         49         53%           Economically Disadvantaged         45         #           Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District         Winerva Central School / Minerva Central School District           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         10         20%           All Students         10         20%           Economically Disadvantaged         7         #           Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District         Winerva Central Cohort - 4 Year Outcome           All Students         48         42%           Economically Disadvantaged         19         26%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         29         52%           Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District         206 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         4           All Students         7         71%           Economically Disadvantaged         6         #           Not Economically Disadvantaged         6         #           Not Economically Disadvantaged         6         #           Schroon Lake Central School /	Economically Disadvantaged	8	75%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students	Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	83%
All Students       49       53%         Economically Disadvantaged       4       #         Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District       #         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       3       *         All Students       10       20%         Economically Disadvantaged       7       #         Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District       *         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       48       42%         Economically Disadvantaged       19       26%         Not Economically Disadvantaged       29       52%         Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District       2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       4         All Students       7       71%         Economically Disadvantaged       1       #         All Students       7       71%         Economically Disadvantaged       6       #         Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District       2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         All Students       24       46%         Economically Disadvantaged       11       46%         Economically Disadvantaged       11       27%	Lake Placid Junior-Senior High School / Lake Placid Centr	ral School Distr	ict
Economically Disadvantaged         4         #           Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District         #           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged         45         #           Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         3         20%           Economically Disadvantaged         3         #           Not Economically Disadvantaged         7         #           Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District         **           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         48         42%           All Students         48         42%           Economically Disadvantaged         19         26%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         29         52%           Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District         **           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         4         #           All Students         7         71%           Economically Disadvantaged         1         #           Not Economically Disadvantaged         6         #           Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District         206         46%           Economically Disadvantaged         11         27%	All Students	49	53%
Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         10         20%           Economically Disadvantaged         3         #           Not Economically Disadvantaged         7         #           Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District         Wester School / Moriah Central School District           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         48         42%           All Students         48         42%           Economically Disadvantaged         19         26%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         29         52%           Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District         206         71%           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         4         4           All Students         7         71%           Economically Disadvantaged         6         #           Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome           All Students         24         46%           Economically Disadvantaged         11         27%	Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 10 20% Economically Disadvantaged 3 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 7 #  Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 48 42% Economically Disadvantaged 19 26% Not Economically Disadvantaged 29 52%  Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 #  Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 #  Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 24 46% Economically Disadvantaged 11 27%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	#
All Students	Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School District		
Economically Disadvantaged   3	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 7 #  Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 48 42% Economically Disadvantaged 19 26% Not Economically Disadvantaged 29 52%  Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 #  Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 4 # Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 24 46% Economically Disadvantaged 11 27%	All Students	10	20%
Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged 29 52%  Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Fconomically Disadvantaged 1 Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 21 22 23 24 24 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 48 42% Economically Disadvantaged 19 26% Not Economically Disadvantaged 29 52%  Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 # Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 24 46% Economically Disadvantaged 11 27%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	7	#
All Students       48       42%         Economically Disadvantaged       19       26%         Not Economically Disadvantaged       29       52%         Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       7       71%         All Students       7       71%         Economically Disadvantaged       1       #         Not Economically Disadvantaged       6       #         Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       24       46%         All Students       24       46%         Economically Disadvantaged       11       27%	Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Central School	I District	
Economically Disadvantaged 19 52%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 29 52%  Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 71%  Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 #  Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 24 46%  Economically Disadvantaged 11 27%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 29 52%  Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 71%  Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 #  Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 24 46%  Economically Disadvantaged 11 27%	All Students	48	42%
Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 71%  Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 #  Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 24 46%  Economically Disadvantaged 11 27%	Economically Disadvantaged	19	26%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       7       71%         All Students       7       71%         Economically Disadvantaged       1       #         Not Economically Disadvantaged       6       #         Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       24       46%         All Students       24       46%         Economically Disadvantaged       11       27%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	29	52%
All Students 7 71% Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 #  Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 24 46% Economically Disadvantaged 11 27%	•	rict	
Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 # Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 24 46% Economically Disadvantaged 11 27%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 # Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 24 46% Economically Disadvantaged 11 27%	All Students	7	71%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 # Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 24 46% Economically Disadvantaged 11 27%		1	
Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 24 46%  Economically Disadvantaged 11 27%	-	6	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 24 46% Economically Disadvantaged 11 27%	, ,	ool District	
All Students 24 46% Economically Disadvantaged 11 27%			
Economically Disadvantaged 11 27%		24	46%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Croup	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ticonderoga Senior High School / Ticonderoga Central Sc	chool district	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	70	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	32%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	38%
Westport Central School / Westport Central School Distric	et	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	25	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	63%
Willsboro Central School / Willsboro Central School Distr	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	22	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	60%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: FRANKLIN		
Brushton Moira High School / Brushton-Moira Central Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	56	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	36%
Chateaugay High School / Chateaugay Central School Distr	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	59	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	53%
Franklin Academy High School / Malone Central School Dis	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	196	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	120	52%
Malone Middle School / Malone Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Saint Regis Falls Central School / Saint Regis Falls Central	School Distric	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	19	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	63%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	64%
Salmon River Junior-Senior High School / Salmon River Cer	ntral School D	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	119	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	47	28%
Saranac Lake Senior High School / Saranac Lake Central Sc	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	133	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	105	67%
Tupper Lake Middle-High School / Tupper Lake Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	86	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	31%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



County

# Public School Total Cohort Aspirational Performance Measure, English Language Arts and Mathematics - 2009-10 School Year by Economic Status

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure

2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	0	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: FULTON		
Broadalbin-Perth High School / Broadalbin-Perth Cen	tral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	172	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	138	59%
Gloversville High School / Gloversville City School Di	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	247	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	117	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	130	45%
Johnstown Senior High School / Johnstown City School	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	170	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	118	47%
Mayfield Jr/Sr High School / Mayfield Central School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	70	47%
Northville High School / Northville Central School Dis	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	45	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	41%
Oppenheim-Ephratah Central School / Oppenheim-Ep	hratah Central Scho	pol District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	27	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	56%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: GENESEE	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Alexander Middle School-High School / Alexander Centra	I School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ii oonoor Bistinot	
All Students	97	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	85	56%
Batavia High School / Batavia City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	203	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	71	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	132	54%
Byron-Bergen High School / Byron-Bergen Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	76	53%
Elba Junior-Senior High School / Elba Central School Dis	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	49	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	40	63%
Le Roy Junior-Senior High School / Le Roy Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	103	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	53%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	86	56%
Oakfield-Alabama Middle School High School / Oakfield-A	Alabama Central	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	98	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	72	43%
Pavilion Junior-Senior High School / Pavilion Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	77	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	59	61%
Pembroke Junior-Senior High School / Pembroke Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	100	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	80	54%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: GREENE	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Cairo-Durham High School / Cairo-Durham Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	133	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	87	33%
Catskill Senior High School / Catskill Central School Distri	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	125	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	83	55%
Coxsackie-Athens High School / Coxsackie-Athens Centra	I School Distric	et
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	122	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	104	35%
Greenville High School / Greenville Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	110	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	84	39%
Hunter-Tannersville Middle School High School / Hunter-Ta	annersville Cer	ntral School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	46	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	53%
Windham Ashland Central School / Windham-Ashland-Jew	ett Central Sch	nool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	29	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: HAMILTON		
Indian Lake Central School / Indian Lake Central School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	16	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	25%
Lake Pleasant School / Lake Pleasant Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Long Lake Central School / Long Lake Central School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	8	75%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	75%
Wells School / Wells Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	18	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: HERKIMER		
James A Green High School / Dolgeville Central School Dis	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	52%
Frankfort Schuyler Central High School / Frankfort-Schuyle	r Central Scho	pol District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	27%
Herkimer High School / Herkimer Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	121	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	95	29%
Ilion Junior-Senior High School / Ilion Central School Distri	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	140	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	95	40%
Little Falls High School / Little Falls City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	53	42%
Gregory B Jarvis Junior-Senior Hs / Mohawk Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	77	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	33%
Mount Markham Senior High School / Mount Markham Cent	ral School Dis	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	114	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	76	51%
Poland Junior-Senior High School / Poland Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	51	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	34	38%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Town Of Webb School / Town Of Webb Union Free School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	23	70%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	87%
Owen D Young Central School / Van Hornesville-Owen D Y	oung Central S	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	21	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	7%
West Canada Valley Junior-Senior High School / West Can	ada Valley Cen	tral School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	70	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	44%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: JEFFERSON	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Alexandria Central High School / Alexandria Centra	I School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ii concor bistrict	
All Students	56	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	54	 #
Belleville Henderson Central School / Belleville Her	• .	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	55	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	33	36%
Carthage Senior High School / Carthage Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	236	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	90	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	146	47%
General Brown Junior-Senior High School / Genera	I Brown Central School	ol District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	123	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	95	52%
Indian River High School / Indian River Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	212	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	64	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	148	41%
La Fargeville Central School / La Fargeville Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	48	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	50%
Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	28	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	50%
Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Ce	entral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	37	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	52%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

	County	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
_	chool / District Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
_	tudent Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Sc	outh Jefferson High School / South Jefferson Central Sci	hool District	
20	06 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
	All Students	171	34%
	Economically Disadvantaged	44	34%
	Not Economically Disadvantaged	127	34%
Th	ousand Islands High School / Thousand Islands Centra	I School Distric	t
20	06 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
	All Students	89	49%
	Economically Disadvantaged	19	32%
	Not Economically Disadvantaged	70	54%
W	atertown Senior High School / Watertown City School Di	istrict	
20	06 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
	All Students	305	33%
	Economically Disadvantaged	88	15%
	Not Economically Disadvantaged	217	40%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: LEWIS	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Beaver River Senior High School / Beaver River Central Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	55	60%
Copenhagen Central School / Copenhagen Central School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	44	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	71%
Harrisville Junior-Senior High School / Harrisville Central S	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	38	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	50%
Lowville High School / Lowville Academy & Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	63%
South Lewis Senior High School / South Lewis Central School	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	86	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	52%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	63%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group COUNTY: LIVINGSTON	Members	,,,,,,,,,,,,
Avon High School / Avon Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students	00	609/
	88 10	69% 37%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	69	78%
Caledonia-Mumford High School / Caledonia-Mumford Ce 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ntrai School Dis	Strict
	0.2	700/
All Students	83	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	73%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	68	72%
Dalton-Nunda High School / Dalton-Nunda Central School	District (Kesne	qua)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	64	440/
All Students	61	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	46	46%
Dansville Senior High School / Dansville Central School D	DISTRICT	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	400	000/
All Students	132	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	90	42%
Geneseo Middle School High School / Geneseo Central School / Geneseo Ce	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	70	0404
All Students	72	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	69%
Livonia Senior High School / Livonia Central School Distr	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	171	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	140	49%
Mount Morris Middle/Senior High School / Mount Morris C	Central School D	istrict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	43	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	32%
York Middle/High School / York Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	73	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	54	46%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: MADISON		
Brookfield Central School / Brookfield Central School Distric	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	20	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	46%
Canastota High School / Canastota Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	117	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	88	36%
Cazenovia High School / Cazenovia Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	131	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	115	69%
Chittenango High School / Chittenango Central School Distr	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	200	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	56	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	144	47%
Deruyter High School / Deruyter Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	37	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	38%
Hamilton Junior-Senior High School / Hamilton Central Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	51	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	67%
Madison Central School / Madison Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	37	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	53%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	55%
Morrisville Middle School High School / Morrisville-Eaton Ce	entral School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	65	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	41	54%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Oneida Senior High School / Oneida City School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	203	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	148	56%
Stockbridge Valley Central School / Stockbridge	Valley Central School Di	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	42	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	44%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: MONROE	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Brighton High School / Brighton Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	264	75%
Economically Disadvantaged	30	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	234	79%
Brockport High School / Brockport Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	347	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	125	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	222	66%
Churchville-Chili Senior High School / Churchville-Chili Cel	ntral School D	istrict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	357	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	59	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	298	72%
Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	279	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	182	44%
East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rocheste	r Union Free S	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	94	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	69	52%
Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School Distri	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	585	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	533	76%
Gates-Chili High School / Gates-Chili Central School Distric	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	386	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	93	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	293	58%
Arcadia High School / Greece Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	_	_
All Students	320	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	221	35%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Athena High School / Greece Central School District	Werribers	,
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	327	48%
	82	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	245	56%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	245	30%
Odyssey Academy / Greece Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	116	500/
All Students	116	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	100	61%
Olympia High School / Greece Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	334	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	131	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	203	36%
Hilton High School / Hilton Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	407	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	73	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	334	63%
Honeoye Falls-Lima Senior High School / Honeoye Falls-I	∟ima Central Scl	hool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	240	77%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	58%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	216	79%
Penfield Senior High School / Penfield Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	398	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	349	70%
Pittsford Sutherland High School / Pittsford Central Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	250	76%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	238	78%
Pittsford-Mendon High School / Pittsford Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	267	81%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	263	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Bioscience & Health Career Hs At Franklin / Rochester Cit	ty School Distric	et
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	92	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	34	0%
Charlotte High School / Rochester City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	176	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	125	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	51	0%
Dr Freddie Thomas High School / Rochester City School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	120	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	81	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	5%
East High School / Rochester City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	289	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	223	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	66	6%
Frederick Douglass Preparatory School / Rochester City S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	8	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	0%
Global Media Arts Hs At Franklin / Rochester City School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	3%
International Finance & Economic Development Hs At Fra	nklin / Rocheste	er City School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	74	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	0%
James Monroe High School / Rochester City School Distri	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	296	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	219	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
John Marshall High School / Rochester City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	154	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	119	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	3%
Joseph C Wilson Foundation Academy / Rochester City Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	8	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Joseph C Wilson Magnet High School / Rochester City School	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	364	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	245	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	119	17%
Northeast College Preparatory High School / Rochester City	y School Distr	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	74	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	13%
Northwest College Preparatory High School / Rochester Cit	y School Disti	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	58	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	15%
School 3-Nathaniel Rochester / Rochester City School Distr	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
School For Business, Finance And Entrepreneurship At Edi	ison / Rochest	er City School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	100	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	74	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	8%
School Of Engineering And Manufacturing At Edison / Roch	nester City Scl	nool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	95	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	68	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
School Of Imaging And Information Technology At Edison /	Rochester Ci	ty School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	48	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	8%
School Of The Arts / Rochester City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	160	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	81	35%
Skilled Trades At Edison / Rochester City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	71	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	57	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%
Thomas Jefferson High School / Rochester City School Dis	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	178	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	134	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	44	0%
Rush-Henrietta Senior High School / Rush-Henrietta Centra	I School Distr	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	485	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	131	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	354	57%
Spencerport High School / Spencerport Central School Dist	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	401	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	340	65%
Spry Middle School / Webster Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	8	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	0%
Thomas High School / Webster Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	357	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	339	69%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort	of Cohort	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	on a main regime, as or same or the haryour
Webster-Schroeder High School / Webster Central Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	382	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	350	61%
Willink Middle School / Webster Central School Distric	;t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Irondequoit High School / West Irondequoit Central Sc	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	331	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	270	67%
Wheatland Chili High School / Wheatland-Chili Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	61	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	52	58%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: MONTGOMERY	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Amsterdam High School / Amsterdam City School Dis	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	310	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	101	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	209	33%
Canajoharie Senior High School / Canajoharie Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	63%
Fonda-Fultonville Senior High School / Fonda-Fultonv	ille Central School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	126	60%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	95	65%
Fort Plain Junior-Senior High School / Fort Plain Cent	ral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	62%
Saint Johnsville Junior-Senior High School / Saint Joh	nnsville Central Sch	ool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	39	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	10%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: NASSAU	o Cahaal District	
Baldwin Senior High School / Baldwin Union Fre 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ee School District	
All Students	433	43%
	8	13%
Economically Disadvantaged  Not Economically Disadvantaged	6 425	44%
John F Kennedy High School / Bellmore-Merrick		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Central High School Dis	unct
All Students	346	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	341	73%
•		
Sanford H Calhoun High School / Bellmore-Merr 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ick Central High School I	DISTRICT
All Students	355	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	345	61%
Wellington C Mepham High School / Bellmore-M 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	errick Central High Scho	of District
All Students	295	64%
	295	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	287	65%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	_	03%
Bethpage Senior High School / Bethpage Union 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Free School District	
All Students	273	70%
		38%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	257	72%
Carle Place Middle Senior High School / Carle Pl	lace Union Free School D	DISTRICT
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students	110	720/
	119	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	107	76%
East Meadow High School / East Meadow Union 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Free School District	
	450	FC0/
All Students	458	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	426	57%
W Tresper Clarke High School / East Meadow Un	nion Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	000	500/
All Students	200	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	193	55%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
East Rockaway Junior-Senior High School / East Rockaway	Union Free S	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	110	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	97	36%
Wheatley School / East Williston Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	134	79%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	133	#
Farmingdale Senior High School / Farmingdale Union Free S	School Distric	et
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	514	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	80	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	434	59%
Freeport High School / Freeport Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	486	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	205	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	281	21%
Garden City High School / Garden City Union Free School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	296	85%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	296	85%
Glen Cove High School / Glen Cove City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	231	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	82	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	149	46%
Great Neck North High School / Great Neck Union Free School	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	261	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	46%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	233	76%
Great Neck South High School / Great Neck Union Free Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	356	79%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	71%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	318	80%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Village School / Great Neck Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	17	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	#
Hempstead High School / Hempstead Union Free School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	385	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	200	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	185	4%
Herricks High School / Herricks Union Free School District	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	355	69%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	78%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	346	68%
George W Hewlett High School / Hewlett-Woodmere Union	Free School D	istrict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	277	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	263	69%
Hicksville High School / Hicksville Union Free School Distr	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	475	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	383	51%
Island Trees High School / Island Trees Union Free School	l District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	215	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	208	56%
Jericho Senior High School / Jericho Union Free School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	338	89%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	338	89%
Lawrence Senior High School / Lawrence Union Free Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	267	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	226	38%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Division Avenue Senior High School / Levittown Union Fre	e School Distri	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	300	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	51%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	261	68%
Gen Douglas Macarthur Senior High School / Levittown Ur	nion Free Scho	ol District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	330	77%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	69%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	301	78%
Locust Valley High School / Locust Valley Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	175	67%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	167	70%
Long Beach Senior High School / Long Beach City School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	353	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	80	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	273	50%
Lynbrook Senior High School / Lynbrook Union Free Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	251	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	70%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	241	65%
Malverne Senior High School / Malverne Union Free School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	152	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	110	35%
Manhasset Secondary School / Manhasset Union Free Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	210	83%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	206	#
Massapequa High School / Massapequa Union Free School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	616	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	52%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	595	69%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Mineola High School / Mineola Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	193	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	164	53%
North Shore Senior High School / North Shore Central School	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	226	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	216	75%
Castleton Academy High School Of Oceanside / Oceanside	Union Free So	chool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	24	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	#
School 7-Oceanside Senior High School / Oceanside Union	Free School I	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	455	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	435	69%
Oyster Bay High School / Oyster Bay-East Norwich Central	School Distric	et
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	123	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	110	60%
Plainedge Senior High School / Plainedge Union Free Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	269	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	241	63%
Plainview-Old Bethpage/Jfk High School / Plainview-Old Be	ethpage Centra	al School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	428	84%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	52%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	407	85%
Paul D Schreiber Senior High School / Port Washington Un	ion Free Scho	ol District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	388	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	350	77%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
South Side High School / Rockville Centre Union Free Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	289	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	37	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	252	78%
Roosevelt High School / Roosevelt Union Free School Distr	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	195	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	111	9%
Roslyn High School / Roslyn Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	312	80%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	284	82%
Seaford Senior High School / Seaford Union Free School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	182	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	174	58%
Elmont Memorial High School / Sewanhaka Central High Sc	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	315	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	243	33%
Floral Park Memorial High School / Sewanhaka Central Hig	h School Distr	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	212	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	191	57%
H Frank Carey High School / Sewanhaka Central High Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	337	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	306	60%
New Hyde Park Memorial High School / Sewanhaka Central	l High School l	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	280	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	256	66%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Sewanhaka High School / Sewanhaka Central High School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	256	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	187	51%
Syosset Senior High School / Syosset Central School Distri	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	540	76%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	73%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	525	76%
Uniondale High School / Uniondale Union Free School Distr	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	493	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	226	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	267	20%
Valley Stream Central High School / Valley Stream Central H	High School D	istrict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	345	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	47%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	313	48%
Valley Stream Memorial Junior High School / Valley Stream	Central High	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Valley Stream North High School / Valley Stream Central Hi	gh School Dis	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	188	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	175	61%
Valley Stream South High School / Valley Stream Central Hi	igh School Dis	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	214	62%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	63%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	195	62%
Wantagh Senior High School / Wantagh Union Free School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	284	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	281	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
West Hempstead High School / West Hempstead	<b>Union Free School Distr</b>	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	217	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	185	41%
Westbury High School / Westbury Union Free Sci	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	281	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	174	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	107	17%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
1	#
1	#
3	#
3	#
	of Cohort Members  1 1 3

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



# Public School Total Cohort Aspirational Performance Measure, English Language Arts and Mathematics - 2009-10 School Year by Economic Status

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure

2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: MANHATTAN		
John V Lindsay Wildcat Academy Charter School / John V	Lindsay Wildca	at Academy Charter School
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	170	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	113	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	4%
New Heights Academy Charter School / New Heights Acad	emy Charter So	chool
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	94	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	83	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	0%
Bard High School Early College / New York City Geographi	c District # 1	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	139	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	73%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	113	71%
Cascades High School / New York City Geographic District	t # 1	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	75	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	7%
Henry Street School For International Studies / New York C	City Geographic	c District # 1
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	78	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	51	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	4%
Lower East Side Preparatory High School / New York City	Geographic Dis	strict # 1
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	193	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	193	33%
Marta Valle Secondary School / New York City Geographic	District # 1	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	84	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	73	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	9%
New Explorations Into Science, Tech And Math School / Ne	w York City Ge	eographic District # 1
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	46	91%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	95%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	88%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



County

# Public School Total Cohort Aspirational Performance Measure, English Language Arts and Mathematics - 2009-10 School Year by Economic Status

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure

2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
University Neighborhood High School / New York City	Geographic Distric	et # 1
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	124	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	6%
American Sign Language & English School / New Yor	k City Geographic D	District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	25	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Art And Design High School / New York City Geograp	hic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	320	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	210	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	110	15%
Baruch College Campus High School / New York City	Geographic District	t # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	105	70%
Economically Disadvantaged	66	71%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	69%
Bayard Rustin Educational Complex / New York City C	Geographic District	# 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	365	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	269	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	96	4%
Chelsea Career And Technical Education High Schoo	I / New York City Ge	eographic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	173	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	131	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	2%
Eleanor Roosevelt High School / New York City Geogr	raphic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	407	0.407
All Students	127	81%
Economically Disadvantaged	127	81%
Emma Lazarus High School / New York City Geograph	nic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	40	00/
All Students	10	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Food And Finance High School / New York City Geogr	apnic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	400	4.407
All Students	102	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	71	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	6%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Gramercy Arts High School / New York City Geographic D	istrict # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	40	5%
Harvey Milk High School / New York City Geographic Dist	rict # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	30	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	13%
High School For Dual Language And Asian Studies / New	<b>York City Geog</b>	raphic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	54	74%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
High School For Environmental Studies / New York City G	eographic Distr	rict # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	352	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	206	45%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	146	20%
High School For Health Professions & Human Services / N	New York City G	eographic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	341	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	338	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
High School Of Economics & Finance / New York City Ged	ographic Distric	t # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	177	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	171	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
High School Of Graphic Communication Arts / New York	City Geographic	: District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	407	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	377	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	0%
High School Of Hospitality Management / New York City O	Seographic Dist	rict # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	50	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	20%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Independence High School / New York City Geographic D	istrict # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	145	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	118	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	0%
Jacqueline Kennedy-Onassis High School / New York Cit	y Geographic Di	strict # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	148	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	106	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	14%
Leadership & Public Service High School / New York City	Geographic Dis	strict # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	144	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	106	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	38	8%
Liberty High School Academy For Newcomers / New York	City Geographi	c District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	93	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Life Sciences Secondary School / New York City Geograp	hic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	118	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	87	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	3%
Lower Manhattan Arts Academy / New York City Geograp	hic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	68	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	50	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	6%
Manhattan Bridges High School / New York City Geograp	hic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	111	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Manhattan Comprehensive Night And Day High School / I	New York City G	eographic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	260	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	228	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	32	6%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	on a main Negents) as or sune or the 4th year
Millennium High School / New York City Geographic Distric	t#2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	450	0504
All Students	150	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	150	65%
Murry Bergtraum High School For Business Careers / New	York City Geo	graphic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	637	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	458	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	179	11%
New Design High School / New York City Geographic Distri	ct # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	70	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	10%
Norman Thomas High School / New York City Geographic D	District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	464	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	354	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	110	1%
Nyc Lab High School For Collaborative Studies / New York	City Geograph	nic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	124	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	58%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	91	56%
Nyc Museum School / New York City Geographic District #	2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	106	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	106	21%
Pace High School / New York City Geographic District # 2		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	92	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	29	31%
Professional Performing Arts High School / New York City (	Geographic Di	strict # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	52	40%
Repertory Company High School For Theatre Arts / New Yo	rk City Geogra	aphic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	49	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	9	11%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Richard R Green High School Of Teaching / New York City	Geographic Di	istrict # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	134	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	89	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	16%
Stuyvesant High School / New York City Geographic Distri	ict # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	787	98%
Economically Disadvantaged	320	99%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	467	98%
Talent Unlimited High School / New York City Geographic	District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	136	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	74	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	62	37%
The High School Of Fashion Industries / New York City Ge	ographic Distri	ict # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	416	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	323	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	93	40%
Unity Center For Urban Technologies / New York City Geo	graphic Distric	t # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	53	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	47	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
Urban Assembly Academy Of Government And Law / New	York City Geog	graphic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	61	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	0%
Urban Assembly School Of Business For Young Women /	New York City	Geographic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	72	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	59	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	0%
Urban Assembly School Of Design And Construction / New	w York City Ged	ographic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Washington Irving High School / New York City Geograph	ic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	443	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	261	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	182	5%
Edward A Reynolds West Side High School / New York Ci	ty Geographic [	District # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	200	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	197	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Fiorello H Laguardia High School Of Music / New York Cit	y Geographic D	vistrict # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	646	75%
Economically Disadvantaged	187	74%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	459	76%
Frederick Douglas Academy li Secondary School / New Yo	ork City Geogra	phic District # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	54	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	7%
High School For Arts, Imagination And Inquiry / New York	City Geograph	ic District # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	57	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	32	6%
High School For Law, Advocacy And Community Justice	New York City	Geographic District # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	107	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	81	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	15%
High School Of Arts And Technology / New York City Geo	graphic District	# 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	137	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	109	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	4%
Innovation Diploma Plus / New York City Geographic Dist	rict # 3	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	39	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation

The federal Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) prohibits the release of personally identifiable student information. This Act precludes the publication of summary information based on fewer than five students or in which subtraction or other simple mathematical operations could be used to obtain personal information. Cells that contain the ("#") symbol have been suppressed to protect student privacy.



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Louis D Brandeis High School / New York City Geograph	ic District #3	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	521	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	493	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	0%
Manhattan Theatre Lab High School / New York City Geo	graphic District	# 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	74	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	32	6%
Manhattan/Hunter Science High School / New York City (	Geographic Distr	ict # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	90	76%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	73%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	38	79%
Urban Assembly School For Media Studies / New York C	ity Geographic D	vistrict # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	100	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	66	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	34	3%
Wadleigh Secondary School For The Performing Arts / N	ew York City Ged	ographic District # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	127	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	127	9%
Academy Of Environmental Science Secondary School /	New York City G	eographic District # 4
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	59	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	0%
Coalition School For Social Change / New York City Geo	graphic District #	<b>‡ 4</b>
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	76	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	4%
Heritage School (The) / New York City Geographic Distric	ct # 4	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	12%
J.H.S. 99 / New York City Geographic District # 4		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
, 5		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Manhattan Center For Science & Mathematics / New York C	City Geographi	c District # 4
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	381	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	299	59%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	82	45%
Ms 45/Stars Prep Academy / New York City Geographic Dis	strict # 4	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Park East High School / New York City Geographic District	# 4	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	92	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	75	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	6%
Tito Puento Education Complex / New York City Geographi	ic District # 4	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Urban Peace Academy / New York City Geographic District	# 4	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	45	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Young Women'S Leadership School / New York City Geogr	aphic District	# 4
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	55	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	47%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	29%
Choir Academy Of Harlem / New York City Geographic Dist	trict # 5	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	39	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	7	0%
Frederick Douglass Academy / New York City Geographic	District # 5	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	259	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	175	46%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	84	45%
Harlem Renaissence High School / New York City Geograp	hic District # 5	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	23	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



County

# Public School Total Cohort Aspirational Performance Measure, English Language Arts and Mathematics - 2009-10 School Year by Economic Status

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure

2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
High School Math Science And Engineering At C	cny / New York City Geo	graphic District # 5
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	106	94%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	97%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	70	93%
Is 195 Roberto Clemente / New York City Geogra	phic District # 5	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Mott Hall High School / New York City Geograph	ic District # 5	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	70	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	12%
Thurgood Marshall Academy For Learning & Soc 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	•	
All Students	95	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	20%
Urban Assembly For The Performing Arts / New	York City Geographic Dis	strict # 5
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	62	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	6%
A Philip Randolph Campus High School / New Yo	ork City Geographic Dist	rict # 6
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	267	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	169	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	98	24%
Gregorio Luperon High Sch For Science And Ma 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	thematics / New York Cit	y Geographic District # 6
All Students	91	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	85	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
High School For Health Careers & Sciences / New	w York City Geographic I	District # 6
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	158	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	136	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	9%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
High School For International-Business & Finance / New	York City Geogr	aphic District # 6
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	185	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	171	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	14%
High School For Law & Public Service / New York City G	Seographic Distric	et # 6
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	171	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	110	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	11%
High School For Media & Communications / New York C	ity Geographic D	istrict # 6
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	161	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	128	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	33	3%
Jhs 52 Inwood / New York City Geographic District # 6		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Opportunity Charter School / Opportunity Charter School	ol	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	50	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Croup	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group COUNTY: BRONX	Members	,
Bronx Preparatory Charter School / Bronx Preparator	ry Charter School	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	y onunter comoci	
All Students	39	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	0%
International Leadership Charter School / International	_	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	54	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	7%
Alfred E Smith Career And Technical High School / N	_	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	on ronk only coog.c	
All Students	267	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	211	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	56	4%
Bronx Academy Of Letters / New York City Geograph		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	76	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	13%
Crotona Academy High School / New York City Geogr	raphic District # 7	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	•	
All Students	56	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	0%
Foreign Language Academy Of Global Studies / New	York City Geograph	ic District # 7
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	136	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	117	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	0%
Health Opportunities High School / New York City Ge	ographic District # 7	•
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	134	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	112	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	14%
Hostos-Lincoln Academy Of Science / New York City	<b>Geographic District</b>	#7
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	61	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	33%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
International Community High School / New York City Geogra	aphic Distric	t # 7
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	40	004
All Students	10	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Is 222 / New York City Geographic District # 7		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jhs 151 Lou Gehrig / New York City Geographic District # 7		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	_	
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jhs 162 Lola Rodriguez De Tio / New York City Geographic D	istrict # 7	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jill Chaifetz Transfer High School / New York City Geographi	ic District # 7	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	50	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	0%
Mott Haven Village Prep High School / New York City Geogra	phic District	#7
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	69	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%
New Explorers High School / New York City Geographic Dist	rict # 7	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	70	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	0%
Samuel Gompers Career And Technical Education High Scho	ool / New Yor	k City Geographic District # 7
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	357	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	334	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	23	0%
South Bronx Preparatory - A College Board School / New York	rk City Geogı	raphic District # 7
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

Urban Assembly School For Careers In Sports / New York City Geographic District # 7	County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
All Students	Urban Assembly School For Careers In Sports / I	New York City Geographi	c District # 7
Economically Disadvantaged   63   2%   Not Economically Disadvantaged   19   5%   Abdia! E Stevenson High School / New York City Geographic District # 8   2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome   All Students   7   0%   Economically Disadvantaged   5   #   2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome   All Students   79   0%   2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome   All Students   79   0%   2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome   4   4 Year Outcome   5 Year Outcome   5 Year Outcome   5 Year Outcome   6 Year Outcome   6 Year Outcome   7 Year Outcome   8 Year Outcome   8 Year Outcome   9 O%   9 Year Outcome   9 Year Outcome   9 O%   9 Year Outcome   9	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 5%  Adlal & Estevenson High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 0%  Economically Disadvantaged 5 # # Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 # # P	All Students	82	2%
Adlai E Stevenson High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 7 0% Economically Disadvantaged 5 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 # Bronx Community High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 79 0% Economically Disadvantaged 59 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 20 0% Bronx Guild High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 64 29% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 11 0% Economically Disadvantaged 1 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 10 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And Public Policy / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Cateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 90 0% Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 96 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 97 0% Cateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 96 0% Not Economically Disadvan	Economically Disadvantaged	63	2%
All Students 7 0% Economically Disadvantaged 5 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 # Bronx Community High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 79 0% Economically Disadvantaged 59 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 20 0% Bronx Guild High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 64 2% Economically Disadvantaged 20 0% Bronx Guild High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 64 2% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 11 0% Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 26 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 100% Economically Disadvantaged 100% Not Economically Disadv	Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	5%
All Students 7 0% Economically Disadvantaged 5 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 # Bronx Community High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 79 0% Economically Disadvantaged 59 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 20 0% Bronx Guild High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 64 2% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 0 # Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 11 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Guteway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 96 0% Horbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 96 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 97 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 98 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 99 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 96 0% Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17%	Adlai E Stevenson High School / New York City (	Geographic District # 8	
Economically Disadvantaged 5 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 # Bronx Community High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 79 0% Economically Disadvantaged 59 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 20 0% Bronx Guild High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 64 2% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 11 # Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 11 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 m All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 96 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 97 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 98 0% No	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged   2	All Students	7	0%
### Part	Economically Disadvantaged	5	#
All Students 79 0% Economically Disadvantaged 59 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 20 0%  Bronx Guild High School / New York City Geographic District #8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 64 2% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District #8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 11 0% Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District #8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 11 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And Public Policy / New York City Geographic District #8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 99 0% Rot Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0% Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District #8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 96 0% Horbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District #8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 95 0% Horbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District #8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1092 17% All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
All Students 79 0% Economically Disadvantaged 59 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 20 0% Bronx Guild High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 64 2% Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 64 2% Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 11 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 19 0% Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 99 0% Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0% Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 160 0% Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1092 17% All Students 1092 17% All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1095 17%	Bronx Community High School / New York City C	Geographic District # 8	
Economically Disadvantaged	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 20 0%  Bronx Guild High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 64 2% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 11 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 11 # Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And Public Policy / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Ove Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 99 0% Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0% Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17%	All Students	79	0%
Bronx Guild High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 64 2% Economically Disadvantaged 63 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 my #  Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 11 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 my #  Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And Public Policy / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0%  Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 96 0% Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 16 0% Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17%	Economically Disadvantaged	59	0%
All Students 64 2% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 11 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And Public Policy / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0% Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0% Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 109 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1095 17%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	0%
All Students 64 2% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 11 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And Public Policy / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0% Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 99 0% Sateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0% Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1095 17%	Bronx Guild High School / New York City Geogra	phic District # 8	
Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 10 # Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And Public Policy / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0% Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0% Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 111 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And Public Policy / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0%  Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 95 3%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0%  Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 105 17%  Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	All Students	64	2%
Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 #Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And Public Policy / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0% Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0%  Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17%	Economically Disadvantaged	63	#
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 11 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 1 #  Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And Public Policy / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0%  Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0% Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 26 0% Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
All Students 11 0% Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 w# Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And Public Policy / New York City Geographic District #8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0% Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District #8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0% Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District #8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1095 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1095 17%	Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geogr	aphic District # 8	
Economically Disadvantaged 10 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And Public Policy / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0% Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0% Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And Public Policy / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 99 0%  Economically Disadvantaged 80 0%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0%  Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 121 2%  Economically Disadvantaged 95 3%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0%  Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1092 17%  Economically Disadvantaged 1095 17%	All Students	11	0%
Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And Public Policy / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0%  Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0% Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	Economically Disadvantaged	10	#
All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0%  Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0%  Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
All Students 99 0% Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0%  Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0%  Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And F	Public Policy / New York (	City Geographic District # 8
Economically Disadvantaged 80 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0%  Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0%  Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 0%  Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 121 2%  Economically Disadvantaged 95 3%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0%  Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1092 17%  Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	All Students	99	0%
Gateway School For Environmental Research And Technology / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 121 2% Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0%  Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	Economically Disadvantaged	80	0%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 121 2%  Economically Disadvantaged 95 3%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0%  Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1092 17%  Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	0%
All Students       121       2%         Economically Disadvantaged       95       3%         Not Economically Disadvantaged       26       0%         Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       1092       17%         All Students       1092       17%         Economically Disadvantaged       1035       17%	Gateway School For Environmental Research An	nd Technology / New Yorl	City Geographic District #8
Economically Disadvantaged 95 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0%  Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 0%  Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1092 17%  Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	All Students	121	2%
Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City Geographic District # 8  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1092 17%  Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	Economically Disadvantaged	95	3%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       1092       17%         All Students       1035       17%         Economically Disadvantaged       1035       17%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	0%
All Students 1092 17% Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City C	Geographic District # 8	
Economically Disadvantaged 1035 17%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
	All Students	1092	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 57 0%	Economically Disadvantaged	1035	17%
	Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	•
Holcombe L Rucker School Of Community Research / New	York City Geo	ographic District # 8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	81	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	13%
Hs 560 Bronx Academy High School / New York City Geogr	raphic District	#8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	141	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	123	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	0%
Is 192 Piagentini Jones / New York City Geographic Distric	t # 8	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jane Addams High School For Academic Careers / New Yo	ork City Geogra	aphic District # 8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	419	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	347	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	72	3%
Jhs 123 James M Kiernan / New York City Geographic Dist	rict # 8	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Millenium Art Academy / New York City Geographic Distric	t # 8	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	89	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	5%
New School For Arts And Sciences / New York City Geogra	aphic District #	8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	•	
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Pablo Neruda Academy For Architecture And World Studie	s / New York C	City Geographic District # 8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	4%
Renaissance High School For Musical Theater And Techno		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		2, 23091401110 21011101 // 0
All Students	117	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	82	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	6%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



County

# Public School Total Cohort Aspirational Performance Measure, English Language Arts and Mathematics - 2009-10 School Year by Economic Status

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure

2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
School For Community Research And Learning / New York	ork City Geograph	nic District # 8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	0%
Validus Prep Academy: An Expeditionary Learning / Ne	w York City Geog	raphic District # 8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Women'S Academy Of Excellence / New York City Geog	raphic District # 8	3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	57	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	22%
Bronx Center For Science And Mathematics / New York	City Geographic I	District # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	100	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	100	59%
Bronx Expeditionary Learning High School / New York (	City Geographic D	Pistrict # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	85	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	0%
Bronx High School For Medical Science / New York City	Geographic Distr	rict # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	103	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	16%
Bronx High School Of Business / New York City Geogra	phic District # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	110	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	8%
Bronx International High School / New York City Geogra	aphic District #9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	88	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Bronx Leadership Academy High School / New York City	y Geographic Dist	trict # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	178	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	138	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	40	20%
<del>-</del>		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Bronx Leadership Academy li High School / New York City	y Geographic Di	istrict # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	102	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	101	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Bronx School For Law Government And Justice / New Yo	rk City Geograp	hic District # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	122	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	121	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Dreamyard Preparatory School / New York City Geograph	ic District # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	24%
Eagle Academy For Young Men / New York City Geograph 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	nic District # 9	
All Students	100	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Eximius College Preparatory Academy / New York City Ge	eographic Distri	ct # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	60	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	59	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Frederick Douglas Academy Iii Secondary School / New Y	ork City Geogra	aphic District # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	87	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	4%
High School For Violin And Dance / New York City Geogra	aphic District # 9	9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	47	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Is 219 New Venture School / New York City Geographic D	istrict # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Is 229 Roland Patterson / New York City Geographic Distr	rict # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Is 232 / New York City Geographic District # 9		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Is 313 School Of Leadership Development / New York City G	eographic Di	strict # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Is 339 / New York City Geographic District # 9		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Jhs 145 Arturo Toscanini / New York City Geographic Distric	ct # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jhs 22 Jordan L Mott / New York City Geographic District #	9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jonathan Levin Hs Of Media And Communications / New Yo	rk City Geogr	aphic District # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	123	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	13%
Leadership Institute / New York City Geographic District # 9		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	67	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%
Morris Academy For Collaborative Studies / New York City C	Geographic Di	istrict # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	98	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Mott Hall Bronx High School / New York City Geographic Dis	strict # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	107	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	105	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



County

#### Public School Total Cohort Aspirational Performance Measure, English Language Arts and Mathematics - 2009-10 School Year by Economic Status

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure

2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
New Millennium Business Academy Middle School / Ne	w York City Geog	raphic District # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
School For Excellence / New York City Geographic Dist	rict # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	6%
Urban Assembly Acad-History And Citizenship For You	ng Men / New Yor	k City Geographic District # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	74	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	43	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	3%
Validus Preparatory Academy / New York City Geograp	hic District # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	118	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	117	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Belmont Prep High School / New York City Geographic	District #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	86	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Bronx Engineering And Technology Academy / New Yo	rk City Geographi	c District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	73	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	10%
Bronx High School Of Science / New York City Geograph	ohic District #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	668	97%
Economically Disadvantaged	247	98%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	421	97%
Bronx High School-Law And Community Services / New	v York City Geogra	aphic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	115	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	104	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	0%
Bronx School Of Law And Finance / New York City Geo	graphic District #1	10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	10%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Bronx Theatre High School / New York City Geographic D	istrict #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	91	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	6%
Dewitt Clinton High School / New York City Geographic D	istrict #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1013	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	815	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	198	13%
Discovery High School / New York City Geographic District	ct #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	83	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	0%
English Language Learners And International Support / N	ew York City Ge	eographic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Fordham High School For The Arts / New York City Geogr	aphic District #	10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	82	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	74	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	0%
Fordham Leadership Academy For Business & Technolog	y / New York Ci	ity Geographic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	144	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	117	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	7%
Grace H Dodge Career And Technical High School / New Y	York City Geogr	aphic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	362	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	356	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
High School For Teaching And The Professions / New York	rk City Geograp	hic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	134	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	120	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
High School Of American Studies At Lehman College / Ne	w York City Ge	ographic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	78	95%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	96%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	50	94%
In Tech Academy (Ms/Hs 368) / New York City Geographic	District #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	115	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	94	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	10%
International School For Liberal Arts / New York City Geog	graphic District	#10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	83	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%
Jhs 80 The Mosholu Parkway / New York City Geographic	District #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
John F Kennedy High School / New York City Geographic	District #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	502	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	389	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	113	4%
Kingsbridge International High School / New York City Ge	ographic Distri	ct #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	118	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	104	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	7%
Knowledge And Power Prep Academy International High S	School / New Yo	ork City Geographic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Marble Hill High School Of International Studies / New York	rk City Geograp	hic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	107	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	50%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Marie Curie High Sch-Nursing, Medicine & Applied Hith Pro	of / New York C	City Geographic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	67	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	0%
Ms 399 / New York City Geographic District #10		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
New School For Leadership And Journalism / New York Cit	y Geographic	District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Providing Urban Learners Success In Education High Scho	ool / New York	City Geographic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	113	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	93	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	0%
Ps 306 / New York City Geographic District #10		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Ps 95 Sheila Mencher / New York City Geographic District #	<b>#10</b>	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Riverdale/Kingsbridge Academy (Ms/Hs 141) / New York Cit	ty Geographic	District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	135	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	134	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
The Angelo Patri Middle School / New York City Geographic	c District #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
The Celia Cruz Bronx High School Of Music / New York City	y Geographic l	District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	82	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	26%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Theatre Arts Production Company School / New York City	Geographic Di	strict #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	62	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	17%
Thomas C Giordano Middleschool 45 / New York City Geog	graphic District	t #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
West Bronx Academy For The Future / New York City Geog	graphic District	±#10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	72	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	9%
Academy For Scholarship And Entreneurship / New York C	ity Geographic	c District #11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	65	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	23	9%
Astor Collegiate Academy / New York City Geographic Dist	trict #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	111	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	32	3%
Bronx Academy Of Health Careers / New York City Geogra	phic District #1	11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	96	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Bronx Aerospace High School / New York City Geographic	District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	70	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Bronx Health Sciences High School / New York City Geogr	aphic District #	<del>¥</del> 11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	58	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Bronx High School For The Visual Arts / New York City Geo	ographic Distri	ict #11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	95	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	93	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Bronx High School For Writing And Communication Arts / I	New York City	Geographic District #11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	118	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	115	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Bronx Lab School / New York City Geographic District #11		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	94	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Christopher Columbus High School / New York City Geogra	aphic District	#11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	382	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	243	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	139	4%
Collegiate Institute For Math And Science / New York City C	Geographic Dis	strict #11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	121	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	78	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	23%
Evander Childs High School / New York City Geographic Di	istrict #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Global Enterprise High School / New York City Geographic	District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	116	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	7%
Harry S Truman High School / New York City Geographic D	istrict #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	565	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	234	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	331	11%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort Members	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group  High School Of Computers And Technology / New York Cit		• ,
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	.y ocograpino	
All Students	90	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	87	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
High School Of Contemporary Arts / New York City Geogra	_	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	.,	
All Students	118	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	115	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Jhs 113 Richard R Green / New York City Geographic Distr	rict #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jhs 127 The Castle Hill / New York City Geographic Distric	t #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Ms 180 Dr Daniel Hale Williams / New York City Geographic	c District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
New World High School / New York City Geographic District	ct #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	62	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	7	14%
Pelham Preparatory Academy / New York City Geographic	District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	111	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	74	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	37	35%
The Bronx Preparatory Academy / New York City Geograph	nic District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	66	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	0%
Bronx Coalition Community High School / New York City G	Seographic Dis	trict #12
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	78	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort Student Crown	of Cohort Members	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group Bronx Latin School / New York City Geographic District #12		,
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Bronx Regional High School / New York City Geographic Di		π
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Strict #12	
All Students	107	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	7%
East Bronx Academy For The Future / New York City Geogra	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	apilic District	#12
All Students	74	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	64	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Explorations Academy / New York City Geographic District:	-	0 70
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	#12	
All Students	69	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
High School Of World Cultures / New York City Geographic	•	"
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	District #12	
All Students	52	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	 #
Is 318 Math, Science & Tech Through Arts School / New Yor	_	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	,	
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Metropolitan High School (The) / New York City Geographic	District #12	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	104	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	0%
Monroe Academy For Business/Law / New York City Geogra	aphic District	#12
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	137	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	102	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	0%
Monroe Academy For Visual Arts & Design / New York City	Geographic D	District #12
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	112	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group Peace And Diversity Academy / New York City Geograpl	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	no District #12	
All Students	96	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	4%
Performance Conservatory High School / New York City	Geographic Dist	rict #12
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	3 4	
All Students	113	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	103	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Ps 129 Twins Parks Upper / New York City Geographic I	District #12	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Ps 66 School Of Higher Expectations / New York City Go	eographic District	#12
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Nyc Charter Hs-Architecture, Engineering, Construction	Industries / Nyc	Charter Hs-Architecture, Engineering, Construction Ind
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: BROOKLYN		
Acorn Community High School / New York City Geograph	ic District #13	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	169	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	142	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	7%
Bedford Academy High School / New York City Geographi	ic District #13	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	76	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	54%
Bedford Stuyvesant Prep High School / New York City Ged	ographic Distric	et #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	52	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	10%
Benjamin Banneker Academy / New York City Geographic	District #13	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	234	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	159	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	49%
Brooklyn Academy High School / New York City Geograph	nic District #13	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	55	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Brooklyn Community High Sch-Communication, Arts & Me	edia / New York	City Geographic District #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	78	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	57	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	0%
Brooklyn High School For Leadership And Community / N	ew York City Ge	eographic District #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	88	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	0%
Brooklyn Technical High School / New York City Geograp	hic District #13	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1097	88%
Economically Disadvantaged	740	91%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	357	83%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Dr Susan S Mckinney Secondary School Of The Arts / New	v York City Geo	graphic District #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	6%
Freedom Academy High School / New York City Geograph	nic District #13	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	58	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
George Westinghouse Career & Technical Ed High Schoo	I / New York Cit	y Geographic District #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	246	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	191	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	55	0%
Jhs 258 David Ruggles / New York City Geographic Distric	ct #13	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Science Skills Center High School At Waters Edge / New Y	York City Geogr	aphic District #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	231	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	156	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	11%
The Urban Assembly School Of Music And Art At Waters	Edge / New Yorl	City Geographic District #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	4%
Urban Assembly School For Law And Justice / New York	City Geographic	District #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	109	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	85	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	42%
Academy For Young Writers / New York City Geographic	District #14	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	78	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation

The federal Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) prohibits the release of personally identifiable student information. This Act precludes the publication of summary information based on fewer than five students or in which subtraction or other simple mathematical operations could be used to obtain personal information. Cells that contain the ("#") symbol have been suppressed to protect student privacy.



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Automotive High School / New York City Geographic District	t #14	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	233	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	147	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	86	0%
Brooklyn Latin School (The) / New York City Geographic Dis	strict #14	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	51	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	30	73%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	71%
Brooklyn Preparatory High School / New York City Geograp	hic District #1	4
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	59	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	6%
Foundations Academy / New York City Geographic District	#14	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	60	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	51	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	9	0%
Green School: An Academy For Environmental Careers / Ne	w York City G	Geographic District #14
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	0%
High School Of Enterprise, Business & Technology / New Y	ork City Geog	raphic District #14
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	161	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	135	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	8%
Is 318 Eugeno Maria De Hostos / New York City Geographic	District #14	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Juan Morel Campos Secondary School / New York City Geo	graphic Distri	ict #14
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	100	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	98	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Lyons Community School / New York City Geographic Dis	strict #14	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Progress High School For Professional Careers / New York	rk City Geograp	hic District #14
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	207	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	173	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	34	6%
School For Legal Studies / New York City Geographic Dis	trict #14	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	146	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	142	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Williamsburg High School For Architecture And Design /	New York City G	Geographic District #14
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	86	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	9%
Williamsburg Preparatory School / New York City Geogra	phic District #14	4
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	14%
Acorn High School For Social Justice / New York City Geo	ographic Distric	t #15
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	90	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
Agnes Y Humphrey School For Leadership / New York Cit	y Geographic D	District #15
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Brooklyn High School Of The Arts / New York City Geogra	aphic District #1	5
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	100	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	71	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	29	17%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



County

# Public School Total Cohort Aspirational Performance Measure, English Language Arts and Mathematics - 2009-10 School Year by Economic Status

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure

2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Cobble Hill School Of American Studies / New York City	Geographic Dist	rict #15
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	142	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	98	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	44	0%
J.H.S. 142 Stranahan Junior High School / New York City	Geographic Dis	trict #15
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Metropolitan Corporate Academy High School / New Yorl	k City Geographi	ic District #15
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	84	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	1%
Pacific High School / New York City Geographic District	#15	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	71	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	0%
School For International Studies / New York City Geogra	phic District #15	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	65	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	43	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	27%
Secondary School For Journalism / New York City Geogr	aphic District #1	5
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	94	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	11%
Secondary School For Law / New York City Geographic I	District #15	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	62	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	7%
Secondary School For Research / New York City Geograph	ohic District #15	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	87	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	5%
South Brooklyn Community High School / New York City	Geographic Dis	trict #15
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	55	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
West Brooklyn Community High School / New York City C	Geographic Distr	rict #15
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	107	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	38	0%
Boys And Girls High School / New York City Geographic	District #16	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	530	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	335	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	195	3%
Frederick Douglass Academy Iv Secondary School / New	York City Geogr	raphic District #16
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	71	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	11%
Acad For College Prep & Career Exploration: A College B	oard Sch / New	York City Geographic District #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	69	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	30	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	26%
Academy Of Hospitality And Tourism / New York City Geo	ographic District	: #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	19%
Brooklyn Academy Of Science And The Environment / Ne	w York City Geo	ographic District #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	110	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	6%
Brooklyn School For Music & Theater / New York City Ge	ographic Distric	t #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	88	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	87	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Brownsville Academy High School / New York City Geogr	aphic District #1	17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group  Clara Parton High School / New York City Coographic Distr	Members	
Clara Barton High School / New York City Geographic Distr 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ICI #17	
All Students	488	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	396	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	92	17%
Ebbetts Field Middle School / New York City Geographic Di	_	17 70
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Strict #17	
All Students	1	#
	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	•	#
Elijah Stroud Middle School / New York City Geographic Dis 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Strict #17	
	4	щ
All Students	1 1	# #
Economically Disadvantaged	•	
High School For Global Citizenship (The) / New York City G	eographic Dis	STRICT #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	404	400/
All Students	104	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	102	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
High School For Service And Learning At Erasmus / New Yo	ork City Geog	rapnic District #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	440	22/
All Students	116	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	96	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	0%
High School For Youth And Community Development / New	/ York City Ge	ographic District #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	100	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	8%
Hs For Public Service-Heroes Of Tomorrow / New York City	/ Geographic I	District #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		2.00
All Students	93	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	66	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	30%
International Arts Business School / New York City Geogra	phic District #	17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	7%
International High School At Prospect Heights / New York C	City Geograph	ic District #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	84	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



County

# Public School Total Cohort Aspirational Performance Measure, English Language Arts and Mathematics - 2009-10 School Year by Economic Status

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure

2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Medgar Evers College Preperatory School / New York City (	Geographic Di	strict #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	156	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	126	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	30%
Ms 246 Walt Whitman / New York City Geographic District #	<b>‡17</b>	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Paul Robeson High School / New York City Geographic Dis	trict #17	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	292	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	235	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	4%
Ps 12 / New York City Geographic District #17		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
School For Democracy And Leadership / New York City Ge	ographic Distr	rict #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	74	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	57	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	0%
School For Human Rights (The) / New York City Geographic	c District #17	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	60	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	7	0%
Science, Tech & Research High School At Erasmus / New Y	ork City Geog	graphic District #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	76	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	19%
W E B Dubois Academic High School / New York City Geog	raphic Distric	t #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	77	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Arts And Media Preparatory Academy / New York City Geo	ographic Distric	t #18
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Brooklyn Bridge Academy / New York City Geographic Dis	strict #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	87	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	0%
Brooklyn Generation School / New York City Geographic	District #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Brooklyn Theatre Arts High School / New York City Geogr	aphic District #	18
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Canarsie High School / New York City Geographic District	t #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	431	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	165	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	266	2%
Comprehensive Night High School Of Brooklyn / New Yor	k City Geograph	nic District #18
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	, , ,	
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Cultural Academy For The Arts And Sciences / New York	City Geographic	District #18
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
East Brooklyn Community High School / New York City G	eographic Distri	ict #18
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	•	
All Students	69	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	0%
Is 68 Isaac Bildersee / New York City Geographic District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
,		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	on a main regardo, ao ar cano ar ina har year
It Takes A Village Academy / New York City Geographic Dis	trict #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	4	"
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Kurt Hahn Expeditionary Learning School / New York City G	eographic Di	strict #18
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	5	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Olympus Academy / New York City Geographic District #18		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	78	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	23	0%
Samuel J Tilden High School / New York City Geographic D	istrict #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	178	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	141	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	37	0%
South Shore High School / New York City Geographic Distri	ct #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	125	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	70	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	55	7%
Victory Collegiate High School / New York City Geographic	District #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Academy Of Innovative Technology / New York City Geogra	phic District #	<del>‡</del> 19
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	•	
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Brooklyn Lab School / New York City Geographic District #	19	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	 #
Cypress Hills Collegiate Preparatory School / New York City		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	106	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	75	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	3%
Not Economically Disauvantageu	JI	J70

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	on a main riegeme, as er came or are ran year
East New York Family Academy / New York City Geograph 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ic District #19	
All Students	91	7%
	91 67	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	
Not Economically Disadvantaged		8%
Fdny High School For Fire And Life Safety / New York City 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Geographic Di	Strict #19
	07	20/
All Students	87	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	7%
Franklin K Lane High School / New York City Geographic E 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	DISTRICT #19	
All Students	494	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	304	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	190	3%
High School For Civil Rights / New York City Geographic D		370
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	//Strict #15	
All Students	111	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	32	3%
Jhs 166 George Gershwin / New York City Geographic Dist		370
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	11101#19	
All Students	5	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Multicultural High School / New York City Geographic Dist		π
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	1101#19	
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Performing Arts And Technology High School / New York (	_	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	oity Geograpin	C District #19
All Students	111	4%
	83	5%
Economically Disadvantaged		0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	0%
Ps 174 Dumont / New York City Geographic District #19 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
	4	щ
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1 - Diatriat #10	#
Thomas Jefferson High School / New York City Geographic	DISTRICT #19	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	4	ш
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



# Public School Total Cohort Aspirational Performance Measure, English Language Arts and Mathematics - 2009-10 School Year by Economic Status

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure

2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	•
Transit Tech Career And Technical Education / New York C	ity Geographi	c District #19
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	346	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	343	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
W H Maxwell Career And Technical Education High School	/ New York Ci	ty Geographic District #19
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	226	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	205	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	0%
World Academy For Total Community Health / New York Cit	ty Geographic	District #19
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	95	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	73	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	0%
Fort Hamilton High School / New York City Geographic Dist	trict #20	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	986	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	451	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	535	31%
Franklin D Roosevelt High School / New York City Geograp	hic District #2	0
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	859	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	558	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	301	15%
High School Of Telecommunications Arts And Technology	/ New York Ci	ty Geographic District #20
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	297	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	295	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Jhs 223 The Montauk / New York City Geographic District #	‡20	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	_	
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jhs 62 Ditmas / New York City Geographic District #20		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	_	
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
New Utrecht High School / New York City Geographic Distr	ict #20	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	621	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	297	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	324	20%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

Count Cohort Cohort Cohort Cohort Cohort Cohort Student Group	County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
Student Group			
Abraham Lincoln High School / New York City Geographic District #21			
All Students   620	•		
All Students 620 15% Economically Disadvantaged 327 17% Not Economically Disadvantaged 293 327 Brooklyn Studio Secondary School / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 140 24% Economically Disadvantaged 137 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 3 # Edward R Murrow High School / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Vear Outcome All Students 938 40% Economically Disadvantaged 928 41% Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Expeditionary Learning School For Community Leaders / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 938 40% Expeditionary Learning School For Community Leaders / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 3 # Economically Disadvantaged 2 # High School Of Sports Management / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 65 11% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 # International High School At Lafayette / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 71 8% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 67 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 67 # Seconomically Disadvantaged 67 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 67 # Not Economical			
Economically Disadvantaged   327   17%   Not Economically Disadvantaged   293   13%		620	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged   293   13%			
Brooklyn Studio Secondary School / New York City Geographic District #21   2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students 140 24% Economically Disadvantaged 137 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 3 #  Edward R Murrow High School / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 938 40% Economically Disadvantaged 928 41% Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Expeditionary Learning School For Community Leaders / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 3  # Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Expeditionary Learning School For Community Leaders / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 3  # Economically Disadvantaged 2  # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1  1  # High School Of Sports Management / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 65 11% Economically Disadvantaged 63  # Not Economically Disadvantaged 2  # International High School At Lafayette / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 71 8% Economically Disadvantaged 4  # Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 71 8% Economically Disadvantaged 4  # Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 # Economically Disadvantaged 4  # Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 # Economically Disadvantaged 1  # Economically Disadvantaged 1  # Is 288 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1  # Economically Disadvantaged 1	,		
All Students	-	grapino Biotriot "	
Economically Disadvantaged   137		140	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged   3			
Edward R Murrow High School / New York City Geographic District #21			
All Students 938 40% Economically Disadvantaged 928 411% Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Expeditionary Learning School For Community Leaders / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 3 # Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # High School Of Sports Management / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 65 # High School Of Sports Management / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 65 11% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 # International High School At Lafayette / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 71 8% Economically Disadvantaged 67 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 67 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 # Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Es 26 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Es 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 #	•	_	"
All Students		21011101 1121	
Economically Disadvantaged   928   411%   Not Economically Disadvantaged   10   0%		938	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 0%  Expeditionary Learning School For Community Leaders / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 3 #  Economically Disadvantaged 2 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  High School Of Sports Management / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 65 111%  Economically Disadvantaged 63 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 #  International High School At Lafayette / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 71 8%  Economically Disadvantaged 67 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 #  Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 71 8%  Economically Disadvantaged 4 #  Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #			
Expeditionary Learning School For Community Leaders / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 3 # Economically Disadvantaged 2 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # High School Of Sports Management / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 65 111% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 # International High School At Lafayette / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 71 8% Economically Disadvantaged 67 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 # Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 # Is 296 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 # Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 # Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #	,		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 3 # Economically Disadvantaged 2 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # High School Of Sports Management / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 65 11% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 # International High School At Lafayette / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 71 8% Economically Disadvantaged 67 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 67 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 # Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 71 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 # Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 #	•	_	
All Students   3		, non ronk only c	500g.up.ii0 510t.10t #21
Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # High School Of Sports Management / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 65 111% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 # International High School At Lafayette / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 71 8% Economically Disadvantaged 67 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 67 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 # Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Is 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 #		3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  High School Of Sports Management / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 65 111%  Economically Disadvantaged 63 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 #  International High School At Lafayette / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 71 8%  Economically Disadvantaged 67 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 #  Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Is 296 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #			
High School Of Sports Management / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 65 111% Economically Disadvantaged 63 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 #  International High School At Lafayette / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 71 8% Economically Disadvantaged 67 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 #  Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #	, ,		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 65 11% Economically Disadvantaged 63 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 #  International High School At Lafayette / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 71 8% Economically Disadvantaged 67 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 #  Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #	,	ographic District #	
All Students 65 111% Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 #  International High School At Lafayette / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 71 8% Economically Disadvantaged 67 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 #  Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21		<b>3</b>	
Economically Disadvantaged 63 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 # International High School At Lafayette / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 71 8% Economically Disadvantaged 67 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 # Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 #		65	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 2 # International High School At Lafayette / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 71 8% Economically Disadvantaged 67 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 # Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 #			
International High School At Lafayette / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 71 8%  Economically Disadvantaged 67 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 #  Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #	,	2	#
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students Fconomically Disadvantaged Fconomically Disadvantaged Fis 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Fconomically Disadvantaged Fis 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Fconomically Disadvantaged Fig 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Fig 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Fig 97  ##	•	eographic Distric	et #21
Economically Disadvantaged 4 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 #  Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 #		•	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 4 #  Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #	All Students	71	8%
Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 #	Economically Disadvantaged	67	#
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 #	Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 #	Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic Distric	ct #21	
Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 #			
Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 #	All Students	1	#
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 #	Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 #	Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #21		
Franchically Disadvantaged 4 #	All Students	1	#
Economically Disauvantaged I #	Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
John Dewey High School / New York City Geographic District #21		strict #21	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students 603 21%	All Students	603	21%
Economically Disadvantaged 357 25%	Economically Disadvantaged	357	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 246 15%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	246	15%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Lafayette High School / New York City Geographic Distric		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	65	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	17%
Liberation Diploma Plus / New York City Geographic Distr	ict #21	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	65	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Life Academy High School For Film And Music / New York	City Geograph	ic District #21
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Rachel Carson High School For Coastal Studies / New Yo	rk City Geograp	hic District #21
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	113	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	113	25%
William E Grady Career And Technical Education High Sc	hool / New York	City Geographic District #21
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	281	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	217	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	64	0%
Brooklyn College Academy / New York City Geographic D	istrict #22	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	120	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	75	32%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	36%
James Madison High School / New York City Geographic	District #22	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1076	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	518	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	558	27%
Jhs 278 Marine Park / New York City Geographic District #	<b>‡22</b>	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Leon M Goldstein High School For The Sciences / New Yo	ork City Geogra	ohic District #22
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	253	80%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	80%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	192	80%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Midwood High School / New York City Geographic Distr	ict #22	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	872	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	218	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	654	61%
Sheepshead Bay High School / New York City Geograph	ic District #22	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	537	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	245	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	292	4%
Aspirations Diploma Plus High School / New York City C	Seographic Distri	ct #23
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	117	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	101	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	0%
Brooklyn Collegiate-A College Board School / New York	City Geographic	District #23
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	70	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	59	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	18%
Brooklyn Democracy Academy / New York City Geograp		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	o Diotilot	
All Students	97	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	0%
Ebc/Eny High School For Public Safety / New York City (	_	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ocograpine Distri	NOT #20
All Students	93	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	3%
, ,	28	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	_	4%
Frederick Douglas Academy Vii High School / New York	City Geographic	DISTRICT #23
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	00	00/
All Students	92	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	8%
Is 271 John M Coleman School / New York City Geograp	hic District #23	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Metropolitan Diploma Plus High School / New York City	Geographic Distr	rict #23
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	71	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Teachers Preperatory High School / New York City Geogra	aphic District #2	23
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Academy For Environmental Leadership / New York City C	Geographic Dist	rict #32
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	0%
Academy Of Urban Planning / New York City Geographic	District #32	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	115	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	91	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	4%
All City Leadership Secondary School / New York City Ge	ographic Distric	et #32
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	43	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
<b>Bushwick Community High School / New York City Geogr</b>	aphic District #	32
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	128	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	111	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	0%
Bushwick Leaders Hs For Academic Excellence / New Yo	rk City Geograp	hic District #32
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	117	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	9	0%
Bushwick School For Social Justice / New York City Geog	graphic District	#32
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	12%
Ebc High School For Public Service / New York City Geog	raphic District #	<del>*</del> 32
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	161	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	143	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Is 347 School Of Humanities / New York City Geographic Di		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jhs 162 The Willoughby / New York City Geographic Distric	t #32	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
New York Harbor School / New York City Geographic District	ct #32	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	77	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	77	9%
Williamsburg Charter High School / Williamsburg Charter H	igh School	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	156	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	117	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	8%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure County (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 School / District Count or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater Cohort of Cohort on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year Members Student Group COUNTY: QUEENS Academy Of Finance And Enterprise / New York City Geographic District #24 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 90 13% **Economically Disadvantaged** 64 13% Not Economically Disadvantaged 26 15% Aviation Career And Technical High School / New York City Geographic District #24 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 368 35% 263 **Economically Disadvantaged** 38% Not Economically Disadvantaged 105 25% Bard High School Early College Ii / New York City Geographic District #24 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 50 52% **Economically Disadvantaged** 25 60% 25 Not Economically Disadvantaged 44% Grover Cleveland High School / New York City Geographic District #24 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 703 16% **Economically Disadvantaged** 680 16% 23 Not Economically Disadvantaged 0% High School For Arts And Business / New York City Geographic District #24 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 187 10% **Economically Disadvantaged** 181 10% Not Economically Disadvantaged 6 0% High School Of Applied Communications / New York City Geographic District #24 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 101 12% **Economically Disadvantaged** 83 11% Not Economically Disadvantaged 18 17% Is 125 Thomas J Mccann Woodside / New York City Geographic District #24 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 Not Economically Disadvantaged Is 61 Leonardo Da Vinci / New York City Geographic District #24 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students **Economically Disadvantaged** 

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

Newtown High School / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 773 11%  Economically Disadvantaged 430 15%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 343 6%  Pan American International High School / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Queens Vocational And Technical High School / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Queens Vocational And Technical High School / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 271 11%  Economically Disadvantaged 211 12%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 60 7%  Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Technology / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 95 23%  Economically Disadvantaged 63 22%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 95 23%  Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 85 2%  Economically Disadvantaged 32 2%  Economically Disadvantaged 32 2%  Feonomically Disadvantaged 32 2%  Feonomically Disadvantaged 32 2%  Feonomically Disadvantaged 33 2%  Feonomically Disadvantaged 34 2%  Feonomically Disadvantaged 35 2%  Feonomically Disadvantaged 63 3%
All Students
All Students 773 11% Economically Disadvantaged 430 15% Not Economically Disadvantaged 343 6%  Pan American International High School / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 #  Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Queens Vocational And Technical High School / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 271 11% Economically Disadvantaged 211 12% Not Economically Disadvantaged 60 77%  Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Technology / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 95 23% Economically Disadvantaged 63 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 95 23%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 85 22%
Economically Disadvantaged 430 15% Not Economically Disadvantaged 343 6%  Pan American International High School / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Queens Vocational And Technical High School / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 271 11% Economically Disadvantaged 211 12% Not Economically Disadvantaged 211 12% Not Economically Disadvantaged 60 7%  Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Technology / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 95 23% Economically Disadvantaged 63 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 85 2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged         343         6%           Pan American International High School / New York City Geographic District #24         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome           All Students         1         #           Not Economically Disadvantaged         1         #           Queens Vocational And Technical High School / New York City Geographic District #24         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome           All Students         271         11%           Economically Disadvantaged         211         12%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         60         7%           Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Technology / New York City Geographic District #24         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome           All Students         95         23%           Economically Disadvantaged         63         22%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         32         25%           Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         85         2%
Pan American International High School / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1 Not Economically Disadvantaged 1  Queens Vocational And Technical High School / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 271 11% Economically Disadvantaged 211 12% Not Economically Disadvantaged 60 7%  Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Technology / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 95 23% Economically Disadvantaged 63 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 85 26%
All Students 1 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 # Queens Vocational And Technical High School / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 271 11% Economically Disadvantaged 211 12% Not Economically Disadvantaged 60 7%  Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Technology / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 95 23% Economically Disadvantaged 63 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 95 23%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24
All Students       1       #         Not Economically Disadvantaged       1       #         Queens Vocational And Technical High School / New York City Geographic District #24         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       271       11%         All Students       271       12%         Not Economically Disadvantaged       60       7%         Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Technology / New York City Geographic District #24         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       95       23%         Economically Disadvantaged       63       22%         Not Economically Disadvantaged       32       25%         Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24       2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       85       2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 1 #  Queens Vocational And Technical High School / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 271 11%  Economically Disadvantaged 211 12%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 60 7%  Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Technology / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 95 23%  Economically Disadvantaged 63 22%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 85 2%
Queens Vocational And Technical High School / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 271 11%  Economically Disadvantaged 211 12%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 60 7%  Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Technology / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 95 23%  Economically Disadvantaged 63 22%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 85 2%
All Students 271 11% Economically Disadvantaged 211 12% Not Economically Disadvantaged 60 7%  Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Technology / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 95 23% Economically Disadvantaged 63 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 85 2%
All Students 271 11% Economically Disadvantaged 211 12% Not Economically Disadvantaged 60 7%  Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Technology / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 95 23% Economically Disadvantaged 63 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 85 2%
Economically Disadvantaged 211 12% Not Economically Disadvantaged 60 7%  Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Technology / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 95 23% Economically Disadvantaged 63 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 85 2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 60 7%  Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Technology / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 95 23% Economically Disadvantaged 63 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 85 2%
Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Technology / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 95 23% Economically Disadvantaged 63 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 85 2%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 95 23% Economically Disadvantaged 63 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 85 2%
All Students 95 23% Economically Disadvantaged 63 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 85 2%
Economically Disadvantaged 63 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 85 2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 32 25%  Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 85 2%
Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic District #24 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 85 2%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 85 2%
All Students 85 2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 0%
East-West School Of International Studies / New York City Geographic District #25
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome
All Students 75 21%
Economically Disadvantaged 47 28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 28 11%
Flushing High School / New York City Geographic District #25
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome
All Students 588 9%
Economically Disadvantaged 403 10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 185 5%
Flushing International High School / New York City Geographic District #25
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome
All Students 86 9%
Economically Disadvantaged 86 9%
Is 237 / New York City Geographic District #25
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome
All Students 1 #
Economically Disadvantaged 1 #

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
John Bowne High School / New York City Geographic Dist	rict #25	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	688	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	453	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	235	9%
North Queens Community High School / New York City Ge	ographic Distri	ict #25
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	62	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	0%
Queens Academy High School / New York City Geographic	District #25	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	141	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	112	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	29	7%
Robert F Kennedy Community High School / New York City	y Geographic D	District #25
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	159	22%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	94	22%
Townsend Harris High School / New York City Geographic	District #25	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	278	99%
Economically Disadvantaged	278	99%
World Journalism Preparatory / New York City Geographic	District #25	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	82	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	17%
Bayside High School / New York City Geographic District #	<b>‡</b> 26	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	902	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	547	53%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	355	46%
Benjamin N Cardozo High School / New York City Geograp	ohic District #20	6
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	981	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	284	47%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	697	47%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group		,
Francis Lewis High School / New York City Geographic Di 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Strict #20	
All Students	1209	47%
	542	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	667	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged		43%
Martin Van Buren High School / New York City Geographic 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	DISTRICT #20	
All Students	755	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	363	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	392	11%
Queens High School Of Teaching, Liberal Arts And Science		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	es / New TOIK	City Geographic District #20
All Students	281	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	136	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	145	19%
August Martin High School / New York City Geographic Di	_	.0,0
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	288	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	200	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	88	1%
Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic I	District #27	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	389	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	379	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Channel View School For Research / New York City Geogr	raphic District #	<del>1</del> 27
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	•	
All Students	71	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	71	20%
Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic Di	istrict #27	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	164	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	143	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	0%
Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York Ci	ty Geographic	District #27
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	95	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	77	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	11%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



County

# Public School Total Cohort Aspirational Performance Measure, English Language Arts and Mathematics - 2009-10 School Year by Economic Status

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure

2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
High Sch-Construction, Trades, Engineering & Archite		ity Geographic District #27
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		, .
All Students	177	22%
Economically Disadvantaged	131	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	46	9%
Jhs 202 Robert H Goddard / New York City Geographi	c District #27	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Jhs 210 Elizabeth Blackwell / New York City Geograph	nic District #27	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
John Adams High School / New York City Geographic	District #27	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	808	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	617	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	191	6%
Ms 137 America'S School Of Heroes / New York City G	Beographic District	#27
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Queens High School For Information, Research And T	echnology / New Yo	ork City Geographic District #27
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Reg 5 Scholars Academy - Ms 323 / New York City Ge	ographic District #2	7
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Richmond Hill High School / New York City Geograph	ic District #27	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	867	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	530	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	337	7%
Forest Hills High School / New York City Geographic I	District #28	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	050	9994
All Students	959	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	438	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	521	35%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Hillcrest High School / New York City Geographic District	#28	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	766	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	560	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	206	9%
Hs For Law Enforcement And Public Safety / New York C	ity Geographic D	District #28
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	126	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	12%
Jamaica High School / New York City Geographic District	: <b>#28</b>	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	394	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	208	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	186	2%
Jhs 157 Stephen A Halsey / New York City Geographic Di	strict #28	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jhs 190 Russell Sage / New York City Geographic Distric	t #28	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Queens Gateway To Health Sciences Secondary School /	New York City (	Geographic District #28
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	117	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	68	63%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	49	49%
Queens High School For The Sciences At York College / I	New York City G	eographic District #28
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	107	93%
Economically Disadvantaged	107	93%
Thomas A Edison Career And Technical High School / Ne	w York City Geo	ographic District #28
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	819	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	403	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	416	37%
Business/Computer Applications & Entrepreneurship High	gh School / New	York City Geographic District #29
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	116	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	49	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation

The federal Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) prohibits the release of personally identifiable student information. This Act precludes the publication of summary information based on fewer than five students or in which subtraction or other simple mathematical operations could be used to obtain personal information. Cells that contain the ("#") symbol have been suppressed to protect student privacy.



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Excelsior Preparatorty High School / New York City Geogr	aphic District #	29
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	91	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	23%
George Washington Carver High School For The Sciences	/ New York Cit	y Geographic District #29
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	103	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	30%
Humanities And Arts Magnet High School / New York City	Geographic Dis	strict #29
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	94	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	70	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	0%
Is 59 Springfield Gardens / New York City Geographic Dis	trict #29	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Law, Government And Community Service High School / I	New York City G	Geographic District #29
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	125	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	77	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	6%
Math/Science Research And Technology Magnet Hs / New	York City Geog	graphic District #29
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	66	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	17%
Pathways College Preparatory School / New York City Geo	ographic Distric	et #29
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	64	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	3%
Preparatory Academy For Writers / New York City Geogra	phic District #29	9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	36	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort Student Consum	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group  Queens Preparatory Academy / New York City Geographi	Members	en a man magema, ac en estat en anc mar, en
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	C DISTRICT #29	
All Students	95	8%
	95 67	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	_	1470
Academy Of American Studies / New York City Geograph	IC DISTRICT #30	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	155	E 40/
All Students	155	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	55%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	56	52%
Baccalaureate School For Global Education / New York C	ity Geographic	District #30
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	72	78%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	78%
Frank Sinatra School Of The Arts High School / New York	City Geographi	ic District #30
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	171	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	117	54%
Information Technology High School / New York City Geo	graphic District	± #30
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	267	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	212	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	55	20%
Is 145 Joseph Pulitzer / New York City Geographic Distric	t #30	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Long Island City High School / New York City Geographic	District #30	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	718	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	506	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	212	11%
Newcomers High School / New York City Geographic Dis	trict #30	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	214	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	169	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	9%
William Cullen Bryant High School / New York City Geogr	aphic District #	30
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	810	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	783	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
chool (The)	
51	27%
29	24%
22	32%
,	of Cohort Members School (The) 51 29

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: RICHMOND		
Concord High School / New York City Geographic District #	31	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	52	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	23	4%
Csi High School For International Studies / New York City G	eographic Dis	strict #31
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	25%
Curtis High School / New York City Geographic District #31		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	649	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	410	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	239	23%
Is 27 Anning S Prall / New York City Geographic District #31	l	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
New Dorp High School / New York City Geographic District	#31	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	509	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	189	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	320	19%
Port Richmond High School / New York City Geographic Dis	strict #31	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	519	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	285	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	234	17%
Ralph R Mckee Career And Technical High School / New Yo	rk City Geogr	aphic District #31
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	175	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	93	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	82	11%
Staten Island Technical High School / New York City Geogra	aphic District	#31
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	288	98%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	98%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	243	98%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	0 1	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Susan E Wagner High School / New York City Ge	eographic District #31	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	912	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	392	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	520	37%
The Michael J Petrides School / New York City G	eographic District #31	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	113	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	45%
Tottenville High School / New York City Geograp	hic District #31	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1069	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	141	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	928	32%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

COUNTY: NIAGARA   Barker High School / Barker Central School District   2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome   22	County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Barker High School / Barker Central School District   2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome   86   56%   22   32%	Student Group	Members	on a main Negenis) as of June of the 4th year
All Students	•		
Economically Disadvantaged   22   32%   Not Economically Disadvantaged   64   64%			
Not Economically Disadvantaged   64   64%   14   14   15   15   15   15   15   15			
Lewiston Porter Senior High School / Lewiston-Porter Central School District   2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	,		
All Students   193   68%   Economically Disadvantaged   27   63%   68%   Economically Disadvantaged   166   69%   Economically Disadvantaged   75   74%   74%   Economically Disadvantaged   75   27%   74%   75%	,	_	
All Students 193 68% Economically Disadvantaged 27 63% Not Economically Disadvantaged 69% Lockport High School / Lockport City School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 75 27% Not Economically Disadvantaged 75 27% Not Economically Disadvantaged 352 51%  Newfane Senior High School / Newfane Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 159 48% Economically Disadvantaged 38 39% Not Economically Disadvantaged 121 51%  Niagara Falls High School / Niagara Falls City School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 561 32% Economically Disadvantaged 244 27% Not Economically Disadvantaged 317 37%  Niagara-Wheatfield Senior High School / Niagara-Wheatfield Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 369 49% Economically Disadvantaged 72 32% Not Economically Disadvantaged 397 53%  Nort Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 369 49% Economically Disadvantaged 297 53%  North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 367 44% Economically Disadvantaged 362 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 302 49%  Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 367 44% Economically Disadvantaged 302 49%  Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 367 44% Economically Disadvantaged 302 49%  Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	_	ntral School Dis	trict
Economically Disadvantaged			
Not Economically Disadvantaged   166   69%	All Students	193	68%
Clockport High School / Lockport City School District	Economically Disadvantaged	27	63%
All Students	Not Economically Disadvantaged	166	69%
All Students	Lockport High School / Lockport City School District		
Economically Disadvantaged   75   27%   Not Economically Disadvantaged   352   51%   Newfane Senior High School / Newfane Central School District   2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome   159   48%   28%   29%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged         352         51%           Newfane Senior High School / Newfane Central School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         48%           All Students         159         48%           Economically Disadvantaged         38         39%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         121         51%           Niagara Falls High School / Niagara Falls City School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         32%           All Students         561         32%           Economically Disadvantaged         317         37%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         317         37%           Niagara-Wheatfield Senior High School / Niagara-Wheatfield Central School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         49%           All Students         369         49%           Economically Disadvantaged         72         32%           Nort Economically Disadvantaged         297         53%           North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District         206 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         44%           All Students         367         44%           Economically Disadvantaged         65         25%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         302         49%	All Students	427	47%
Newfane Senior High School / Newfane Central School District   2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Economically Disadvantaged	75	27%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Not Economically Disadvantaged	352	51%
All Students	Newfane Senior High School / Newfane Central School Di	strict	
Economically Disadvantaged   38   39%   Not Economically Disadvantaged   121   51%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged         121         51%           Niagara Falls High School / Niagara Falls City School District           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         561         32%           All Students         561         32%           Economically Disadvantaged         244         27%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         317         37%           Niagara-Wheatfield Senior High School / Niagara-Wheatfield Central School District           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         49%           All Students         369         49%           Economically Disadvantaged         72         32%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         297         53%           North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome           All Students         367         44%           Economically Disadvantaged         65         25%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         302         49%           Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome           All Students         113         52%           Economically Disadvantaged         113         60%	All Students	159	48%
Niagara Falls High School / Niagara Falls City School District           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         561         32%           All Students         561         32%           Economically Disadvantaged         244         27%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         317         37%           Niagara-Wheatfield Senior High School / Niagara-Wheatfield Central School District           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         49%           All Students         369         49%           Economically Disadvantaged         72         32%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         297         53%           North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome           All Students         367         44%           Economically Disadvantaged         65         25%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         302         49%           Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome           All Students         113         52%           Economically Disadvantaged         10         60%	Economically Disadvantaged	38	39%
All Students   561   32%     Economically Disadvantaged   244   27%     Not Economically Disadvantaged   317   37%     Niagara-Wheatfield Senior High School / Niagara-Wheatfield Central School District     2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome   49%     Economically Disadvantaged   72   32%     Not Economically Disadvantaged   72   32%     Not Economically Disadvantaged   297   53%     North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District     2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome   41%     All Students   367   44%     Economically Disadvantaged   65   25%     Not Economically Disadvantaged   65   25%     Not Economically Disadvantaged   302   49%     Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District     2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome   41%     All Students   113   52%     Economically Disadvantaged   10   60%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	121	51%
All Students 561 32% Economically Disadvantaged 244 27% Not Economically Disadvantaged 317 37%  Niagara-Wheatfield Senior High School / Niagara-Wheatfield Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 369 49% Economically Disadvantaged 72 32% Not Economically Disadvantaged 297 53%  North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 367 44% Economically Disadvantaged 65 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 302 49%  Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 367 44% Economically Disadvantaged 55 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 302 49%  Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 113 52% Economically Disadvantaged 10 60%	Niagara Falls High School / Niagara Falls City School Dist	rict	
Economically Disadvantaged         244         27%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         317         37%           Niagara-Wheatfield Senior High School / Niagara-Wheatfield Central School District           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         369         49%           All Students         369         49%           Economically Disadvantaged         72         32%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         297         53%           North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District           2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         367         44%           Economically Disadvantaged         65         25%           Not Economically Disadvantaged         302         49%           Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         3113         52%           All Students         113         52%           Economically Disadvantaged         10         60%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 317 37%  Niagara-Wheatfield Senior High School / Niagara-Wheatfield Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 369 49%  Economically Disadvantaged 72 32%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 297 53%  North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 367 44%  Economically Disadvantaged 65 25%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 302 49%  Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 113 52%  Economically Disadvantaged 10 60%	All Students	561	32%
Niagara-Wheatfield Senior High School / Niagara-Wheatfield Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 369 49% Economically Disadvantaged 72 32% Not Economically Disadvantaged 297 53%  North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 367 44% Economically Disadvantaged 65 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 65 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 302 49%  Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 113 52% Economically Disadvantaged 10 60%	Economically Disadvantaged	244	27%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       369       49%         All Students       369       49%         Economically Disadvantaged       72       32%         Not Economically Disadvantaged       297       53%         North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       44%         All Students       367       44%         Economically Disadvantaged       65       25%         Not Economically Disadvantaged       302       49%         Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District       2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome         All Students       113       52%         Economically Disadvantaged       10       60%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	317	37%
All Students       369       49%         Economically Disadvantaged       72       32%         North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District       53%         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Niagara-Wheatfield Senior High School / Niagara-Wheatfie	eld Central Scho	pol District
Economically Disadvantaged 72 32% Not Economically Disadvantaged 297 53%  North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 367 44% Economically Disadvantaged 65 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 302 49%  Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 113 52% Economically Disadvantaged 10 60%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 297 53%  North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 367 44%  Economically Disadvantaged 65 25%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 302 49%  Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 113 52%  Economically Disadvantaged 10 60%	All Students	369	49%
North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 367 44%  Economically Disadvantaged 65 25%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 302 49%  Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 113 52%  Economically Disadvantaged 10 60%	Economically Disadvantaged	72	32%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 367 44%  Economically Disadvantaged 65 25%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 302 49%  Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 113 52%  Economically Disadvantaged 10 60%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	297	53%
All Students       367       44%         Economically Disadvantaged       65       25%         Not Economically Disadvantaged       302       49%         Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       113       52%         All Students       113       52%         Economically Disadvantaged       10       60%	North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda City Sc	hool District	
Economically Disadvantaged 65 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 302 49%  Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 113 52% Economically Disadvantaged 10 60%	·		
Economically Disadvantaged 65 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 302 49%  Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 113 52% Economically Disadvantaged 10 60%	All Students	367	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 302 49%  Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 113 52%  Economically Disadvantaged 10 60%			
Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 113 52%  Economically Disadvantaged 10 60%	,		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 113 52% Economically Disadvantaged 10 60%	, ,		
All Students 113 52% Economically Disadvantaged 10 60%			
Economically Disadvantaged 10 60%		113	52%
·			

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Starpoint High School / Starpoint Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	238	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	206	40%
Wilson High School / Wilson Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	84	50%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

Adirondack High School / Adirondack Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 1111 47%  Economically Disadvantaged 42 36%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 69 54%  Camden Senior High School / Camden Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 197 37%  Economically Disadvantaged 68 28%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 129 42%  Clinton Senior High School / Clinton Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 147 68%  Economically Disadvantaged 14 57%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 133 69%  Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       47%         All Students       1111       47%         Economically Disadvantaged       42       36%         Not Economically Disadvantaged       69       54%         Camden Senior High School / Camden Central School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       197       37%         All Students       199       32%         Not Economically Disadvantaged       129       42%         Clinton Senior High School / Clinton Central School District         2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome       4147       68%         All Students       147       68%         Economically Disadvantaged       14       57%         Not Economically Disadvantaged       133       69%         Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
All Students 111 47% Economically Disadvantaged 42 36% Not Economically Disadvantaged 69 54%  Camden Senior High School / Camden Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 197 37% Economically Disadvantaged 68 28% Not Economically Disadvantaged 129 42%  Clinton Senior High School / Clinton Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 147 68% Economically Disadvantaged 14 57% Not Economically Disadvantaged 133 69%  Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
Economically Disadvantaged 42 36% Not Economically Disadvantaged 69 54%  Camden Senior High School / Camden Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 197 37% Economically Disadvantaged 68 28% Not Economically Disadvantaged 129 42%  Clinton Senior High School / Clinton Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 147 68% Economically Disadvantaged 14 57% Not Economically Disadvantaged 133 69%  Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
Not Economically Disadvantaged 69 54%  Camden Senior High School / Camden Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 197 37%  Economically Disadvantaged 68 28%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 129 42%  Clinton Senior High School / Clinton Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 147 68%  Economically Disadvantaged 14 57%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 133 69%  Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
Camden Senior High School / Camden Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 197 37%  Economically Disadvantaged 68 28%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 129 42%  Clinton Senior High School / Clinton Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 147 68%  Economically Disadvantaged 14 57%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 133 69%  Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 197 37%  Economically Disadvantaged 68 28%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 129 42%  Clinton Senior High School / Clinton Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 147 68%  Economically Disadvantaged 14 57%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 133 69%  Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
All Students 197 37% Economically Disadvantaged 68 28% Not Economically Disadvantaged 129 42%  Clinton Senior High School / Clinton Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 147 68% Economically Disadvantaged 14 57% Not Economically Disadvantaged 133 69%  Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
Economically Disadvantaged 68 28% Not Economically Disadvantaged 129 42%  Clinton Senior High School / Clinton Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 147 68% Economically Disadvantaged 14 57% Not Economically Disadvantaged 133 69%  Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
Not Economically Disadvantaged 129 42%  Clinton Senior High School / Clinton Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 147 68%  Economically Disadvantaged 14 57%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 133 69%  Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
Clinton Senior High School / Clinton Central School District  2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 147 68%  Economically Disadvantaged 14 57%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 133 69%  Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome  All Students 147 68%  Economically Disadvantaged 14 57%  Not Economically Disadvantaged 133 69%  Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
All Students 147 68% Economically Disadvantaged 14 57% Not Economically Disadvantaged 133 69% Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
Economically Disadvantaged 14 57% Not Economically Disadvantaged 133 69% Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
Not Economically Disadvantaged 133 69%  Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Central School District
•
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome
2000 Total Collect. T Total Cultoffic
All Students 158 42%
Economically Disadvantaged 33 21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 125 48%
New Hartford Senior High School / New Hartford Central School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome
All Students 214 77%
Economically Disadvantaged 5 20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 209 78%
New York Mills Junior-Senior High School / New York Mills Union Free School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome
All Students 29 41%
Economically Disadvantaged 8 50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 21 38%
Oriskany Junior-Senior High School / Oriskany Central School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome
All Students 62 52%
Economically Disadvantaged 10 40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 52 54%
Remsen Junior-Senior High School / Remsen Central School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome
All Students 47 17%
Economically Disadvantaged 16 6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 31 23%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Rome Free Academy / Rome City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	458	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	174	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	284	44%
Sauquoit Valley High School / Sauquoit Valley Central Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	56%
Vernon-Verona-Sherrill Senior High School / Sherrill City	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	178	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	132	61%
Thomas R Proctor High School / Utica City School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	638	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	371	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	267	27%
Waterville Jr/Sr High School / Waterville Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	48%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	67%
Westmoreland High School / Westmoreland Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	76	51%
Whitesboro High School / Whitesboro Central School Dist	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	292	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	252	26%
Whitesboro Middle School / Whitesboro Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation

The federal Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) prohibits the release of personally identifiable student information. This Act precludes the publication of summary information based on fewer than five students or in which subtraction or other simple mathematical operations could be used to obtain personal information. Cells that contain the ("#") symbol have been suppressed to protect student privacy.



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: ONONDAGA		
Charles W Baker High School / Baldwinsville Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	484	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	59	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	425	70%
East Syracuse-Minoa Central High School / East Syracuse-	Minoa Central	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	306	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	234	56%
Fabius-Pompey Middle School High School / Fabius-Pomp	ey Central Sch	ool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	85	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	62%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	72	61%
Fayetteville-Manlius Senior High School / Fayetteville-Manl	ius Central Scl	hool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	387	76%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	56%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	362	78%
Jamesville-Dewitt High School / Jamesville-Dewitt Central	School District	l .
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	233	62%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	202	67%
Jordan-Elbridge High School / Jordan-Elbridge Central Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	131	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	96	47%
La Fayette Junior-Senior High School / Lafayette Central S	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	56	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	51%
Liverpool High School / Liverpool Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	620	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	150	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	470	61%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Marcellus High School / Marcellus Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	174	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	154	68%
Cicero-North Syracuse High School / North Syracuse Cent	ral School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	757	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	164	32%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	593	54%
North Syracuse Junior High School / North Syracuse Cent	ral School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	9	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Onondaga Senior High School / Onondaga Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	86	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	69	45%
Skaneateles Senior High School / Skaneateles Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	155	81%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	67%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	149	81%
Solvay High School / Solvay Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	176	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	124	51%
Syracuse Academy Of Science Charter School / Syracuse	Academy Of So	cience Charter School
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	52	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	29%
Corcoran High School / Syracuse City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	435	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	217	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	218	21%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	on a main Negenis) as or June or the 4th year
Fowler High School / Syracuse City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	303	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	188	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	115	4%
Henninger High School / Syracuse City School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	443	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	229	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	214	10%
Institute Of Technology At Syracuse Central / Syracus	e City School Distri	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Nottingham High School / Syracuse City School Distri	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	332	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	208	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	124	12%
Tully Junior-Senior High School / Tully Central Schoo	l District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	98	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	79	58%
West Genesee Senior High School / West Genesee Ce	entral School Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	397	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	32%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	334	64%
Westhill High School / Westhill Central School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	142	80%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	136	83%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: ONTARIO	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year			
Canandaigua Academy & Middle School / Canandaigua Cit	y School Distri	ict			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome					
All Students	359	57%			
Economically Disadvantaged	67	22%			
Not Economically Disadvantaged	292	65%			
Bloomfield High School / East Bloomfield Central School D	District				
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome					
All Students	92	62%			
Economically Disadvantaged	15	73%			
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	60%			
Geneva High School / Geneva City School District					
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome					
All Students	163	43%			
Economically Disadvantaged	64	19%			
Not Economically Disadvantaged	99	59%			
Marcus Whitman High School / Gorham-Middlesex Central	School Distric	t (Marcus Whitman)			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome					
All Students	133	47%			
Economically Disadvantaged	43	53%			
Not Economically Disadvantaged	90	44%			
Honeoye Middle/High School / Honeoye Central School Dis	Honeoye Middle/High School / Honeoye Central School District				
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome					
All Students	102	38%			
Economically Disadvantaged	17	18%			
Not Economically Disadvantaged	85	42%			
Red Jacket High School / Manchester-Shortsville Central S	School District	(Red Jacket)			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome					
All Students	80	53%			
Economically Disadvantaged	17	29%			
Not Economically Disadvantaged	63	59%			
Naples High School / Naples Central School District					
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome					
All Students	77	56%			
Economically Disadvantaged	21	24%			
Not Economically Disadvantaged	56	68%			
Midlakes High School / Phelps-Clifton Springs Central Sch	ool District				
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome					
All Students	173	40%			
Economically Disadvantaged	30	27%			
Not Economically Disadvantaged	143	43%			

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group Victor Senior High School / Victor Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
All Students	287	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	261	52%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: ORANGE	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Chester Academy-Middle/High School / Chester Union Fr	ee School Distri	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ce donoor bistin	•
All Students	86	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	22%
Cornwall Central High School / Cornwall Central School		22.70
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	290	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	261	59%
S S Seward Institute / Florida Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	74	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	69	46%
Goshen Central High School / Goshen Central School Di	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	220	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	195	61%
James I O'Neill High School / Highland Falls Central School	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	109	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	90	57%
Kiryas Joel Village School / Kiryas Joel Village Union Fre	e School Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Middletown High School / Middletown City School District	et	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	486	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	258	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	228	32%
Minisink Valley High School / Minisink Valley Central Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	412	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	19%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation

349

The federal Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) prohibits the release of personally identifiable student information. This Act precludes the publication of summary information based on fewer than five students or in which subtraction or other simple mathematical operations could be used to obtain personal information. Cells that contain the ("#") symbol have been suppressed to protect student privacy.

Not Economically Disadvantaged

47%



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Monroe Woodbury High School / Monroe-Woodbury Centra	al School Distri	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	576	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	521	57%
Heritage Middle School / Newburgh City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	5	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Newburgh Free Academy-Main Campus / Newburgh City S	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	929	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	417	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	512	26%
Newburgh Free Academy-North Campus / Newburgh City S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	14	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	#
South Middle School / Newburgh City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Pine Bush Senior High School / Pine Bush Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	503	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	147	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	356	52%
Port Jervis Senior High School / Port Jervis City School Di	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	269	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	107	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	162	35%
George F Baker High School / Tuxedo Union Free School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	74	66%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Valley Central High School / Valley Central School	District (Montgomery)	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	391	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	94	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	297	48%
Warwick Valley High School / Warwick Valley Centr	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	392	69%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	64%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	364	69%
Washingtonville Senior High School / Washingtonv	ille Central School Dis	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	374	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	307	49%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: ORLEANS	Wellberg	,
Charles D'Amico High School / Albion Central Sc	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	207	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	149	36%
Holley Junior Senior High School / Holley Centra	I School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	123	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	47	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	76	38%
Kendall Junior-Senior High School / Kendall Cen	tral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	58	57%
L A Webber Middle-High School / Lyndonville Ce	ntral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	56	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	52	#
Medina High School / Medina Central School Dis	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	156	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	117	43%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County
School / District Count
Cohort of Cohort
Student Group Members
COUNTY: OSWEGO

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year

Altmar-Parish-Williamstown High School / Altmar-Parish-Williamstown Central School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome

All Students	129	22%		
Economically Disadvantaged	62	16%		
Not Economically Disadvantaged	67	28%		
Paul V Moore High School / Central Square Central S	chool District			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome				
All Students	399	35%		
Economically Disadvantaged	107	21%		
Not Economically Disadvantaged	292	40%		
G Ray Bodley High School / Fulton City School Distri	ct			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome				
All Students	288	39%		
Economically Disadvantaged	112	24%		
Not Economically Disadvantaged	176	48%		
Hannibal High School / Hannibal Central School Dist	rict			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome				
All Students	146	37%		
Economically Disadvantaged	53	25%		
Not Economically Disadvantaged	93	44%		
Mexico High School / Mexico Central School District				
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome				
All Students	204	33%		
Economically Disadvantaged	71	23%		
Not Economically Disadvantaged	133	39%		
Oswego High School / Oswego City School District				
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome				
All Students	404	41%		
Economically Disadvantaged	88	18%		
Not Economically Disadvantaged	316	47%		
John C Birdlebough High School / Phoenix Central School District				
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome				
All Students	181	36%		
Economically Disadvantaged	64	25%		
Not Economically Disadvantaged	117	42%		
Pulaski Junior-Senior High School / Pulaski Central School District				
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome				
All Students	86	47%		
Economically Disadvantaged	35	26%		
Not Economically Disadvantaged	51	61%		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Sandy Creek High School / Sandy Creek Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	71	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	38	37%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

CountyELA/Math Aspirational Performance MeasureSchool / DistrictCount<br/>of Cohort(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75<br/>or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greaterStudent GroupMemberson a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year

COUNTY: OTSEGO		
Cherry Valley-Springfield Junior-Senior High Schoo	I / Cherry Valley-Springfield Cen	tral School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	, carrette de la companya de la comp	
All Students	43	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	29%
Cooperstown Central High School / Central	entral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	86	42%
Edmeston Central School / Edmeston Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	36	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	50%
Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School	ol / Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Ce	ntral School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	39	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	23	61%
Laurens Central School / Laurens Central School Di	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	32	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	50%
Milford Central School / Milford Central School Distr	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	40	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	48%
Morris Central School / Morris Central School Distric	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	37	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	53%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	50%
Oneonta Senior High School / Oneonta City School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	164	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	37	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	127	57%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Unatego Junior-Senior High School / Otego-Unadilla Centra	al School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	94	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	34%
Richfield Springs Central School / Richfield Springs Centra	l School Distr	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	48	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	26%
Schenevus Central School / Schenevus Central School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	24	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	56%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	40%
Worcester School / Worcester Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	28	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	62%
-		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: PUTNAM	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Brewster High School / Brewster Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	290	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	256	66%
Carmel High School / Carmel Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	390	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	47	55%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	343	62%
Haldane High School / Haldane Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	#
Mahopac High School / Mahopac Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	424	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	397	58%
Putnam Valley High School / Putnam Valley Central School	l District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	152	47%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	152	47%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: RENSSELAER		
Averill Park High School / Averill Park Central School Distri	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	281	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	246	59%
Sand Lake-Miller Hill School / Averill Park Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Berlin High School / Berlin Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	67	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	46	22%
Tamarac Middle School High School / Brunswick Central S	chool District	(Brittonkill)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	122	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	108	59%
Columbia High School / East Greenbush Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	420	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	371	61%
Hoosic Valley Senior High School / Hoosic Valley Central S	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	102	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	86	56%
Hoosick Falls Junior/Senior High School / Hoosick Falls Ce	entral School D	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	109	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	47%
Lansingburgh Senior High School / Lansingburgh Central S	School District	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	236	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	100	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	136	43%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	on a main regents, as or valid or the 4th year
Rensselaer Junior/Senior High School / Rensselae	r City School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	28%
Maple Hill High School / Schodack Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	79	71%
Troy High School / Troy City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	348	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	153	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	195	33%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: ROCKLAND	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Birchwood School / Clarkstown Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	13	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	#
Clarkstown North Senior High School / Clarkstown Centra		·
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	r concor Bistine	•
All Students	390	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	65%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	370	71%
Clarkstown South Senior High School / Clarkstown Centra		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	364	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	48%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	341	74%
Felix Festa Character Middle School / Clarkstown Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Ramapo High School / East Ramapo Central School Distric	ct (Spring Valle	у)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	364	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	201	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	163	24%
Spring Valley High School / East Ramapo Central School I	District (Spring	Valley)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	240	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	111	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	129	22%
Fieldstone Secondary School / Haverstraw-Stony Point Cs	d (North Rockl	and)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
North Rockland High School / Haverstraw-Stony Point Cso	l (North Rockla	nd)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	655	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	219	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	436	40%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Nanuet Senior High School / Nanuet Union Free School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	163	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	150	60%
Nyack Senior High School / Nyack Union Free School Dist	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	224	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	179	61%
Pearl River High School / Pearl River Union Free School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	209	77%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	86%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	202	77%
Suffern Senior High School / Ramapo Central School Dist	rict (Suffern)	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	349	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	30	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	319	72%
Tappan Zee High School / South Orangetown Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	262	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	63%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	246	72%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: ST. LAWRENCE		
Saint Lawrence High School / Brasher Falls Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	87	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	53	30%
H C Williams Senior High School / Canton Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	119	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	94	54%
Clifton-Fine Junior-Senior High School / Clifton-Fine Cent	ral School Distr	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	22	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	85%
Colton-Pierrepont Junior-Senior High School / Colton-Pie	rrepont Central	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	26	62%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	71%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	58%
Edwards-Knox Junior-Senior High School / Edwards-Kno	x Central Schoo	ol District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	63	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	68%
Gouverneur Junior-Senior High School / Gouverneur Cent	tral School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	144	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	81	47%
Hammond Central School / Hammond Central School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	23	22%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	19%
Hermon-Dekalb Central School / Hermon-Dekalb Central S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	30	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	53%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Heuvelton Central School / Heuvelton Central School District	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	54	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	37	41%
Lisbon Central School / Lisbon Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	47	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	34	38%
Madrid-Waddington Junior-Senior High School / Madrid-Wad	ldington Cen	tral School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	63	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	40%
Massena Senior High School / Massena Central School Distr	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	213	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	129	53%
Morristown Central School / Morristown Central School Distr	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	32	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	38%
Norwood-Norfolk School / Norwood-Norfolk Central School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	94	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	65%
Ogdensburg Free Academy / Ogdensburg City School District	et	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	129	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	50	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	79	58%
Parishville-Hopkinton Junior-Senior High School / Parishville	-Hopkinton (	Central School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	47	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	33	58%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

	County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
F	Potsdam Senior High School / Potsdam Central Sch	hool District	
2	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
	All Students	109	57%
	Economically Disadvantaged	25	28%
	Not Economically Disadvantaged	84	65%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: SARATOGA	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Ballston Spa Senior High School / Ballston Spa Central Sc	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	SHOOT DISTRICT	
All Students	375	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	312	62%
Burnt Hills-Ballston Lake Senior High School / Burnt Hills-	_	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-Ballstoll Lake V	Sentral Genoor District
All Students	263	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	249	65%
Corinth High School / Corinth Central School District		33,7
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	37	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	56	48%
Galway High School / Galway Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	83	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	68	46%
Mechanicville High School / Mechanicville City School Dis	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	126	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	111	41%
Saratoga Springs High School / Saratoga Springs City Scl	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	589	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	82	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	507	59%
Schuylerville Junior-Senior High School / Schuylerville Ce	entral School Di	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	126	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	103	70%
Shenendehowa High School / Shenendehowa Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	725	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	665	67%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

		El Alla de Assissifica el Deufermano el Marano
County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort	of Cohort	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	•
South Glens Falls Senior High School / South Glens	Falls Central School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	229	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	187	58%
Stillwater Middle School High School / Stillwater Cer	ntral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	105	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	95	35%
Waterford Junior-Senior High School / Waterford-Ha	Ifmoon Union Free So	chool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	61	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	38%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: SCHENECTADY	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Duanesburg High School / Duanesburg Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	85	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	56%
Niskayuna High School / Niskayuna Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	368	75%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	348	77%
Mohonasen Senior High School / Rotterdam-Mohonasen Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	287	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	50	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	237	55%
Schalmont High School / Schalmont Central School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	192	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	167	54%
Schenectady High School / Schenectady City School District	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	654	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	322	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	332	15%
Scotia-Glenville Senior High School / Scotia-Glenville Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	258	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	225	54%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Count Cohort of Cohort

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year

COUN	Y: SCHOHARIE	

Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of Ju
COUNTY: SCHOHARIE		
Cobleskill-Richmondville High School / Cobleskill	-Richmondville Central Sc	hool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	187	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	134	49%
Gilboa Conesville Central School / Gilboa-Conesv	ille Central School Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	23	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	45%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	50%
Jefferson Central School / Jefferson Central Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	20	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	42%
Middleburgh High School / Middleburgh Central S	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	85	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	56	34%
Schoharie High School / Schoharie Central School	I District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	91	31%
Sharon Springs Central School / Sharon Springs (	Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	26	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	22%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

CountyCountSchool / DistrictCountCohortof CohortStudent GroupMembers

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year

COUNTY: SCHUYLER

#### Odessa-Montour Middle/High School / Odessa-Montour Central School District

2006	Γ∩tal	Cohort	- 4	Year	Outcome

All Students	68	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	52	27%
Watkins Glen Central High School / Watkins Glen C	Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		

All Students 101 25%
Economically Disadvantaged 31 13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 70 30%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: SENECA	Wellbers	,
Romulus Central School / Romulus Central School	I District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	43	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	47%
Mynderse Academy / Seneca Falls Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	79	43%
South Seneca High School / South Seneca Centra	I School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	31%
Waterloo High School / Waterloo Central School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	166	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	111	35%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: STEUBEN		
Addison High School / Addison Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	91	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	46	22%
Arkport Central School / Arkport Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	52	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	46	72%
Avoca Central School / Avoca Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	65	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	41	24%
Haverling Senior High School / Bath Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	155	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	114	57%
Bradford Central School / Bradford Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	15	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	30%
Campbell-Savona Junior/Senior High School / Campbell-Sa	vona Central	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	67	60%
Canisteo-Greenwood High School / Canisteo-Greenwood C	sd	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	32%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	53	42%
Corning Painted Post High School Learning Center / Cornin	ng City School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	19	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Corning-Painted Post East High School / Corning City School	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	191	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	56	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	135	36%
Corning-Painted Post West High School / Corning City Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	228	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	190	48%
Hammondsport Junior-Senior High School / Hammondsport	rt Central Scho	ool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	39	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	29	52%
Hornell Junior High School / Hornell City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Hornell Senior High School / Hornell City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	155	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	62	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	93	46%
Jasper-Troupsburg Junior-Senior High School / Jasper-Tro	oupsburg Cent	ral School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	50	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	46%
Prattsburgh Central School / Prattsburgh Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	41	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	63%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	55%
Wayland-Cohocton High School / Wayland-Cohocton Centr	al School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		,
All Students	141	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	96	45%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: SUFFOLK		
Amityville Memorial High School / Amityville Union Free Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		2.00
All Students	254	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	119	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	135	20%
Babylon Junior-Senior High School / Babylon Union Free S	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	142	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	136	65%
Bay Shore Senior High School / Bay Shore Union Free Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	483	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	164	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	319	54%
Bayport-Blue Point High School / Bayport-Blue Point Union	r Free School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	170	67%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	165	68%
Brentwood High School / Brentwood Union Free School Di	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1085	22%
Economically Disadvantaged	429	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	656	22%
Freshman Center / Brentwood Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	14	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	9	0%
South Middle School / Brentwood Union Free School Distri	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
West Middle School / Brentwood Union Free School District	:t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
<del>-</del>		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Bridgehampton School / Bridgehampton Union Free Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	20	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	20%
Comsewogue High School / Brookhaven-Comsewogue U	nion Free School	ol District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	307	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	262	39%
Center Moriches High School / Center Moriches Union Fr	ee School Distri	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	119	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	105	51%
Central Islip Senior High School / Central Islip Union Free	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	454	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	207	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	247	15%
Cold Spring Harbor High School / Cold Spring Harbor Ce	ntral School Dis	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	164	83%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	163	#
Commack High School / Commack Union Free School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	602	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	582	74%
Connetquot High School / Connetquot Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	556	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	498	60%
Walter G O'Connell Copiague High School / Copiague Un	ion Free School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	360	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	175	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	185	23%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Deer Park High School / Deer Park Union Free School Distri	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	351	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	90	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	261	50%
East Hampton High School / East Hampton Union Free Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	247	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	219	47%
East Islip High School / East Islip Union Free School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	439	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	400	63%
Eastport-South Manor Junior Senior High School / Eastport	t-South Manor	Csd
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	313	60%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	46%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	285	61%
Elwood/John Glenn High School / Elwood Union Free Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	187	81%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	173	83%
Fishers Island School / Fishers Island Union Free School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Greenport High School / Greenport Union Free School Distr	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	48	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	51%
Half Hollow Hills High School East / Half Hollow Hills Centra	al School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	421	69%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	45%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	383	72%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Half Hollow Hills High School West / Half Hollow Hills Cen	tral School Dist	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	358	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	331	76%
Hampton Bays Secondary School / Hampton Bays Union F	ree School Dis	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	153	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	135	40%
Harborfields High School / Harborfields Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	277	79%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	254	83%
Hauppauge High School / Hauppauge Union Free School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	300	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	279	65%
Huntington High School / Huntington Union Free School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	263	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	202	63%
Islip High School / Islip Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	309	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	51	45%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	258	53%
Kings Park High School / Kings Park Central School Distri	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	297	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	279	63%
Lindenhurst Senior High School / Lindenhurst Union Free	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	607	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	106	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	501	59%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Little Flower School / Little Flower Union Free School Distri	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Longwood High School / Longwood Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	708	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	236	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	472	45%
Mattituck Junior-Senior High School / Mattituck-Cutchogue	Union Free S	chool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	132	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	125	65%
Centereach High School / Middle Country Central School Di	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	411	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	350	43%
Newfield High School / Middle Country Central School Distr	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	425	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	85	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	340	41%
Miller Place High School / Miller Place Union Free School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	246	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	231	47%
Mount Sinai High School / Mount Sinai Union Free School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	220	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	206	63%
North Babylon High School / North Babylon Union Free Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	405	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	89	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	316	39%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



County

# Public School Total Cohort Aspirational Performance Measure, English Language Arts and Mathematics - 2009-10 School Year by Economic Status

ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure

2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Northport Senior High School / Northport-East Northport	ort Union Free Sch	ool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	487	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	461	68%
Patchogue-Medford High School / Patchogue-Medford	Union Free Schoo	I District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	680	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	193	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	487	42%
Earl L Vandermeulen High School / Port Jefferson Unio	on Free School Dis	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	87	67%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	87	67%
Riverhead Senior High School / Riverhead Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	369	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	107	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	262	45%
Rocky Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	280	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	244	41%
Sachem High School East / Sachem Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	603	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	542	58%
Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	527	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	458	56%
Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free S	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	71	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	66	59%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Sayville High School / Sayville Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	293	69%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	45%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	282	70%
Shelter Island School / Shelter Island Union Free School Di	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	25	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	44%
Shoreham-Wading River High School / Shoreham-Wading I	River Central S	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	206	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	201	58%
Smithtown High School East / Smithtown Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	389	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	376	74%
Smithtown High School-West / Smithtown Central School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	370	74%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	359	75%
Bellport Senior High School / South Country Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	367	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	116	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	251	35%
Walt Whitman High School / South Huntington Union Free	School District	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	486	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	153	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	333	63%
Southampton High School / Southampton Union Free Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	151	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	120	68%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
County School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Southold Junior-Senior High School / Southold Union Fr	ee School Distric	et
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	92	49%
Ward Melville Senior High School / Three Village Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	633	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	46%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	605	72%
West Babylon Senior High School / West Babylon Union	Free School Dist	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	392	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	313	45%
West Islip Senior High School / West Islip Union Free School	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	457	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	436	55%
Westhampton Beach Senior High School / Westhampton	Beach Union Fro	ee School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	234	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	216	61%
William Floyd High School / William Floyd Union Free Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	790	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	250	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	540	34%
Wyandanch Memorial High School / Wyandanch Union F	ree School Distri	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	135	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	121	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: SULLIVAN		
Eldred Junior-Senior High School / Eldred Central School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	64	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	53	49%
Fallsburg Junior Senior High School / Fallsburg Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	52	23%
Liberty High School / Liberty Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	124	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	54%
Livingston Manor High School / Livingston Manor Central S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	52	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	47%
Monticello High School / Monticello Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	257	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	158	28%
Roscoe Central School / Roscoe Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	21	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	9	22%
Sullivan West High School At Lake Huntington / Sullivan W	est Central Sc	hool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	120	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	47%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	103	61%
Tri-Valley Secondary School / Tri-Valley Central School Dis	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	87	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	48%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: TIOGA	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Candor Junior-Senior High School / Candor Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	63	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	50	44%
Newark Valley Senior High School / Newark Valley Centr	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	70	56%
Owego Free Academy / Owego-Apalachin Central School	I District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	163	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	124	73%
Spencer-Van Etten High School / Spencer-Van Etten Cer	ntral School Distri	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	54	37%
Tioga Senior High School / Tioga Central School District	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	87	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	55	47%
Waverly High School / Waverly Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	143	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	102	31%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: TOMPKINS		
Dryden High School / Dryden Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	166	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	121	66%
George Junior Republic School / George Junior Republic U	nion Free Sch	ool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Groton High School / Groton Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	42%
Ithaca Senior High School / Ithaca City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	390	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	323	68%
Lansing High School / Lansing Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	120	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	105	76%
New Roots Charter School / New Roots Charter School		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Newfield Senior High School / Newfield Central School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	82	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	58	64%
Charles O Dickerson High School / Trumansburg Central Sc	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	85	52%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: ULSTER	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Ellenville High School / Ellenville Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	139	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	64	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	21%
Highland High School / Highland Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	175	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	130	58%
Kingston High School / Kingston City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	592	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	181	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	411	33%
Marlboro Central High School / Marlboro Central School Di	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	173	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	47	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	126	37%
New Paltz Senior High School / New Paltz Central School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	198	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	177	58%
Onteora High School / Onteora Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	160	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	111	59%
Rondout Valley High School / Rondout Valley Central Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	225	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	173	44%
Saugerties Senior High School / Saugerties Central School	l District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	274	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	214	39%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Wallkill Senior High School / Wallkill Central School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	291	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	233	46%
West Park School / West Park Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	6	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

CountyELA/Math Aspirational PerformanceSchool / DistrictCount(percentage of the cohort who gr.Cohortof Cohortor greater on the ELA Regents and on a math Regents) as of July	aduated and earned 75 nd earned 80 or greater
COUNTY: WARREN	
Bolton Central School / Bolton Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 29 45%	
Economically Disadvantaged 2 #	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 27 #	
Glens Falls Senior High School / Glens Falls City School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 223 46%	
Economically Disadvantaged 6 0%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 217 47%	
Hadley-Luzerne High School / Hadley-Luzerne Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 70 30%	
Economically Disadvantaged 19 16%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 51 35%	
Johnsburg Central School / Johnsburg Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 28 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged 10 30%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 18 33%	
Lake George Junior-Senior High School / Lake George Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 90 69%	
Economically Disadvantaged 9 44%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 81 72%	
North Warren Central School / North Warren Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 48 25%	
Economically Disadvantaged 23 22%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 25 28%	
Queensbury Senior High School / Queensbury Union Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 325 55%	
Economically Disadvantaged 38 18%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 287 60%	
Warrensburg Junior-Senior High School / Warrensburg Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 64 56%	
Economically Disadvantaged 16 38%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 48 63%	

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: WASHINGTON	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Argyle Junior/Senior High School / Argyle Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	1311101	
All Students	54	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	40	33%
Cambridge Junior-Senior High School / Cambridge Central	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	0011001 210111	-
All Students	104	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	83	52%
Fort Ann Central School / Fort Ann Central School District		2_,,
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	39	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	53%
Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School Distri	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	33	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	36%
Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	132	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	98	48%
Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central	School Distric	et
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	79	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	71	46%
Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	49	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	43%
Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School Di	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	181	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	123	28%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Salem High School / Salem Central School Distri	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	61	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	65%
Whitehall Junior-Senior High School / Whitehall	Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	68	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	54	37%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: WAYNE	0-11-01-4-1-	
Clyde Junior-Senior High School / Clyde-Savannah Central	School Distric	Ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	60	000/
All Students	68	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	36%
Ruben A Cirillo High School / Gananda Central School Distr	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	00	F20/
All Students	90	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	69	55%
Lyons Senior High School / Lyons Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	00	220/
All Students	90	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	44	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	46 District	46%
Marion Junior-Senior High School / Marion Central School I	DISTRICT	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	0.5	4007
All Students	85	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	45%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	54	41%
Newark Senior High School / Newark Central School Distric	et .	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	204	270/
All Students	201	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	149	44%
North Rose-Wolcott High School / North Rose-Wolcott Cent	rai School Dis	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	400	4.00/
All Students	123	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	68	19%
Palmyra-Macedon Senior High School / Palmyra-Macedon C	entrai School	DISTRICT
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	400	F00/
All Students	186	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	133	61%
Red Creek High School / Red Creek Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	0.0	470/
All Students	88	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	56	52%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Sodus High School / Sodus Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	118	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	50	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	68	47%
Sodus Middle School / Sodus Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Wayne Senior High School / Wayne Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	211	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	183	61%
Williamson Senior High School / Williamson Central School	l District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	59%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year	
COUNTY: WESTCHESTER			
Ardsley High School / Ardsley Union Free School District			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	172	76%	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	171	#	
Fox Lane High School / Bedford Central School District			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	322	70%	
Economically Disadvantaged	49	37%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	273	76%	
Blind Brook High School / Blind Brook-Rye Union Free Sch	ool District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	85	82%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	85	82%	
Briarcliff High School / Briarcliff Manor Union Free School	District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	158	91%	
Economically Disadvantaged	8	25%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	150	94%	
Bronxville High School / Bronxville Union Free School Dist	rict		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	112	85%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	112	85%	
Byram Hills High School / Byram Hills Central School Distri	ict		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	207	91%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	207	91%	
Horace Greeley High School / Chappaqua Central School D	istrict		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	330	88%	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	329	#	
Croton-Harmon High School / Croton-Harmon Union Free School District			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	123	69%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	123	69%	
Dobbs Ferry High School / Dobbs Ferry Union Free School	District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	122	65%	
Economically Disadvantaged	7	29%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	115	67%	

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	• ,
Eastchester Senior High School / Eastchester Union Free	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	241	59%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	241	59%
Edgemont Junior-Senior High School / Edgemont Union F	ree School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	180	89%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	180	89%
Alexander Hamilton High School / Elmsford Union Free School	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	84	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	62	35%
Woodlands Senior High School / Greenburgh Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	109	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	44%
Greenburgh Eleven High School / Greenburgh Eleven Uni	on Free School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	14	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Martin Luther King Jr High School / Greenburgh-Graham	Union Free Scho	ool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Harrison High School / Harrison Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	227	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	194	61%
Hastings High School / Hastings-On-Hudson Union Free S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	136	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	134	#
Hawthorne Cedar Knolls Sr/Jr High School / Hawthorne-C	edar Knolls Uni	on Free School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	5	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year	
Linden Hill School / Hawthorne-Cedar Knolls Union Free Sch	hool District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	8	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	8	0%	
Hendrick Hudson High School / Hendrick Hudson Central Sc	chool District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	230	64%	
Economically Disadvantaged	18	0%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	212	69%	
Irvington High School / Irvington Union Free School District			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	135	79%	
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	130	82%	
John Jay High School / Katonah-Lewisboro Union Free Scho	ool District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	302	79%	
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	297	81%	
Lakeland Alternative High School / Lakeland Central School	District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	11	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	#	
Lakeland High School / Lakeland Central School District			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	284	71%	
Economically Disadvantaged	13	46%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	271	72%	
Walter Panas High School / Lakeland Central School District	t		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	215	60%	
Economically Disadvantaged	24	33%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	191	63%	
Mamaroneck High School / Mamaroneck Union Free School District			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	320	68%	
Economically Disadvantaged	34	21%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	286	74%	

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year	
Westlake High School / Mount Pleasant Central School Dis			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	142	66%	
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	140	#	
Blythedale School / Mount Pleasant-Blythedale Union Free	_	··	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	OCHOOL DISTILL	•	
All Students	3	#	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#	
Edenwald School / Mount Pleasant-Cottage Union Free Sch	•	π	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	iooi District		
All Students	12	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	9	#	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#	
Mount Pleasant Cottage School / Mount Pleasant-Cottage U	_		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	onion i ree oci		
All Students	29	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	19	0%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%	
Mount Vernon High School / Mount Vernon School District	10	070	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	425	19%	
Economically Disadvantaged	87	3%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	338	23%	
Nelson Mandela Community Hs At Columbus Bldg / Mount Vernon School District			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	vernon conce		
All Students	69	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	20	0%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	49	0%	
Thornton High School / Mount Vernon School District			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	122	9%	
Economically Disadvantaged	16	0%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	106	10%	
New Rochelle High School / New Rochelle City School Dist		. • , , ,	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	<b>-</b>		
All Students	793	36%	
Economically Disadvantaged	319	19%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	474	47%	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		** **	

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	•
North Salem Middle School/High School / North Salem Cer	itrai School Dis	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	404	000/
All Students	104	80%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	103	#
Ossining High School / Ossining Union Free School District	et .	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	000	4404
All Students	322	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	95	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	227	50%
Peekskill High School / Peekskill City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	213	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	95	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	118	26%
Pelham Memorial High School / Pelham Union Free School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	181	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	158	58%
Pleasantville High School / Pleasantville Union Free School	I District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	167	80%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	164	#
Port Chester Senior High School / Port Chester-Rye Union	Free School D	istrict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	248	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	111	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	137	39%
Rye High School / Rye City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	204	73%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	204	73%
Rye Neck Senior High School / Rye Neck Union Free School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	111	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	106	54%
Scarsdale Senior High School / Scarsdale Union Free School	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	353	89%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	353	89%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year	
Somers Senior High School / Somers Central School Distric	t		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	253	63%	
Economically Disadvantaged	9	33%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	244	64%	
Tuckahoe High School / Tuckahoe Union Free School Distric	ct		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	68	63%	
Economically Disadvantaged	8	63%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	63%	
Sleepy Hollow High School / Union Free School District Of T	The Tarrytown	ns	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	215	32%	
Economically Disadvantaged	100	17%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	115	45%	
Valhalla High School / Valhalla Union Free School District			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	103	70%	
Economically Disadvantaged	5	60%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	98	70%	
New York Hospital Annex / White Plains City School District	:		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	3	#	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#	
White Plains Senior High School / White Plains City School District			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	521	39%	
Economically Disadvantaged	171	26%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	350	45%	
Gorton High School / Yonkers City School District			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	394	8%	
Economically Disadvantaged	337	8%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	5%	
Lincoln High School / Yonkers City School District			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			
All Students	390	7%	
Economically Disadvantaged	308	7%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	82	7%	

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Riverside High School / Yonkers City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Roosevelt High School / Yonkers City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	279	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	215	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	64	0%
Saunders Trades & Technical High School / Yonkers City	y School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	288	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	229	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	59	29%
Yonkers High School / Yonkers City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	217	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	158	47%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	59	59%
Yorktown High School / Yorktown Central School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	358	70%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	350	71%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
152	47%
54	33%
98	55%
ol District	
99	37%
31	26%
68	43%
77	43%
14	29%
63	46%
ol District	
73	34%
12	17%
61	38%
	152 54 98 DI District 99 31 68 77 14 63 DI District 73 12

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: YATES	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Dundee Junior-Senior High School / Dundee Cer	ntral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	79	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	44%
Penn Yan Academy / Penn Yan Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	153	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	48	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	105	41%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation