

I. SCHOOL INFORMATION AND COVER PAGE

Created Wednesday, June 18, 2014

Updated Monday, June 23, 2014

Page 1

1. SCHOOL NAME

(Select School name from dropdown menu; BEDS # appears first)

342400861048 MIDDLE VILLAGE PREP CS

2. CHARTER AUTHORIZER

SUNY-Authorized Charter School


3. DISTRICT / CSD OF LOCATION

NYC CSD 24

4. SCHOOL INFORMATION

PRIMARY ADDRESS	PHONE NUMBER	FAX NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS
6802 Metropolitan Avenue Middle Village Queens, N.Y. 11379	718-869-2933	718-821-2498	www.middlevillageprep.org

4a. PHONE CONTACT NUMBER FOR AFTER HOURS EMERGENCIES

Contact Name	Mr. Ronald E. Rivera
Title	Principal
Emergency Phone Number (###-###-####)	

5. SCHOOL WEB ADDRESS (URL)

www.middlevillageprep.org

6. DATE OF INITIAL CHARTER

2013-02-01 00:00:00

7. DATE FIRST OPENED FOR INSTRUCTION

2013-09-01 00:00:00

8. TOTAL NUMBER OF STUDENTS ENROLLED IN 2013-14 (as reported on BEDS Day)

(as reported on BEDS Day)

9. GRADES SERVED IN SCHOOL YEAR 2013-14

Check all that apply

-
- 6
-

10. DOES THE SCHOOL CONTRACT WITH A CHARTER OR EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION?

Yes/No	Name of CMO/EMO
No	

11. FACILITIES

Will the School maintain or operate multiple sites?

No, just one site.

12. SCHOOL SITES

Please list the sites where the school will operate in 2014-15.

	Physical Address	Phone Number	District/CSD	Grades Served at Site	School at Full Capacity at Site	Facilities Agreement
Site 1 (same as primary site)	6802 Metropolitan Avenue, Middle Village Queens, NY 11379	718-869-29 33	CSD 24	6 and 7	No	Rent/Lease

12a. Please provide the contact information for Site 1 (same as the primary site).

	Name	Work Phone	Alternate Phone	Email Address
School Leader	Mr. Ronald E. Rivera			
Operational Leader	Mr. Christian Quezada			
Compliance Contact	Ms. Michele Allocca			
Complaint Contact	Mr. Michael Michel			

14. Were there any revisions to the school's charter during the 2013-2014 school year? (Please include both those that required authorizer approval and those that did not require authorizer approval).

No

16. Our signatures below attest that all of the information contained herein is truthful and accurate and that this charter school is in compliance with all aspects of its charter, and with all pertinent Federal, State, and local laws, regulations, and rules. We understand that if any information in any part of this report is found to have been deliberately misrepresented, that will constitute grounds for the revocation of our charter. Check YES if you agree and use the mouse on your PC or the stylus on your mobile device to sign your name).

• Yes

Signature, Head of Charter School

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ronald S. Rivera". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial 'R' and a stylized 'S'.

Signature, President of the Board of Trustees

.

Thank you.

Appendix A: Link to the New York State School Report Card

Created Friday, July 18, 2014

Page 1

Charter School Name: 342400861048 MIDDLE VILLAGE PREP CS

1. NEW YORK STATE REPORT CARD

Provide a direct URL or web link to the most recent New York State School Report Card for the charter school (See <https://reportcards.nysed.gov/>).

(Charter schools completing year one will not yet have a School Report Card or link to one. Please type "URL is not available" in the space provided).

URL is not available



MIDDLE VILLAGE PREPARATORY CHARTER SCHOOL

2013-14 ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

Submitted to the SUNY Charter Schools Institute on:

August 14, 2014

By Mr. Ronald E. Rivera, Principal

6802 Metropolitan Avenue, Middle Village N.Y. 11379

718-869-2933

rrivera@middlevillageprep.org

Mr. Ronald E. Rivera prepared this 2013-14 Accountability Progress Report on behalf of the school's board of trustees:

Trustee's Name	Board Position
Loriann Temkin	Parent Representative (PTA)
Laura O'Gorman	Academic
Margaret V. Ognibene	Finance
Michael Michel	Finance
Serphin R. Maltese	Fundraising
Josephine Lume	Chairperson
Debbie Kueber	Fundraising
Kaiko Hayes	Fundraising
Rosemary DeGennaro	Academic
Maureen Campbell	Academic

Mr. Ronald E. Rivera has served as the school Principal since February, 2013.

INTRODUCTION

Mission and Vision

The mission of Middle Village Preparatory Charter School is to prepare students to succeed at the college-preparatory high school of their choice. The school's vision is that every single one of its graduating eighth-graders will be ready to enroll in a selective New York City public high school, a college-preparatory private high school or a prestigious boarding school, so that they can go on to succeed in college and beyond.

The school opened on September 3, 2013 after seven months of planning. It served grade 6 in this first year, with a total of 120 students admitted. Demographically, the school serves a diverse ethnic student population of Hispanic, African American, East European, Middle Eastern, Asian and other students. It was founded in primarily a middle class area in School District 24, an extremely overcrowded district, with schools at 130% or more, thus offering parents an alternative to a very overcrowded school situation.

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School will have a small, but positive, programmatic impact on the existing schools in the area. At full scale, the school will enroll 360 students in grades 6-8, under 3% of the middle school enrollment in CSD 24 district schools.

Middle Village Prep's programmatic impact will stem from being a high-performing school that serves as a model for other educators in the district. MVP will welcome teachers and school leaders who wish to visit the school and MVP staff will be encouraged to participate in educator-focused events in the community such as conference, conventions and panel discussions.

School Enrollment by Grade Level and School Year

School Year	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
2010-11														
2011-12														
2012-13														
2013-14							120							120

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Goal 1: English Language Arts

The Middle Village Preparatory Charter School students will demonstrate mastery of New York State Learning Standards in English Language Arts.

Background

The Middle Village Preparatory Charter School's Language Arts curriculum for our first year involved our grade 6 students in the 100 Book Challenge, a reading program designed to engender a love of reading in the students. Students were able to pick literature of their own choosing and were required to read for at least one hour daily. Reading grade levels were measured at the beginning of the year and on an ongoing basis to provide evidence that students were making progress in their individual reading levels. In addition to the 100 Book Challenge, our grade 6 ELA teacher used the Pearson Series Textbook and explored a variety of literary genres with students. The students also benefited from a curriculum writing component administered by the Social Studies teacher who worked collaboratively with the ELA teacher.

Weekly ELA teacher assessments were administered by the classroom teacher as were monthly and trimester exams in order to measure students' progress. These assessments included the 100 Book Challenge Individual Student Conferences that measured students' prowess with their reading comprehension.

Professional development in ELA was given to ALL of the teaching staff at the beginning of the year, with each teacher being trained in the goals and methods of the 100 Book Challenge and in the Writing Process as it applied to each of their own subject areas. Four Co-Teachers each assigned to one of our grade six classes were thus able to assist in the ELA process in relevant ways as they assisted each day with the regular ELA teacher.

Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State English language arts examination for grades 3-8.

Method

The school administered the New York State Testing Program English language arts assessment to students in 6th grade in April 2014. Each student's raw score has been converted to a grade-specific scaled score and a performance level.

The table below summarizes participation information for this year's test administration. The table indicates total enrollment and total number of students tested. It also provides a detailed breakdown of those students excluded from the exam. Note that

this table includes all students according to grade level. All students are in grade 6, our first and only class that has ever tested here.

**2013-14 State English Language Arts Exam
Number of Students Tested and Not Tested**

Grade	Total Tested	Not Tested ¹			Total Enrolled
		IEP	ELL	Absent	
3					
4					
5					
6	116			2	118
7					
8					
All					

Results

Since it is the first year of operations at MVP, we have no students in at least their second year.

**Performance on 2013-14 State English Language Arts Exam
By All Students and Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year**

Grade s	All Students		Enrolled in at least their Second Year	
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
3				
4				
5				
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7				
8				
All				

Evaluation

¹ Students exempted from this exam according to their Individualized Education Program (IEP), because of English Language Learners (ELL) status, or absence for at least some part of the exam.

Because this is the first assessment year for our first set of students, we have no students that have been with us for a second year. Therefore, in the testing year 2013-2014, the goal of students achieving a 75% proficiency level does not apply.

Additional Evidence

This year, since it is our first, we cannot demonstrate any year to year trends until our 2014-2015 test year result become available.

English Language Arts Performance by Grade Level and School Year

Grade	Percent of Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year Achieving Proficiency					
	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
3						
4						
5						
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7						
8						
All						

Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Level Index (PLI) on the State English language arts exam will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.

Method

The federal No Child Left Behind law holds schools accountable for making annual yearly progress towards enabling all students to be proficient. As a result, the state sets an AMO each year to determine if schools are making satisfactory progress toward the goal of proficiency in the state's learning standards in English language arts. To achieve this measure, all tested students must have a Performance Level Index (PLI) value that equals or exceeds the 2013-14 English language arts AMO of 89. The PLI is calculated by adding the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 2 through 4 with the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 3 and 4. Thus, the highest possible PLI is 200.²

² In contrast to SED's Performance Index, the PLI does not account for year-to-year growth toward proficiency.

Results

The table below indicates that the percentage of students achieving Level 1 was not high enough to prevent the school from achieving above the AMO of 89 points. A strong showing in level 2 and a total of almost 30 percent at level 3 and 4 put the school over the required AMO of 89.

English Language Arts 2013-14 Performance Level Index (PLI)

Number in Cohort	Percent of Students at Each Performance Level			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
116	13.8	56.9	17.2	12.1

$$\begin{array}{rclclclclcl}
 \text{PI} & = & 56.9 & + & 17.2 & + & 12.1 & = & 86.2 \\
 & & & & 17.2 & + & 12.1 & = & \underline{29.3} \\
 & & & & & & \text{PLI} & = & 115.5
 \end{array}$$

Evaluation

Overall, the school met this year's AMO, exceeding the benchmark of 89 by 26.5 points. There does not seem to be any subgroup that performed exceptionally poorly. The numbers also suggest that a concerted effort be made to identify all of the Level 2 students who missed the Level 3 benchmark, paying attention to the needed help they will require to achieve Level 3 next year. Likewise, those students who are level one shall be challenged and assisted in achieving level 2 and beyond.

Having done an analysis of our grade 6 students (who are all new to this school) we estimated that 25 percent of them were on level 1 in their previous school for their grade 5 exam. This year's assessment reduced this number by half, moving approximately 13 students into level 2, thus assisting us in achieving this year's AMO. We believe that this improvement was the result of the 100 Book Challenge and the building of a reading culture that emphasized time on task in reading. The plan then, is to continue addressing and moving the level ones upward, accompanied by a significant movement of current level two's into level three. We estimate approximately 30 students who are close enough to level 3, and with proper support for next year can be pushed up to proficiency.

Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state English language arts exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.

Method

A school compares tested students enrolled in at least their second year to all tested students in the surrounding public school district. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which the school had tested students in at least their second year at the school and the total result for all students at the corresponding grades in the school district.³

Results

This year is our first testing year with our first class of students. Therefore, we have no second year test data to draw upon. One positive sign as a predictor of next year's results however, is that our students' performance was higher in ELA than the overall District 24Q's performance.

2013-14 State English Language Arts Exam Charter School and District Performance by Grade Level

Grade	Percent of Students at Proficiency			
	Charter School Students In At Least 2 nd Year		All District Students	
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
3				
4				
5				
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7				
8				
All				

Evaluation

This year is our first testing year with our first class of students. Therefore, we have no first second year data to report and compare (N/A).

Additional Evidence

Also, additional evidence may include demographic differences between the school and the district as well as compelling reasons for comparing the school to a subset of schools within the district.

This year is our first testing year and therefore there are not students in their second year to compare to local District students.

³ Schools can acquire these data when the New York State Education Department releases its Access database containing grade level ELA and math test results for all schools and districts statewide. The NYSED announces the release of the data on its [News Release webpage](#).

English Language Arts Performance of Charter School and Local District by Grade Level and School Year

Grade	Percent of Students Enrolled in at Least their Second Year Who Are at Proficiency Compared to Local District Students					
	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
	Charter School	Local District	Charter School	Local District	Charter School	Local District
3						
4						
5						
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7						
8						
All						

Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state English language arts exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for students eligible for economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State.⁴

Method

The Charter Schools Institute conducts a Comparative Performance Analysis, which compares the school's performance to demographically similar public schools state-wide. The Institute uses a regression analysis to control for the percentage of economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State. The Institute compares the school's actual performance to the predicted performance of public schools with a similar economically disadvantaged percentage. The difference between the schools' actual and predicted performance, relative to other schools with similar economically disadvantaged statistics, produces an Effect Size. An Effect Size of 0.3 or performing higher than expected to a small degree is the requirement for achieving this measure.

Given the timing of the state's release of economically disadvantaged data and the demands of the data analysis, the 2013-14 analysis is not yet available. This report contains 2012-13 results, the most recent Comparative Performance Analysis available.

⁴ The Institute will continue using **economically disadvantaged** instead of **eligibility for free lunch** as the demographic variable in 2013-14. Schools should report previous year's results using reported free-lunch statistics.

Results

As informed by the Charter School Accountability Center, because MCP has no results history for 2012-2013, this Comparative Performance Analysis is not applicable and does not apply.

2012-13 English Language Arts Comparative Performance by Grade Level

Grade	Percent Economically Disadvantaged	Number Tested	Percent of Students at Levels 3&4		Difference between Actual and Predicted	Effect Size
			Actual	Predicted		
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
All						

School's Overall Comparative Performance:

N/A

Evaluation

Because of a lack of history in 2012-2013, this Comparative Performance analysis regarding Effective Size does not apply (N/A).

Additional Evidence

Because of a lack of test history between the years 2010-2013, this Comparative Performance analysis regarding Effective Size does not apply (N/A).

English Language Arts Comparative Performance by School Year

School Year	Grades	Percent Eligible for Free Lunch	Number Tested	Actual	Predicted	Effect Size
2010-11	N/A					
2011-12	N/A					
2012-13	N/A					

Goal 1: Growth Measure⁵

Each year, under the state's Growth Model, the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in English language arts for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be above the state's unadjusted median growth percentile.

Method

This measure examines the change in performance of the same group of students from one year to the next and the progress they are making in comparison to other students with the same score in the previous year. The analysis only includes students who took the state exam in 2012-13 and also have a state exam score from 2011-12 including students who were retained in the same grade. Students with the same 2011-12 score are ranked by their 2012-13 score and assigned a percentile based on their relative growth in performance (student growth percentile). Students' growth percentiles are aggregated school-wide to yield a school's mean growth percentile. In order for a school to perform above the statewide median, it must have a mean growth percentile greater than 50.

Given the timing of the state's release of Growth Model data, the 2013-14 analysis is not yet available. This report contains 2012-13 results, the most recent Growth Model data available.⁶

Results

As informed by the Charter School Accountability Office, because MVP Charter has only completed its' first year and has no testing history for the year 2012 – 2013, the Growth Model Measure does not apply this school year (N/A).

2012-13 English Language Arts Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level

Grade	Mean Growth Percentile	
	School	Statewide Median
3		50.0
4		50.0
5		50.0
6	N/A	50.0
7		50.0
8		50.0
All		50.0

Evaluation

As stated, MVP Charter has no test history for the year 2012s-2013 and therefore there is no Mean Growth Percentile measure available (N/A).

⁵ See Guidelines for [Creating a SUNY Accountability Plan](#) for an explanation.

⁶ Schools can acquire these data from the NYSED's Business Portal: portal.nysed.gov.

Additional Evidence

As stated, we have no test history for the years 2010 to 2013

English Language Arts Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level and School Year

Grade	Mean Growth Percentile			
	2010-11 ⁷	2011-12 ⁷	2012-13	Statewide Average
3				50.0
4				50.0
5				50.0
6			N/A	50.0
7				50.0
8				50.0
All				50.0

Goal 1: Optional Measure

XXX

Method

Results

Evaluation

Summary of the English Language Arts Goal

The school achieved a successful Absolute outcome by scoring a Performance Level Index above the required 89 points required, thus meeting the school's AMO as

⁷ Grade level results not available.

set forth by the NCLB accountability system. All of the other measures were not applicable until we have a student population in their second year or beyond.

Type	Measure	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State English language arts exam for grades 3-8.	N/A
Absolute	Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Level Index (PLI) on the state English language arts exam will meet that year's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.	Achieved/
Comparative	Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state English language arts exam will be greater than that of students in the same tested grades in the local school district.	N/A
Comparative	Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state English language arts exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State. (Using 2012-13 school district results.)	N/A
Growth	Each year, under the state's Growth Model the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in English language arts for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be above the state's unadjusted median growth percentile.	N/A
		None

Action Plan

Students in year one who performed close to the proficiency level (level 3) but did not attain proficiency will be identified. These targeted children will have their data analyzed in order to determine what Core standards they need help with in order to achieve proficiency above level 3. Likewise, students who performed slightly above proficiency but who are may be in danger of slipping below a level 3 next year will also be targeted for assistance in their needed areas. The same strategy will be used to move the high level 1's and low level 2's. Teachers will collaborate and plan for student success at monthly data meetings in order to facilitate this process.

MATHEMATICS

Goal 2: Mathematics

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School students will demonstrate mastery of New York State Learning Standards in Mathematics.

Background

The mathematics curriculum is a Core Based one, utilizing Sadlier instructional materials and text. The curriculum has been designed to be a hands-on one, with students using manipulatives, Smart Board technology and a Team Teaching approach. The two teachers this year responsible for delivering the curriculum to the students have had extensive PD in the planning, the uniformity and the delivery of the lessons to their classes. Each of these two teachers have also been supplied with a Co-Teacher, thus using a Team Teaching format for the entire year in order to address the needs of the students who may be at risk.

These structures were responsible for the excellent math testing outcomes that MVP achieved.

Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State mathematics examination for grades 3-8.

Method

The school administered the New York State Testing Program mathematics assessment to students in grade 6 in April 2014. Each student's raw score has been converted to a grade-specific scaled score and a performance level.

The table below summarizes participation information for this year's test administration. The table indicates total enrollment and total number of students tested. It also provides a detailed breakdown of those students excluded from the exam. Note that this table includes all students according to grade level, even if they have not enrolled in at least their second year.

**2013-14 State Mathematics Exam
Number of Students Tested and Not Tested**

Grade	Total Tested	Not Tested ⁸			Total Enrolled
		IEP	ELL	Absent	
3					
4					
5					
6	116			1	117
7					
8					
All	116			1	117

⁸ Students exempted from this exam according to their Individualized Education Program (IEP), because of English Language Learners (ELL) status, or absence for at least some part of the exam.

Results :

MVP Charter School, in its' first year of operation, has no test date prior to 2013-2014.
Therefore, we have no students in their second year to evaluate.

Performance on 2013-14 State Mathematics Exam By All Students and Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year

Grade s	All Students		Enrolled in at least their Second Year	
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
3				
4				
5				
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7				
8				
All				

Evaluation

Again, since there were no students this year in their second year, an evaluation of performance is not possible at this time.

Additional Evidence

There is no additional evidence this year to indicate a trend of year to year progress since MVP has no students who have attended more than one year.

Also, additional evidence may include other valid and reliable assessment results that demonstrate the effectiveness of the school's instructional program.

Mathematics Performance by Grade Level and School Year

Grade	Percent of Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year Achieving Proficiency					
	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
3						
4						
5						

6					n/a	n/a
7						
8						
All						

Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Level Index (PLI) on the State mathematics exam will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.

Method

The federal No Child Left Behind law holds schools accountable for making annual yearly progress towards enabling all students to be proficient. As a result, the state sets an AMO each year to determine if schools are making satisfactory progress toward the goal of proficiency in the state's learning standards in mathematics. To achieve this measure, all tested students must have a Performance Level Index (PLI) value that equals or exceeds the 2013-14 mathematics AMO of 86. The PLI is calculated by adding the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 2 through 4 with the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 3 and 4. Thus, the highest possible PLI is 200.⁹

Results

Mathematics 2013-14 Performance Level Index (PLI)

Number in Cohort	Percent of Students at Each Performance Level			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
116	.8 %	30.17 %	32.83%	36.20

$$\begin{array}{rclclclcl}
 \text{PI} & = & 30.1 & + & 32.8 & + & 36.2 & = & 99.20 \\
 & & 7 & & 3 & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & 32.8 & + & 36.2 & = & 69.03 \\
 & & & & 3 & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & & & \text{PLI} & = & 168.2 \\
 & & & & & & & & 3
 \end{array}$$

Evaluation

The school exceeded its AMO by more than 82 points. These results were likely due to the Co-Teaching Model that was used in the classroom and the special attention paid to those At-Risk and Special Education students who receive much small group and one-on-one instruction in these four classes of thirty students. In addition, much emphasis was placed on small group work and collaborative teaching, with close planning between the two math teacher planners.

⁹ In contrast to NYSED's Performance Index, the PLI does not account for year-to-year growth toward proficiency.

Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state mathematics exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.

Method

A school compares tested students enrolled in at least their second year to all tested students in the surrounding public school district. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which the school had tested students in at least their second year at the school and the total result for all students at the corresponding grades in the school district.¹⁰

Results

Because there were no students in at least their second year, no results are reportable.

No results are reportable because MVP Charter School had no students in at least their 2nd year.

**2013-14 State Mathematics Exam
Charter School and District Performance by Grade Level**

Grade	Percent of Students at Proficiency			
	Charter School Students In At Least 2 nd Year		All District Students	
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
3				
4				
5				
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7				
8				
All				

Evaluation

No comparison is possible since the school had no students in at least their second year.

Additional Evidence

No comparison is possible since the school had no students in at least their second year.

¹⁰ Schools can acquire these data when the New York State Education Department releases its Access database containing grade level ELA and math test results for all schools and districts statewide. The NYSED announces the release of the data on its [News Release webpage](#).

Also, additional evidence may include demographic differences between the school and the district as well as compelling reasons for comparing the school to a subset of schools within the district.

Mathematics Performance of Charter School and Local District by Grade Level and School Year

Grade	Percent of Students Enrolled in at Least their Second Year Who Are at Proficiency Compared to Local District Students					
	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
	Charter School	Local District	Charter School	Local District	Charter School	Local District
3						
4						
5						
6					N/A	N/A
7						
8						
All						

Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state mathematics exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for students eligible for economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State.¹¹

Method

The Charter Schools Institute conducts a Comparative Performance Analysis, which compares the school's performance to demographically similar public schools state-wide. The Institute uses a regression analysis to control for the percentage of economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State. The Institute compares the school's actual performance to the predicted performance of public schools with a similar economically disadvantaged percentage. The difference between the schools' actual and predicted performance, relative to other schools with similar economically disadvantaged statistics, produces an Effect Size. An Effect Size of 0.3 or performing higher than expected to a small degree is the requirement for achieving this measure.

¹¹ The Institute will continue using **economically disadvantaged** instead of **eligibility for free lunch** as the demographic variable in 2013-14. Schools should report previous year's results using reported free-lunch statistics.

Given the timing of the state's release of economically disadvantaged data and the demands of the data analysis, the 2013-14 analysis is not yet available. This report contains 2012-13 results, the most recent Comparative Performance Analysis available.

Results

No results are reportable this year because the school had no 2012 – 2013 results to compare with the present year.

2012-13 Mathematics Comparative Performance by Grade Level

Grade	Percent Economically Disadvantaged	Number Tested	Percent of Students at Levels 3&4		Difference between Actual and Predicted	Effect Size
			Actual	Predicted		
3						
4						
5						
6		N/A	N/A	N/A		
7						
8						
All						

School's Overall Comparative Performance:
N/A

Evaluation

No results reportable this year because the school had no 2012-2013 results to compare with the present year.

Additional Evidence

No evidence is available, since MVP did not exist or test in the years indicated.

Mathematics Comparative Performance by School Year

School Year	Grades	Percent Eligible for Free Lunch	Number Tested	Actual	Predicted	Effect Size
2010-11	N/A					
2011-12	N/A					
2012-13	N/A					

Goal 2: Growth Measure¹²

¹² See Guidelines for [Creating a SUNY Accountability Plan](#) for an explanation.

Each year, under the state's Growth Model, the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in mathematics for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be above the state's unadjusted median growth percentile.

Method

This measure examines the change in performance of the same group of students from one year to the next and the progress they are making in comparison to other students with the same score in the previous year. The analysis only includes students who took the state exam in 2012-13 and also have a state exam score in 2011-12 including students who were retained in the same grade. Students with the same 2011-12 scores are ranked by their 2012-13 scores and assigned a percentile based on their relative growth in performance (mean growth percentile). Students' growth percentiles are aggregated school-wide to yield a school's mean growth percentile. In order for a school to perform above the statewide median, it must have a mean growth percentile greater than 50.

Given the timing of the state's release of Growth Model data, the 2013-14 analysis is not yet available. This report contains 2012-13 results, the most recent Growth Model data available.¹³

The school has no 2012-2013 results to compare with this year's performance.

2012-13 Mathematics Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level

Grade	Mean Growth Percentile	
	School	Statewide Average
3		50.0
4		50.0
5		50.0
6	N/A	50.0
7		50.0
8		50.0
All	N/A	50.0

Evaluation

N/A due to lack of pre and 2012-2013 data for the school.

Additional Evidence

¹³ Schools can acquire these data from the NYSED's business portal: portal.nysed.gov.

N/A due to lack of pre and 2012-2013 data

Mathematics Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level and School Year

Grade	Mean Growth Percentile			
	2010-11 ¹⁴	2011-12 ¹⁴	2012-13	Statewide Average
3				50.0
4				50.0
5				50.0
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	50.0
7				50.0
8				50.0
All				50.0

Goal 2: Optional Measure

Include additional measures that are part of the Accountability Plan.

Method

Results

Evaluation

Summary of the Mathematics Goal

The school attained an AMO well in excess of the required 86 points, scoring an AMO 168.23. In addition, 69% of the students achieved proficiency levels of 3 or 4 in mathematics, well above the State level for testing year 2013-2014.

Type	Measure	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State mathematics exam for grades 3-8.	N/A
Absolute	Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Level Index (PLI) on the state mathematics exam will meet that year's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.	Achieved/
Comparative	Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state mathematics exam will be greater than that of students in the same tested grades in the local school district.	N/A
Comparative	Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state mathematics exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above	N/A

14 Grade level results not available.

	(performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State. (Using 2012-13 school district results.)	
Growth	Each year, under the state's Growth Model the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in mathematics for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be above the state's unadjusted median growth percentile.	N/A
	Write in optional measure here	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve

Action Plan

The school will analyze the mathematics test data to determine which students are “pushables”, that is, students who would likely be able to be targeted for extra needed support in meeting their proficiency requirement. These students will be encouraged to attend extra tutorials and will be given additional small group and one-on-one attention.

SCIENCE

Goal 3: Science

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School students will demonstrate mastery of New York State Learning Standards in Science.

Background

The school utilized the CPO Life Science Curriculum for its' first year, incorporating a lab weekly. The school also provided professional development training for two of the science teachers in order to begin Project Lead the Way, a new hands on science program to begin in year 2 with our grade 7 students in 2014-2015. The goal is to provide a hands-on experience for all the students and to ready them for the grade 8 Science Assessment in 2015-2016.

Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State science examination.

Method

The school administered the New York State Testing Program science assessment to students in 4th and 8th grade in spring 2014. The school converted each student's raw score to a performance level and a grade-specific scaled score. The criterion for success on this measure requires students enrolled in at least their second year (defined as enrolled by BEDS day of the previous school year) to score at proficiency.

Results

The school does not have students in the targeted grade 4 and grade 8 classes. Therefore, no results are forthcoming this year.

Charter School Performance on 2013-14 State Science Exam By All Students and Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year

Grade	Percent of Students at Proficiency			
	Charter School Students In At Least 2 nd Year		All District Students	
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
4	N/A			
8	N/A			

Evaluation

No evaluation is possible this year, since no students were tested.

Additional Evidence

No additional evidence is available at this time.

Also, additional evidence may include other valid and reliable assessment results that demonstrate the effectiveness of the science program.

Science Performance by Grade Level and School Year

Grade	Percent of Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year at Proficiency					
	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
4	N/A					
8	N/A					
All	N/A					

Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state science exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.

Method

The school compares tested students enrolled in at least their second year to all tested students in the surrounding public school district. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which the school had tested students in at least their second year and the results for the respective grades in the local school district.

Results

No results are forthcoming since we have no grades that tested this year and no students who have completed two or more years.

2013-14 State Science Exam Charter School and District Performance by Grade Level

Grade	Percent of Students at Proficiency			
	Charter School Students In At Least 2 nd Year		All District Students	
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
4				
8				

Evaluation

No results are forthcoming since we have no grades that tested this year.

Additional Evidence

Science Performance of Charter School and Local District by Grade Level and School Year

Grade	Percent of Charter School Students at Proficiency and Enrolled in At Least their Second Year Compared to Local District Students					
	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
	Charter School	Local District	Charter School	Local District	Charter School	Local District
4					N/A	
8					N/A	
All						

Goal 3: Optional Measure

N/A

Method

Results

Evaluation

Summary of the Science Goal

Present a narrative providing an overview of which measures the school achieved, as well as an overall discussion of its attainment of this Accountability Plan goal.

Type	Measure	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State examination.	N/A
Comparative	Each year, the percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.	N/A
	None	N/A

Action Plan

N/A

NCLB

Goal 4: NCLB

The School will meet all of the NCLB accountability measures and will remain in good standing.

Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Under the state's NCLB accountability system, the school's Accountability Status is in good standing: the state has not identified the school as a Focus School nor determined that it has met the criteria to be identified as a local-assistance-plan school.

Method

Since *all* students are expected to meet the state's learning standards, the federal No Child Left Behind legislation stipulates that various sub-populations and demographic categories of students among all tested students must meet state proficiency standards. New York, like all states, established a system for making these determinations for its public schools. Each year the state issues School Report Cards. The report cards indicate each school's status under the state's No Child Left Behind (NCLB) accountability system.

Results

The school is in its' first year and has not received a School Report Card yet. Therefore, the school is in "good standing"

Evaluation

N/A

Additional Evidence

N/A

NCLB Status by Year

Year	Status
2011-12	Good Standing/ Focus School/ Local-Assistance-Plan School
2012-13	Good Standing/ Focus School/ Local-Assistance-Plan School
2013-14	Good Standing/ Focus School/ Local-Assistance-Plan School

APPENDIX A: HIGH SCHOOL GOALS AND MEASURES

*

In keeping with College and Career Readiness Standards, the Institute has revised many of the high school measures.

These measures are in effect for any school that was renewed in 2013 or thereafter. (See the Institute's 2013 Guidelines.) Only high schools with Accountability Plans based on the Institute's 2013 Guidelines need report on the measures flagged below with the symbol "(§)" and reflecting college and career readiness standards. They may report on the other measures as optional measures.

The Institute encourages all high schools to report on the flagged (§) measures, as they represent the college and career readiness standards and will be the measures used in the high school's next Accountability Period.

#

#

#

Note: Add the following section following the School Enrollment section on page 4.

High School Cohorts

Accountability Cohort

The state's Accountability Cohort consists specifically of students who are in their fourth year of high school after the 9th grade. For example, the 2010 state Accountability Cohort consists of students who entered the 9th grade in the 2010-11 school year, were enrolled in the school on the state's annual enrollment-determination day (BEDS day) in the 2013-14 school year, and either remained in the school for the rest of the year or left for an acceptable reason. (See New York State Education Department's website for its accountability rules and cohort definitions:

<http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml>)

The following table indicates the number of students in the Accountability Cohorts who are in their fourth year of high school and were enrolled on BEDS Day in October and on June 30th.

Fourth-Year High School Accountability Cohorts

Fourth Year Cohort	Year Entered 9 th Grade Anywhere	Cohort Designation	Number of Students Enrolled on BEDS Day in October of the	Number Leaving During the	Number in Accountability Cohort as of
--------------------	---	--------------------	---	---------------------------	---------------------------------------

			Cohort's Fourth Year	School Year	June 30th
2011-12	2008-09	2008	??	??	??
2012-13	2009-10	2009	??	??	??
2013-14	2010-11	2010	??	??	??

Total Cohort for Graduation

Students are included in the Total Cohort for Graduation also based on the year they first enter the 9th grade. Prior to 2012-13, students who have enrolled at least five months in the school after entering the 9th grade are part of the Total Cohort for Graduation; as of 2011-12 (the 2008 cohort), students who have enrolled only one day in the school after entering the 9th grade are part of the school's Total Cohort for Graduation Cohort. If the school has discharged students for one of the following acceptable reasons, it may remove them from the graduation cohort: if they transfer to another public or private diploma-granting program with documentation, transfer to home schooling by a parent or guardian, transfer to another district or school, transfer by court order, leave the U.S. or die.

Fourth Year Total Cohort for Graduation

Fourth Year Cohort	Year Entered 9 th Grade Anywhere	Cohort Designation	Number of Students Enrolled on June 30 th of the Cohort's Fourth Year (a)	Additional Students Still in Cohort ¹⁵ (b)	Graduation Cohort (a) + (b)
2011-12	2008-09	2008	??	??	??
2012-13	2009-10	2009	??	??	??
2013-14	2010-11	2010	??	??	??

Fifth Year Total Cohort for Graduation

Fifth Year Cohort	Year Entered 9 th Grade Anywhere	Cohort Designation	Number of Students Enrolled on June 30 th of the Cohort's Fifth Year (a)	Additional Students Still in Cohort ¹⁶ (b)	Graduation Cohort (a) + (b)
2011-12	2007-08	2007	??	??	??
2012-13	2008-09	2008	??	??	??
2013-14	2009-10	2008	??	??	??

#

#

#

¹⁵ Number of students who had been enrolled for at least one day prior to leaving the school and who were not discharged for an acceptable reason.

¹⁶ Number of students who had been enrolled for at least one day prior to leaving the school and who were not discharged for an acceptable reason

Include the following section under the Accountability Plan English language arts goal.

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents English exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO 2012-13

(§) Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will meet the college and career ready standard (currently scoring 75 on the New York State Regents English exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED IN 2012-13 OR LATER

Method

The school administered the New York State Regents Comprehensive English exam that students must pass to graduate. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100. The State Education Department defines the following pass levels: scoring 65 to meet the graduation requirement for a Regents diploma / 75 to meet the college and career readiness standard.¹⁷ This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that passed the exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students have until the summer of their fourth year to do so.

Results

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly addresses the measure, i.e., the percent of students in the 2010 Cohort who have passed the exam with a comparison to previous years' performance.

Indicate 65 or 75 passing score.

English Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 /75

¹⁷ The statewide adaptation of new State Standards includes incorporating college and career readiness performance standards for the English language arts exam. The state has benchmarked student ELA test performance to the likely need for remedial course work when students enter college by comparing student 3-8 test results and Regents results to their post-secondary experience at SUNY and CUNY. Besides raising the cut scores for proficiency in the 3-8 testing program, the state has begun to set college and career readiness standards for passing Regents.

by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort¹⁸

Cohort Designation	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing with a score of 65 / 75
2008		
2009		
2010		

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards meeting the measure's target.

English Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 / 75 by Cohort and Year

Cohort Designation	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing
2010						
2011						
2012						
2013						

Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on the New York State 8th grade English language arts exam will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents English exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO 2012-13

(S) Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State 8th grade English language arts exam will meet the college and career ready standard (currently scoring 75 on the New York State Regents English exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED IN 2012-13 OR LATER

¹⁸ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam

Method

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its English language arts program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the English requirement for **graduation with a Regents diploma / the college and career readiness standard**.

Results

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly addresses the measure, i.e., the percent of students in the 2009 Cohort who have passed the exam with a comparison to previous years' performance.

Indicate 65 or 75 passing score

English Regents Passing Rate with a Score of **65 / 75** among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the 8th Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ¹⁹

Cohort Designation	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing with a score of 65 / 75
2007		
2008		
2009		

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards meeting the measure's target.

Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, the Accountability Performance Level (APL) on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.

SAME FOR ALL SCHOOLS

¹⁹ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam

Method

In receiving a waiver for its federal No Child Left Behind accountability system, the New York State Education Department now holds high schools accountable for making annual yearly progress towards meeting college and career readiness standards. See page 72 of SED's ESEA waiver application for the high school AMOs:

http://www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/documents/NYSESEAFlexibilityWaiver_REVIS ED.pdf

The AMO continues to be SED's basis for determining if schools are making satisfactory progress toward the annual goal. To achieve this measure, all tested students in the Accountability Cohort must have an Accountability Performance Level (APL) that equals or exceeds the 2013-14 English language arts AMO of **166**.

The APL is calculated by adding the sum of the percent of students in the Accountability Cohort at Levels 2 through 4 to the sum of the percent of students at Level 3 and 4. Thus, the highest possible APL is 200. The Regents exams are scored on a scale from 0 to 100; 0 to 64 is Level 1, 65 to 74 is Level 2, 75 to 89 is Level 3, and 90 to 100 is Level 4.

Results

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly address the measure.

English Language Arts Accountability Performance Level (APL) For the 2010 High School Accountability Cohort

Number in Cohort	Percent of Students at Each Performance Level			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
	?	?	?	?
PI = ? + ? + ? = ?				
APL = ?				

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure, by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure. This section can also be used to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as trends over time, or the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year. This is an opportunity to show the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

Goal 1: Comparative Measure

(§) Each year, students in the high school *Total Cohort* will exceed the predicted pass rate on the English language arts Regents exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all high schools in New York State.

Method

The Charter Schools Institute conducts a Comparative Performance Analysis, as it has for 3-8 schools. The Institute examines the school's performance in terms of demographically similar high schools state-wide by using a regression analysis to control for the percentage of economically disadvantaged students among all high schools in New York State. The Institute compares the School's actual performance to the predicted performance of high schools with a similar economically disadvantaged percentage. The difference between the schools' actual and predicted performance, relative to other high schools with similar economically disadvantaged statistics produces an Effect Size. An Effect Size of 0.3, or performing higher than expected to a small degree, is the target for achieving this measure.

Given the timing of the state's release of economically disadvantaged data and the demands of the data analysis, the 2013-14 analysis is not yet available.

Results

Leave Blank

Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort passing the Regents English exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Accountability Cohort from the local school district.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO 2012-13

(§) Each year, the Accountability Performance Level (APL) in Regents English of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed the APL of comparable students from the local school district.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED IN 2012-13 OR LATER

Method

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Accountability Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the local school district. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.²⁰

²⁰ The New York State Report Card provides the district results for students scoring at or above 65. The New York State Accountability Report provides the district results for students scoring at or above 75.

Results

Provide brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure.

Select the appropriate table depending on Accountability Plan.

English Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District²¹

Cohort	Charter School		School District ²¹	
	Percent Passing	Cohort Size	Percent Passing	Cohort Size
2008				
2009				
2010			N/A	N/A

OR

English Regents Accountability Performance Level (APL)²² of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District²³

Cohort	Charter School		School District ²⁴	
	APL	Cohort Size	APL	Cohort Size
2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2009				
2010			N/A	N/A

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance in specific cohorts and populations. This section can also be used to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as trends over time, or the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

²¹ District results for the 2009 cohort are not yet available.

²² For an explanation of the procedure to calculate the school's APL, see page 31.

²³ See page 30 above for an explanation of the APL.

²⁴ District results for the 2009 cohort are not yet available.

Goal 1: Growth Measure

(§) Each year, under the state's high school Growth Model (under development) the relative growth of selected students will exceed the state's median growth.

Method

This measure examines the change in performance of the same group of students during the course of their high school careers and the progress they are making in comparison to other students with the similar scores in the eighth grade. The analysis only includes students from whom the eighth grade scores are available. In following the existing 3-8 Growth Model, students with the same scores are ranked and assigned a percentile based on their relative growth in performance (mean growth percentile). Students' growth percentiles are aggregated school-wide to yield a school's mean growth percentile. In order for a school to perform above the statewide median, it will have a mean growth percentile greater than 50.

The State Education Department has not yet developed the high school Growth Model.

Results

Leave Blank

Goal 1: Optional Measure

Include additional measures that are part of the Accountability Plan.

Method**Results****Evaluation****Summary of the High School English Language Arts Goal**²⁵

Present a narrative providing an overview of which measures the school achieved, as well as an overall discussion of its attainment of this Accountability Plan goal.

Use the first summary if the Accountability Plan is prior to 2012-13; use the second if it is from 2012-13 or later.

²⁵ If the school includes a middle school component, add these measures to the subject area goal for the younger grades.

Type	Measure (Accountability Plan Prior to 2012-13)	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents English exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will did not score proficient on the New York State 8th grade English language arts exam will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents English exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Absolute	Each year, the Accountability Performance Level (APL) on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Comparative	Each year, the percent to students in the high school Accountability Cohort passing the Regents English exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Accountability Cohort from the local school district. (Using 2012-13 school district results.)	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve

Type	Measure (Accountability Plan from 2012-13 or later)	Outcome
Absolute	(§) Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will meet the college and career ready standard (currently scoring 75 on the New York State Regents English exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Absolute	(§) Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State 8th grade English language arts exam will meet the college and career ready standard (currently scoring 75 on the New York State Regents English exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Absolute	Each year, the Accountability Performance Level (APL) on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Comparative	(§) Each year, students in the high school Total Cohort will exceed the predicted pass rate on the Regents English exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all high schools in New York State.	N/A
Comparative	(§) Each year, the Accountability Performance Level (APL) in Regents English of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed the APL of comparable students from the local school district. (Using 2012-13 school district results.)	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Growth	(§) Each year, under the state's high school Growth Model (under development) the relative growth of selected students will exceed the state's median growth.	N/A

Action Plan

Narrative explaining what specific steps the school will take to improve or maintain academic performance based on the *specific results* and patterns associated with this goal, focusing in particular on strategic interventions including providing enhanced support or program revisions for explicit grades, cohorts, or student sub-populations based on the data presented.

#

#

#

Include the following section under the Accountability Plan mathematics goal.

#

#

#

MATHEMATICS

Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents mathematics exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO 2012-13

(S) Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will meet the college and career ready standard (currently scoring 80 on a New York State Regents math exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED IN 2012-13 OR LATER

Method

The school administered the New York State Regents Geometry, Integrated Algebra and Algebra 2 exams. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100. The State Education Department defines the following pass levels: **scoring 65 to meet the graduation requirement for a Regents diploma / scoring 80 to meet the college and career readiness standard.**²⁶ This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to achieve the requisite score on any one of the Regents mathematics exams by their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken a particular Regents mathematics exam multiple times or have taken multiple mathematics exams. Students have until the summer of their fourth year to pass a mathematics exam.

Results

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure, i.e., the percent of students in the 2010 Cohort who have passed the exam with a comparison to previous years' performance.

Indicate **65** or **80** passing score.

Mathematics Regents Passing Rate with a Score of **65 / 80** by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort²⁷

Cohort Designation	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing with a score of 65 / 80
2008		

²⁶ The statewide adaptation of the revised State Standards includes incorporating college and career readiness performance standards for the English language arts exam. The state has benchmarked student mathematics test performance to the likely need for remedial course work when students enter college by comparing student 3-8 test results and Regents results to their post-secondary experience at SUNY and CUNY. Besides raising the cut scores for proficiency in the 3-8 testing program, the state has begun to set college and career readiness standards for passing Regents.

²⁷ Based on the highest score for each student on the Mathematics Regents exam

2009		
2010		

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing passing rates on individual assessments, and additional analysis of the data such as performance of cohorts that have not yet completed their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards meeting the measure's target.

Mathematics Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 / 80 by Cohort and Year

Cohort Designation	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing
2010						
2011						
2012						
2013						

Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on the New York State 8th grade mathematics exam will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents mathematics exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO 2012-13

(§) Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State 8th grade math exam will meet the college and career ready standard (currently scoring 80 on a New York State Regents math exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED IN 2012-13 OR LATER

Method

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its mathematics program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the

mathematics requirement for graduation with a Regents diploma / the college and career readiness standard.

Results

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure; i.e., the percent of students in the 2009 Cohort who have passed the exam with a comparison to previous years' performance.

Indicate 65 or 80 passing score

Mathematics Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 / 80 among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the 8th Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ²⁸

Cohort Designation	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing with a score of <u>65</u> / <u>80</u>
2007		
2008		
2009		

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards meeting the measure's target.

Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, the Accountability Performance Level (APL) on a Regents mathematics exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.

SAME FOR ALL SCHOOLS

Method

In receiving a waiver for its federal No Child Left Behind accountability system, the State Education Department now law holds high schools accountable for making annual

²⁸ Based on the highest score for each student on the Mathematics Regents exam

yearly progress towards meeting college and career readiness standards. See page 72 of SED's ESEA waiver application for the high school AMOs:

http://www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/documents/NYSESEAFlexibilityWaiver_REVIS ED.pdf

The AMO continues to be SED's basis for determining if schools are making satisfactory progress toward the annual goal. To achieve this measure, all tested students in the Accountability Cohort must have an Accountability Performance Level (APL) that equals or exceeds 2013-14 mathematics AMO of **148**.

The APL is calculated by adding the sum of the percent of students in the Accountability Cohort at Levels 2 through 4 to the sum of the percent of students at Level 3 and 4. Thus, the highest possible APL is 200. The Regents exams are scored on a scale from 0 to 100; 0 to 64 is Level 1, 65 to 79 is Level 2, 80 to 89 is Level 3, and 90 to 100 is Level 4.

Results

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure.

Mathematics Accountability Performance Level (APL) For the 2010 High School Accountability Cohort

Number in Cohort	Percent of Students at Each Performance Level			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
	?	?	?	?
$PI = ? + ? + ? = ?$ $APL = ?$				

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure, by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure. This section can also be used to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as trends over time, or the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

Goal 2: Comparative Measure

(§) Each year, students in the high school *Total Cohort* will exceed the predicted pass rate on a Regents mathematics exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling

for economically disadvantaged students among all high schools in New York State.

Method

The Charter Schools Institute conducts a Comparative Performance Analysis, as it has for 3-8 schools. The Institute examines the school's performance in terms of demographically similar high schools state-wide by using a regression analysis to control for the percentage of economically disadvantaged students among all high schools in New York State. The Institute compares the school's actual performance to the predicted performance of high schools with a similar economically disadvantaged percentage. The difference between the schools' actual and predicted performance, relative to other high schools with similar economically disadvantaged statistics produces an Effect Size. An Effect Size of 0.3, or performing higher than expected to a small degree, is the target for achieving this measure.

Given the timing of the state's release of economically disadvantaged data and the demands of the data analysis, the 2013-14 analysis is not yet available.

Results

Leave Blank

Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent to students in the high school Accountability Cohort passing a Regents mathematics exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Accountability Cohort from the local school district.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO 2012-13

(§) Each year, the Accountability Performance Level (APL) in mathematics of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed the APL of comparable students from the local school district.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED IN 2012-13 OR LATER

Method

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Accountability Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the local school district. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.²⁹

Results

Provide brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure.

Select the appropriate table depending on Accountability Plan.

²⁹ The New York State Report Card provides the district results for students scoring at or above 65. The New York State Accountability Report provides the district results for students scoring at or above 75.

**Mathematics Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65
of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District**

Cohort	Charter School		School District ³⁰	
	Percent Passing	Cohort Size	Percent Passing	Cohort Size
2008				
2009				
2010			N/A	N/A

OR

**Mathematics Accountability Performance Level (APL)
of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District³¹**

Cohort	Charter School		School District ³²	
	APL	Cohort Size	APL	Cohort Size
2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2009				
2010			N/A	N/A

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance in specific cohorts and populations. This section can also be used to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as trends over time, or the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

Goal 2: Growth Measure

(§) Each year, under the state's high school Growth Model (under development) the relative growth of selected students will exceed the state's median growth.

Method

³⁰ District results for the 2009 cohort are not yet available.

³¹ See page 38 above for an explanation of the APL.

³² District results for the 2009 cohort are not yet available.

This measure examines the change in performance of the same group of students during the course of their high school careers and the progress they are making in comparison to other students with the similar scores in the eighth grade. The analysis only includes students from whom the eighth grade scores are available. In following the existing 3-8 Growth Model, students with the same scores are ranked and assigned a percentile based on their relative growth in performance (mean growth percentile). Students' growth percentiles are aggregated school-wide to yield a school's mean growth percentile. In order for a school to perform above the statewide median, it will have a mean growth percentile greater than 50.

The State Education Department has not yet developed the high school Growth Model.

Results

Leave Blank

Goal 1: Optional Measure	
Include additional measures that are part of the Accountability Plan.	
Method	
Results	
Evaluation	

Summary of the High School Mathematics Goal ³³

Present a narrative providing an overview of which measures the school achieved, as well as an overall discussion of its attainment of this Accountability Plan goal.

Use the first summary if the Accountability Plan is prior to 2012-13; use the second if it is from 2012-13 or later.

Type	Measure (Accountability Plan Prior to 2012-13)	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents mathematics exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will did not score proficient on the New York State 8th grade mathematics exam will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents mathematics exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Absolute	Each year, the Accountability Performance Level (APL) on the	Achieved/

³³ If the school includes a middle school component, add these measures to the subject area goal for the younger grades.

	Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.	Did Not Achieve
Comparative	Each year, the percent to students in the high school Accountability Cohort passing a New York State Regents mathematics exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Accountability Cohort from the local school district. (Using 2012-13 school district results.)	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve

Type	Measure (Accountability Plan from 2012-13 or later)	Outcome
Absolute	(§) Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will meet the college and career ready standard (currently scoring 80 on a New York State Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Absolute	(§) Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State 8th grade mathematics exam will meet the college and career ready standard (currently scoring 80 on a New York State Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Absolute	Each year, the Accountability Performance Level (APL) on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Comparative	(§) Each year, students in the high school Total Cohort will exceed the predicted pass rate on a New York State Regents mathematics exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all high schools in New York State.	N/A
Comparative	(§) Each year, the Accountability Performance Level (APL) on a New York State Regents mathematics exam of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed the APL of comparable students from the local school district. (Using 2012-13 school district results.)	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Growth	(§) Each year, under the state's high school Growth Model (under development) the relative growth of selected students will exceed the state's median growth.	N/A

Action Plan

Narrative explaining what specific steps the school will take to improve or maintain academic performance based on the *specific results* and patterns associated with this goal, focusing in particular on strategic interventions including providing enhanced support or program revisions for explicit grades, cohorts, or student sub-populations based on the data presented.

#

#

#

Include the following section under the Accountability Plan science goal.

SCIENCE

Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents science exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

Method

New York State administers multiple high school science assessments; current Regent exams are Living Environment, Earth Science, Chemistry and Physics. The school administered **Living Environment, Earth Science, Chemistry and Physics**. It scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100; students must score at least 65 to pass. This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass any one of the Regents science exams by their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken a particular Regents science exam multiple times or have taken multiple science exams. Students have until the summer of their fourth year to pass a science exam.

Results

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure; i.e., the percent of students in the 2010 Cohort who have passed the exam with a comparison to previous years' performance.

**Science Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65
by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort³⁴**

Cohort Designation	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing with a score of 65
2008		
2009		
2010		

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing passing rates on individual assessments, and additional analysis of the data such as performance of cohorts that have not yet completed their

³⁴ Based on the highest score for each student on a science Regents exam

fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards meeting the measure's target.

Science Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

Cohort Designation	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing
2010						
2011						
2012						
2013						

Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent to students in the high school Total Cohort passing a Regents science exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the local school district.

Method

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school high school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the local school district. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available district results.

Results

Provide brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure.

Science Regents Passing Rate of the High School Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

Cohort	Charter School		School District	
	Percent Passing	Cohort Size	Percent Passing	Cohort Size
2008				
2009				
2010				

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance in specific cohorts and populations. This section can also be used to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as trends over time, or the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth, showing year the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

Include the following section as a separate Accountability Plan subject area goal following the science section.

#

#

#

SOCIAL STUDIES

Goal 4: Social Studies

Write the school's Accountability Plan social studies goal here.

Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents U.S. History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

Method

New York State administers two high school social studies assessments: U.S. History and Global History. In order to graduate, students must pass both of these Regents exams with a score of 65 or higher. This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass the two exams by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken the exams multiple times and have until the summer of their fourth year to pass it. Once students pass it, performance on subsequent administrations of the same exam do not affect their status as passing.

Results

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure; i.e., the percent of students in the 2010 Cohort who have passed the exam with a comparison to previous years' performance.

U.S. History Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort³⁵

Cohort Designation	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing with a score of 65
2008		
2009		
2010		

Evaluation

³⁵ Based on the highest score for each student on a science Regents exam

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance in specific grades and populations. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the state data such as performance of cohorts that have not yet completed their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

U.S. History Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

Cohort Designation	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing
2010						
2011						
2012						
2013						

Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent to students in the high school Total Cohort passing the Regents U.S. History exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the local school district.

Method

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school high school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the local school district. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, school presents the most recently available district results.

Results

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure.

U.S. History Passing Rate of the High School Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

Cohort	Charter School		School District	
	Percent Passing	Cohort Size	Percent Passing	Cohort Size
2008				
2009				
2010				

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance in specific cohorts and populations. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as trends over time, or the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents Global History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

Method

This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass the Global History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken the exam multiple times, and had until the summer of their fourth year to pass it. Once students pass it, performance on subsequent administrations of the same exam do not affect their status as passing.

Results

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure; i.e., the percent of students in the 2010 Cohort who have passed the exam with a comparison to previous years' performance.

**Global History Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65
by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort³⁶**

Cohort Designation	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing with a score of 65
2008		
2009		
2010		

Evaluation

³⁶ Based on the highest score for each student on a science Regents exam

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance in specific grades and populations. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the state data such as performance of cohorts that have not yet completed their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

Global History Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

Cohort Designation	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing
2010						
2011						
2012						
2013						

Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort passing the Regents Global History exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the local school district.

Method

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school high school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the local school district. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available district results.

Results

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure.

Global History Passing Rate of the High School Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

Cohort	Charter School		School District	
	Percent Passing	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing	Number in Cohort
2008				

2009				
2010				

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance in specific cohorts and populations. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as trends over time, or the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

#

#

#

Include the following section as a separate Accountability Plan goal following the NCLB goal.

#

#

#

HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION

GOAL 6: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION

Write the school's graduation goal here.

Goal 6: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in each cohort will pass their core academic subjects by the end of August and the school will promote them to the next grade.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO 2012-13

(§) Each year, 75 percent of students in first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn at least ten credits (if 44 needed for graduation) or five credits (if 22 needed for graduation) each year.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED IN 2012-13 OR LATER

Method

This measure serves as a leading indicator of the performance of high school cohorts and examines their progress toward graduation based on annual credit accumulation. The measure requires that, based on the school's promotion requirements, the school will promote 75 percent of its students in each cohort to the next grade by the end of August OR that 75 percent of the first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn the requisite number of credits.

Present the school's promotion requirements here; include a list of all core academic subjects and other relevant information, ensuring that the school's requirements are consistent with the State Commissioner's Part 100.5 Diploma Requirements.

Results

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly address the measure.

Select the appropriate table depending on Accountability Plan.

Percent of Students Promoted by Cohort in 2013-14

Cohort Designation	Number in Cohort	Percent promoted
2010		
2011		

2012		
2013		

OR

Percent of Students in First and Second Year Cohorts Earning the Required Number of Credits in 2013-14

Cohort Designation	Number in Cohort	Percent promoted
2012		
2013		

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing results from previous years and analysis of trends over time, performance disaggregated by student characteristics, etc. This is an opportunity to show the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

Goal 6: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the second year high school Total Graduation Cohort will score 65 on at least three different New York State Regents exams required for graduation.

Method

This measure serves as a leading indicator of the performance of high school cohorts and examines their progress towards graduation based on Regents exam passage. The measure requires that 75 percent of students in each cohort have passed at least three Regents exams by their second year in the cohort. In August of 2014, the 2012 cohort will have completed its second year.

Results

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure.

Percent of Students in their Second Year Passing Three Regents Exams by Cohort

Cohort Designation	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing Three

		Regents
2010		
2011		
2012		

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Present a narrative discussing additional analysis of data such as trends over time, performance disaggregated by student characteristics, etc. This is an opportunity to show the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

Goal 6: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the fourth year high school Total Graduation Cohort and 95 percent of students in the fifth year high school Total Graduation Cohort will graduate.

Method

This measure examines students in two high school Graduation Cohorts: those who entered the 9th grade as members of the 2010 cohort and graduated four years later and those who entered as members of the 2009 cohort and graduated five years later. At a minimum, these students have passed five Regents exams in English language arts, mathematics, science, U.S. History and Global History. Students have through the summer at the end of their fourth year to complete graduation requirements.

The school's graduation requirements appear above under the graduation goal's first measure pertaining to annual grade-by-grade promotion or credit accumulation.

Results

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly address the measure.

Percent of Students in the Graduation Cohort who have Graduated After Four Years

Cohort Designation	Number in Cohort	Percent Graduating
2008		
2009		

2010		
------	--	--

Percent of Students in Graduation Cohort Who Have Graduated After Five Years

Cohort Designation	Number in Cohort	Percent Graduating
2007		
2008		
2009		

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of data such as trends over time, performance disaggregated by student characteristics, etc. This is an opportunity to show the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

Goal 6: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Graduation Cohort graduating after the completion of their fourth year will exceed that of the Total Graduation Cohort from the local school district.

Method

The school compares the graduation rate of students completing their fourth year in the charter school's Total Graduation Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the local school district³⁷. Given that students may take Regents exams through the summer of their fourth year, district results for the current year are generally not available at this time.

Results

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly address the measure.

Percent of Students in the Total Graduation Cohort who Graduate in Four Years Compared to Local District

Cohort Designation	Charter School		School District ³⁸	
	Number in	Percent	Number in	Percent

37 Schools can retrieve district level graduation rates from the SED's Information and Reporting Services office. News releases and an Excel workbook containing these data are available from the [IRS Data Release webpage](#).

38 District results for the 2009 cohort are not yet available.

	Cohort	Graduating	Cohort	Graduating
2008				
2009				
2010				N/A

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide a narrative discussing additional analysis of data such as trends over time, performance disaggregated by student characteristics, etc. This is an opportunity to show the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

Summary of the High School Graduation Goal

Present a narrative providing an overview of which measures the school achieved, as well as an overall discussion of its attainment of this Accountability Plan goal.

Type	Measure	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Graduation Cohort will pass their core academic subjects by the end of August and be promoted to the next grade. Required for Accountability Plans developed prior to 2012-13	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve/
	(§) Each year, 75 percent of students in first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn at least ten credits (if 44 needed for graduation) or five credits (if 22 needed for graduation) each year. Required for Accountability Plans developed in 2012-13 or later	
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Graduation Cohort will score at least 65 on at least three different New York State Regents exams required for graduation by the completion of their second year in the cohort.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve/
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of students in the fourth year high school Total Graduation Cohort and 95 percent of students in the fifth year high school Total Graduation Cohort will graduate.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve/ Not Applicable
Comparative	Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Graduation Cohort graduating after the completion of their fourth year will exceed that of the Total Graduation Cohort from the local school district.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve/ Not Applicable

Action Plan

Narrative explaining what specific steps the school will take to improve or maintain

academic performance based on the specific results and patterns associated with this goal, focusing in particular on strategic interventions including providing enhanced support or program revisions for explicit grades, cohorts, or student sub-populations based on the data presented.

#

#

#

Include the following section as a separate Accountability Plan goal following the NCLB goal.

COLLEGE PREPARATION

GOAL 7: COLLEGE PREPARATION

Write the school's college preparation goal here.

Goal 7: Comparative Measure

Each year, the average performance of students in the 10th grade will exceed the state average on the PSAT test in Critical Reading and Mathematics.

Method

This measure tracks student performance one of the most commonly used early high school college prep assessment. Students receive a scale score in critical reading, writing and mathematics. Scale scores range from 200 to 800 on each subsection with 1600 as the highest possible score. As students may choose to take the test multiple times, the school reports only on a student's highest score on each subsection. Compare school averages to the New York State average for all 10th grade (sophomore) test takers in the given year.

Results

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly address the measure.

10th Grade PSAT Performance by School Year

School Year	Number of Students in the 10 th Grade	Number of Students Tested	Critical Reading		Mathematics	
			School	New York State	School	New York State
2011-12						
2012-13						
2013-14						

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also,

use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide a narrative discussing additional analysis of data such as trends over time, performance disaggregated by student characteristics, etc. This is an opportunity to show the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

Goal 7: Comparative Measure

Each year, the average performance of students in the 12th grade will exceed the state average on the SAT or ACT tests in reading and mathematics.

Method

This measure tracks student performance on one of the most commonly used high school college prep assessments.

For the SAT include this description: The SAT is a national college admissions examination. Students receive a scale score in reading, writing and mathematics. Scale scores range from 200 to 800 on each subsection with 2400 as the highest possible score. As students may choose to take the test multiple times during the year, the school only reports a student's highest score. The school compares its averages the New York State average for all 12th grade (senior) test takers in the given year.

For the ACT include this description: The ACT is a national college admissions and placement examination. Students receive scaled scores in reading, mathematics, English and science. Scaled scores range from 1 to 36 on each section; the school averages the three separate scores to calculate a student's composite score. As students may choose to take the test multiple times during the year, the school reports on only a student's highest scaled score for each section. The school compares its average to the New York State average for all 12th grade (senior) test takers in the given year.

Results

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly address the measure.

12th Grade SAT/ACT Performance by School Year

School Year	Number of Students in the 12 th Grade	Number of Students Tested	Reading		Mathematics	
			School	New York State	School	New York State
2011-12						
2012-13						

2013-14						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide a narrative discussing additional analysis of data such as trends over time, performance disaggregated by student characteristics, etc. This is an opportunity to show the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

Goal 7: School Created College Preparation Measure

Each Year, the school will demonstrate the preparation of its students for college through at least one measure of its own design. Insert school-developed measure.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO 2012-13
(See below for measures in more recent Plans.)

Method

Provide a brief description of the measure.

Results

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in a data table that directly address the measure.

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

(§) The percent of graduating students that meets the state's aspirational performance measure (APM), currently defined as the percentage of students in a cohort who graduate with a score of 80 or better on a math Regents exam AND 75 or better on the English Regents exam, will exceed the statewide average.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED IN 2012-13 OR LATER

Method

Recognizing that remediation rates in New York's colleges are far too high, the Board of Regents has reviewed data showing the gap between high school expectations and college attainment. They reviewed data comparing the graduation rate for the 2005 cohort with the "college and career ready" graduation rate – defined as the percentage of students in the cohort who graduated with a score 80 or better on a math Regents exam and 75 or better on the English Regents exam. The Regents view these data as an important indicator of future student success. Students who graduate high school – but do so with a score below 80 on a math Regents exam and below 75 on the English exam – are likely to require remediation in college.

Results

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure.

Percent of Graduates Meeting the Aspirational Performance Measure³⁹

Cohor	Charter School	Statewide ⁴⁰
2008		35.3
2009		35.3
2010		N/A

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

(S) The percent of graduating students who graduate with a Regents diploma with Advanced Designation will exceed the local district.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED IN 2012-13 OR LATER

Method

In establishing measures to be used by schools, districts and parents to better inform them of the progress of their students, the Regents have also set as an additional aspirational measure of achievement the percent of graduating students who earned a Regents diploma with Advanced Designation (i.e., earned 22 units of course credit;

³⁹ Schools can retrieve state level graduation rates from the SED's Information and Reporting Services office. News releases and an Excel workbook containing these data are available from the [IRS Data Release webpage](#).

⁴⁰ Statewide results for the 2010 cohort are not yet available.

passed seven-to-nine Regents exams with a score of 65 or above; and took advanced course sequences in Career and Technical Education, the arts, or a language other than English).

Results

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly address the measure.

Percent of Graduates with a Regents Diploma with Advanced Designation⁴¹

Cohor T	Charter School	School District ⁴²
2007		
2008		
2009		N/A

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

(§) Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will demonstrate their preparation for college by passing an Advanced Placement (AP) exam, a College Level Examination Program (CLEP) exam or a college level course.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED IN 2012-13 OR LATER

Method

Discuss the achievement indicators used to demonstrate college preparation.

Results

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly address the measure.

Graduates Passing a Course Demonstrating College Preparation

Cohor T	Number of Graduates	Percent Passing the Equivalent OF a College Level Course ⁴³

⁴¹ Schools can retrieve information about diplomas conferred from the SED's Information and Reporting Services office. News releases and an Excel workbook containing these data are available from the [IRS Data Release webpage](#).

⁴² District results for the 2009 cohort are not yet available.

2007		
2008		
2009		

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Goal 7: School Created College Attendance or Achievement Measure

Each Year, the school will demonstrate college attendance or achievement through at least one measure of its own design. Insert school-developed measure.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO 2012-13

(§) Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate in a college or university in the year after graduation.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED IN 2012-13 OR LATER

Method

Provide a brief description of the measure.

Results

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in a data table that directly address the measure.

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance. Also, use this section to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Summary of the College Preparation Goal

Present a narrative providing an overview of which measures the school achieved, as well as an overall discussion of its attainment of this Accountability Plan goal.

Use the first summary if the Accountability Plan is prior to 2012-13; use the second if it is from 2012-13 or later.

43 Advanced Placement (AP) exam, a College Level Examination Program (CLEP) exam, or a college level course

Type	Measure (Accountability Plan Prior to 2012-13)	Outcome
Comparative	Each year, the average performance of students in the 10 th grade will exceed the state average on the PSAT test in Critical Reading and Mathematics.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve/ Not Applicable
Comparative	Each year, the average performance of students in the 12 th grade will exceed the state average on the SAT or ACT tests in reading and mathematics.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve/ Not Applicable
College Preparation	Each Year, the school will demonstrate the preparation of its students for college through at least one measure of its own design.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve/ Not Applicable
College Attainment	Each Year, the school will demonstrate college attendance or achievement through at least one measure of its own design.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve/ Not Applicable
	Write in optional measure here	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve

Type	Measure (Accountability Plan from 2012-13 or later)	Outcome
Comparative	Each year, the average performance of students in the 10 th grade will exceed the state average on the PSAT test in Critical Reading and Mathematics.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve/ Not Applicable
Comparative	Each year, the average performance of students in the 12 th grade will exceed the state average on the SAT or ACT tests in reading and mathematics.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve/ Not Applicable
	(S) The percent of graduating students that meets the state's aspirational performance measure (APM), currently defined as the percentage of students in a cohort who graduate with a score of 80 or better on a math Regents exam AND 75 or better on the English Regents exam, will exceed the statewide average.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve/ Not Applicable
	(S) Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will demonstrate their preparation for college by passing an Advanced Placement (AP) exam, a College Level Examination Program (CLEP) exam or a college level course.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve/ Not Applicable
	(S) Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate in a college or university in the year after graduation.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve/ Not Applicable
	(S) Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate in a college or university in the year after graduation.	
	Write in optional measure here	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve

Action Plan

Provide a narrative explaining what specific steps the school will take to improve or maintain academic performance based on the specific results and patterns associated with this goal, focusing in particular on strategic interventions including providing enhanced support or program revisions for explicit grades, cohorts, or student sub-populations based on the data presented.

APPENDIX B: OPTIONAL GOALS

The following section contains a Parent Satisfaction optional goal, as well as examples of possible optional measures.

Goal S: Parent Satisfaction

Write the school's goal here.

Goal S: Absolute Measure

Each year two-thirds of parents will demonstrate satisfaction with the school's program based on a parent satisfaction survey.

Method

Provide a narrative explaining how the school developed, administered, collected and analyzed the survey. The school presents results as a percentage of all families in the school, not as a percentage of respondents only.

Results

Provide a narrative of parents' responses.

2013-14 Parent Satisfaction Survey Response Rate

Number of Responses	Number of Families	Response Rate
##	##	%

2013-14 Parent Satisfaction on Key Survey Results

Item	Percent of Respondents Satisfied
	%
	%
	%
	%
	%

Evaluation

Provide a narrative explicitly stating whether or not the school met the measure with a discussion of individual items, changes from previous years, areas of concern, etc.

Goal S: Absolute Measure

Each year, 90 percent of all students enrolled during the course of the year return the following September.

Method

Provide a narrative explaining how students are tracked year to year

Results

Present a narrative describing number of students in various categories and the retention rate.

2013-14 Student Retention Rate

2012-13 Enrollment	Number of Students Who Graduated in 2012-13	Number of Students Who Returned in 2013- 14	Retention Rate 2013-14 Re-enrollment ÷ (2012-13 Enrollment – Graduates)
#	#	#	%

Evaluation

Provide a narrative explicitly stating whether or not the school met the measure and how close the retention rate was to the target.

Additional Evidence

Year	Retention Rate
2011-12	%
2012-13	%
2013-14	%

Goal S: Absolute Measure

Each year the school will have a daily attendance rate of at least 95 percent.

Method

Provide a narrative explaining how the school tracks student attendance and calculates its daily attendance rate.

Results

Provide a narrative describing the year's attendance rate.

2013-14 Attendance

Grade	Average Daily Attendance Rate
1	%
2	%
3	%
4	%
5	%
6	%
7	%
8	%
Overall	%

Evaluation

Provide a narrative explicitly stating whether or not the school met the measure and how close the attendance rate was to the target.

Additional Evidence

Year	Average Daily Attendance Rate
2011-12	%
2012-13	%
2013-14	%

APPENDIX C: SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

The school may wish to use the following supplemental tables in the **Additional Evidence** sections. They are organized by subject and measure. Table titles need to be adapted to reflect the appropriate subject area, i.e. English language arts, mathematics, etc.

ELEMENTARY AND MIDDLE SCHOOLS: ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS AND MATHEMATICS

Absolute Measure

In 2013-14, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State examination.

This table examines whether performance changes the longer students are enrolled in the school. In a successful school, student performance should increase with prolonged participation in the academic program.

2013-14 English Language Arts Performance by Grade Level and Years Attending the School

Grade	Percent of Students at Proficiency According to Number of Years Enrolled							
	One		Two		Three		Four or More	
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
All								

Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.

While schools are required to compare themselves to the local school district, there may be individual schools that also provide a compelling comparison. These comparisons might be schools in the same neighborhood, with the same demographics, or have similar programs. The first table features a grade level breakdown for 2013-14; the other presents annual aggregate results over time.

**2013-14 English Language Arts Performance of
Charter School and Comparison Schools by Grade Level**

Grade	Percent of Charter School Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year and All Students in Comparison Schools Scoring Proficient on the State Exam by Grade							
	Charter School		School 1		School 2		School 3	
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
All								

**English Language Arts Performance of
School and Comparison Schools by School Year**

School Year	Grade s	Percent of Charter School Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year and All Students in Comparison Schools Scoring Proficient on State Exam by Year							
		Charter School		School 1		School 2		School 3	
		Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
2011-12									
2012-13									
2013-14									

Growth Measure (national norm-referenced assessment)

Each year, on a national norm-referenced assessment, all grade-level cohorts of students (in grades K-3) will reduce by one half the gap between their average NCE in the previous year and an NCE of 50 in the current year. If a grade-level cohort exceeds an NCE of 50 in the previous year, the cohort is expected to show a positive gain in the current year.

If the school has administered a norm referenced test, e.g. Terra Nova, ITBS, Stanford 10, it should report cohort growth results in a similar fashion to the growth measure based on state tests.

Method

This measure examines the change in performance of the same group of students from one year to the next and the progress they made towards the desirable outcome of grade level or an NCE of 50. Each grade level cohort consists of those students who took the same norm-referenced exam in 2012-13 and 2013-14. It includes students

who repeated the grade. In addition, the school examines the aggregate of all cohorts to determine the growth of all students who took the exam in both years.

Include a brief narrative that describes the type of test administered, to which grades, the date of administrations, etc.

Results

Cohort Growth on XXX Test from Spring 2013 to Spring 2014

Grade	Cohort Size	Percent Performing At or Above NCE of 50			Target Achieved
		2012-13	Target	2013-14	
A					YES/NO
B					YES/NO
C					YES/NO
All					YES/NO

Evaluation

Provide a narrative explicitly stating whether or not the school met the measure; i.e., whether all of the cohorts achieved their targets. In addition, the evaluation may include how close each cohort came to its target, which cohorts' performance increased or decreased, and the overall performance of all cohorts.

Additional Evidence

Present a narrative providing an analysis of year-to-year cohort performance in previous years.

Cohort Performance on the Norm Referenced Reading Test by School Year

School Year	Cohort met target?
2011-12	
2012-13	
2013-14	

Cohort Performance on XXX Test by School Year

School Year	Cohort Grades	Number of Cohorts Meeting Target	Number of Cohorts
2010-11	??		
2011-12	??		
2012-13	??		
2013-14	??		

ELEMENTARY AND MIDDLE SCHOOLS: SCIENCE

2013-14 Science Performance by Grade Level and Years Attending the School

	Percent of Students at Levels 3 and 4 According to Number of Years in School							
	One		Two		Three		Four or More	
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
4								
8								

HIGH SCHOOLS: ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS AND MATHEMATICS

Growth Measure

Each year, the group of students in their second year in the school who have taken a norm-referenced reading test for two years will reduce by one-half the difference between the average of their first year in the school and an NCE of 50. If the cohort already achieved an average NCE of 50 in the first year, it will show an increase in their average NCE.

Method

This measure examines the change in performance of the same cohort of students from their first year to their second year in high school on a norm referenced reading test. Each cohort consists of those students who have norm-referenced reading test results for their first two years in the school. It includes students who repeated the grade. The criterion for achieving this measure is for the cohort to reduce by half the difference between average NCE in the first year and the 50th NCE in the second. If a cohort has already achieved an average NCE of 50, it is expected to show some positive growth in the subsequent year.

Include a brief narrative that describes the type of test administered, to which grades, the dates of administration, etc.

Results

Provide a brief narrative highlighting results in the data tables that directly address the measure, e.g. the number of cohorts that achieved their target, and overall performance.

First to Second Year Cohort Growth on the Norm Referenced Reading Test

Cohort Designation	Number in Cohort	Average NCE			Target Achieved
		First Year Baseline	Second Year Target	Second Year Result	
2009					YES/NO
2010					YES/NO
2011					YES/NO
2012					YES/NO

Evaluation

Provide narrative explicitly stating whether or not the school met the measure; i.e. whether the cohort achieved its target. In addition, the evaluation may include how close the cohort came to its target.

Additional Evidence

Narrative provides an analysis of year-to-year cohort performance including the previous year.

HIGH SCHOOL: SUBJECT AREA MEASURES

Cohort Passing Rate by Regents Mathematics Exam

Exam	Cohort			
	2007	2008	2009	2010
Math A				
Math B				
Integrated Algebra				
Geometry				
Algebra 2				

Cohort Passing Rate by Regents Science Exam

Exam	Cohort			
	2007	2008	2009	2010
Living Environment				
Earth Science				
Chemistry				
Physics				

Appendix B: Total Expenditures and Administrative Expenditures per Child

Created Thursday, June 19, 2014

Updated Wednesday, July 30, 2014

Page 1

Charter School Name: 342400861048 MIDDLE VILLAGE PREP CS

B. Financial Information

This information is required of ALL charter schools. Provide the following measures of fiscal performance of the charter school in Appendix B (Total Expenditures and Administrative Expenditures Per Child):

1. Total Expenditures Per Child

To calculate 'Total Expenditures per Child' take total expenditures (from the unaudited 2013-14 Schedule of Functional Expenses) and divide by the count of students you reported on of BEDS Day. (Integers Only. No dollar signs or commas).

1. Total Expenditures Per Child Line 1: Total Expenditures	2003048
1. Total Expenditures Per Child Line 2: BEDS Day Pupil Count	121
1. Total Expenditures Per Child Line 3: Divide Line 1 by Line 2	16554

2. Administrative Expenditures per Child

To calculate 'Administrative Expenditures per Child' take the relevant portion from the 'personnel services cost' row and the 'management and general' column (from the unaudited 2013-14 Schedule of Functional Expenses) and divide by the BEDS per pupil count. The relevant portion that must be included in this calculation is defined as follows:

Administrative Expenditures: Administration and management of the charter school includes the activities and personnel of the offices of the chief school officers, the treasurer, the finance or business offices, the purchasing unit, the employee personnel offices, the records management offices, or a public information and services offices. It also includes those administrative and management services provided by other organizations or corporations on behalf of the charter school for which the charter school pays a fee or other compensation.

Please note the following:

Do not include the FTE of personnel dedicated to administration of the instructional programs.

Do not include Employee Benefit costs or expenditures in the above calculations.

A template for the Schedule of Functional Expenses is provided on page 21 of the 2012 Annual Report Guidelines to assist schools identify the categories of expenses needed to compute the two per pupil calculations. This template does not need to be completed or submitted on August 1st as it will be submitted November 1st as part of the audited financial statements. Therefore schools should use unaudited amounts for these per pupil calculations. (See the 2013-14 Annual Report Guidelines in "Resources" area of your portal task page).

To calculate 'Administrative Expenditures per Child' take the relevant portion from the 'personnel services cost' row and the 'management and general' column (from the 2013-14 Schedule of Functional Expenses) and divide by the count of students as of BEDS Day. (Integers Only. No dollar signs or commas).

To calculate 'Administrative Expenditures per Child' take the relevant portion from the 'personnel services cost' row and the 'management and general' column (from the 2013-14 Schedule of Functional Expenses) and divide by the count of students as of BEDS Day. (Integers Only. No dollar signs or commas). Line 1: Relevant Personnel Services Cost (Row)	42141
To calculate 'Administrative Expenditures per Child' take the relevant portion from the 'personnel services cost' row and the 'management and general' column (from the 2013-14 Schedule of Functional Expenses) and divide by the count of students as of BEDS Day. (Integers Only. No dollar signs or commas). Line 2: Management and General Cost (Column)	703703
To calculate 'Administrative Expenditures per Child' take the relevant portion from the 'personnel services cost' row and the 'management and general' column (from the 2013-14 Schedule of Functional Expenses) and divide by the count of students as of BEDS Day. (Integers Only. No dollar signs or commas). Line 3: Sum of Line 1 and Line 2	745844
To calculate 'Administrative Expenditures per Child' take the relevant portion from the 'personnel services cost' row and the 'management and general' column (from the 2013-14 Schedule of Functional Expenses) and divide by the count of students as of BEDS Day. (Integers Only. No dollar signs or commas). Line 4: BEDS Day Pupil Count	121
To calculate 'Administrative Expenditures per Child' take the relevant portion from the 'personnel services cost' row and the 'management and general' column (from the 2013-14 Schedule of Functional Expenses) and divide by the count of students as of BEDS Day. (Integers Only. No dollar signs or commas). Line 5: Divide Line 3 by the BEDS Day Pupil Count	6164

Thank you.

Charter Funding By NYS School District Code

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
1	10100	ALBANY	11,712	14,072	14,072	14,072	14,072
2	10201	BERNE KNOX	10,814	13,371	13,371	13,371	13,371
3	10306	BETHLEHEM	12,653	12,513	12,513	12,513	12,513
4	10402	RAVENA COEYMAN	11,936	13,289	13,289	13,289	13,289
5	10500	COHOES	11,070	11,791	11,791	11,791	11,791
6	10601	SOUTH COLONIE	11,422	12,137	12,137	12,137	12,137
7	10615	MENANDS	16,582	15,870	15,870	15,870	15,870
8	10623	NORTH COLONIE	10,541	10,708	10,708	10,708	10,708
9	10701	GREEN ISLAND	10,997	12,662	12,662	12,662	12,662
10	10802	GUILDERLAND	10,712	11,354	11,354	11,354	11,354
11	11003	VOORHEESVILLE	12,377	12,742	12,742	12,742	12,742
12	11200	WATERVLIET	9,070	9,404	9,404	9,404	9,404
13	20101	ALFRED ALMOND	10,226	10,628	10,628	10,628	10,628
14	20601	ANDOVER	11,107	12,353	12,353	12,353	12,353
15	20702	GENESEE VALLEY	9,686	11,013	11,013	11,013	11,013
16	20801	BELFAST	10,153	11,619	11,619	11,619	11,619
17	21102	CANASERAGA	11,354	12,329	12,329	12,329	12,329
18	21601	FRIENDSHIP	11,948	12,385	12,385	12,385	12,385
19	22001	FILLMORE	8,668	9,156	9,156	9,156	9,156
20	22101	WHITESVILLE	10,241	10,904	10,904	10,904	10,904
21	22302	CUBA-RUSHFORD	10,889	12,488	12,488	12,488	12,488
22	22401	SCIO	12,133	11,968	11,968	11,968	11,968
23	22601	WELLSVILLE	11,455	11,671	11,671	11,671	11,671
24	22902	BOLIVAR-RICHBG	11,418	10,885	10,885	10,885	10,885
25	30101	CHENANGO FORKS	10,119	10,503	10,503	10,503	10,503
26	30200	BINGHAMTON	9,820	10,220	10,220	10,220	10,220
27	30501	HARPURSVILLE	9,718	9,887	9,887	9,887	9,887
28	30601	SUSQUEHANNA VA	11,919	12,156	12,156	12,156	12,156
29	30701	CHENANGO VALLE	10,906	10,670	10,670	10,670	10,670
30	31101	MAINE ENDWELL	11,002	10,197	10,197	10,197	10,197
31	31301	DEPOSIT	14,020	14,304	14,304	14,304	14,304
32	31401	WHITNEY POINT	9,883	11,324	11,324	11,324	11,324
33	31501	UNION-ENDICOTT	10,955	11,048	11,048	11,048	11,048
34	31502	JOHNSON CITY	11,182	12,066	12,066	12,066	12,066
35	31601	VESTAL	11,413	12,166	12,166	12,166	12,166
36	31701	WINDSOR	9,813	10,115	10,115	10,115	10,115
37	40204	WEST VALLEY	13,408	13,623	13,623	13,623	13,623
38	40302	ALLEGANY-LIMES	9,652	10,362	10,362	10,362	10,362
39	40901	ELLICOTTVILLE	10,206	11,491	11,491	11,491	11,491
40	41101	FRANKLINVILLE	10,696	11,351	11,351	11,351	11,351
41	41401	HINSDALE	10,640	9,952	9,952	9,952	9,952
42	42302	CATTARAUGUS-LI	11,536	11,368	11,368	11,368	11,368
43	42400	OLEAN	9,933	10,976	10,976	10,976	10,976
44	42801	GOWANDA	10,020	11,326	11,326	11,326	11,326
45	42901	PORTVILLE	9,726	10,058	10,058	10,058	10,058
46	43001	RANDOLPH	9,806	10,720	10,720	10,720	10,720
47	43200	SALAMANCA	11,211	11,582	11,582	11,582	11,582
48	43501	YORKSHIRE-PIONE	10,566	11,406	11,406	11,406	11,406
49	50100	AUBURN	9,959	10,495	10,495	10,495	10,495
50	50301	WEEDSPORT	10,421	11,820	11,820	11,820	11,820
51	50401	CATO MERIDIAN	9,412	10,653	10,653	10,653	10,653
52	50701	SOUTHERN CAYUG	12,338	13,419	13,419	13,419	13,419
53	51101	PORT BYRON	10,138	10,865	10,865	10,865	10,865
54	51301	MORAVIA	9,940	10,202	10,202	10,202	10,202
55	51901	UNION SPRINGS	11,969	12,059	12,059	12,059	12,059
56	60201	SOUTHWESTERN	9,691	10,202	10,202	10,202	10,202
57	60301	FREWSBURG	8,965	10,206	10,206	10,206	10,206
58	60401	CASSADAGA VALL	10,976	11,359	11,359	11,359	11,359
59	60503	CHAUTAUQUA	14,330	14,457	14,457	14,457	14,457
60	60601	PINE VALLEY	11,072	11,589	11,589	11,589	11,589
61	60701	CLYMER	13,768	14,425	14,425	14,425	14,425
62	60800	DUNKIRK	12,054	12,985	12,985	12,985	12,985
63	61001	BEMUS POINT	10,726	11,810	11,810	11,810	11,810
64	61101	FALCONER	8,694	9,522	9,522	9,522	9,522
65	61501	SILVER CREEK	10,079	11,223	11,223	11,223	11,223
66	61503	FORESTVILLE	10,133	10,484	10,484	10,484	10,484
67	61601	PANAMA	10,861	11,826	11,826	11,826	11,826
68	61700	JAMESTOWN	10,157	10,164	10,164	10,164	10,164
69	62201	FREDONIA	11,242	12,007	12,007	12,007	12,007
70	62301	BROCTON	12,774	12,437	12,437	12,437	12,437
71	62401	RIPLEY	13,456	15,941	15,941	15,941	15,941
72	62601	SHERMAN	10,611	10,196	10,196	10,196	10,196
73	62901	WESTFIELD	10,983	11,891	11,891	11,891	11,891
74	70600	ELMIRA	9,965	11,012	11,012	11,012	11,012
75	70901	HORSEHEADS	9,466	10,188	10,188	10,188	10,188

Charter Funding By NYS School District Code

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
76	70902	ELMIRA HEIGHTS	9,761	10,136	10,136	10,136	10,136
77	80101	AFTON	11,717	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800
78	80201	BAINBRIDGE GUI	10,541	11,434	11,434	11,434	11,434
79	80601	GREENE	9,598	10,565	10,565	10,565	10,565
80	81003	UNADILLA	10,725	11,393	11,393	11,393	11,393
81	81200	NORWICH	9,089	9,956	9,956	9,956	9,956
82	81401	GRGETWN-SO OTS	11,700	12,871	12,871	12,871	12,871
83	81501	OXFORD	11,678	11,858	11,858	11,858	11,858
84	82001	SHERBURNE EARL	9,264	10,712	10,712	10,712	10,712
85	90201	AUSABLE VALLEY	12,302	13,185	13,185	13,185	13,185
86	90301	BEEKMANTOWN	11,410	11,708	11,708	11,708	11,708
87	90501	NORTHEASTERN	9,825	11,543	11,543	11,543	11,543
88	90601	CHAZY	10,616	11,716	11,716	11,716	11,716
89	90901	NORTHRN ADIRON	10,706	12,594	12,594	12,594	12,594
90	91101	PERU	11,126	11,849	11,849	11,849	11,849
91	91200	PLATTSBURGH	12,526	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604
92	91402	SARANAC	9,535	11,333	11,333	11,333	11,333
93	100501	COPAKE-TACONIC	13,043	13,622	13,622	13,622	13,622
94	100902	GERMANTOWN	12,770	14,614	14,614	14,614	14,614
95	101001	CHATHAM	12,277	12,977	12,977	12,977	12,977
96	101300	HUDSON	11,982	13,222	13,222	13,222	13,222
97	101401	KINDERHOOK	10,114	11,239	11,239	11,239	11,239
98	101601	NEW LEBANON	13,441	15,792	15,792	15,792	15,792
99	110101	CINCINNATUS	12,195	12,691	12,691	12,691	12,691
100	110200	CORTLAND	9,778	10,142	10,142	10,142	10,142
101	110304	MCGRW	11,222	11,248	11,248	11,248	11,248
102	110701	HOMER	10,182	11,092	11,092	11,092	11,092
103	110901	MARATHON	7,853	11,911	11,911	11,911	11,911
104	120102	ANDES	15,445	21,107	21,107	21,107	21,107
105	120301	DOWNSVILLE	16,110	17,143	17,143	17,143	17,143
106	120401	CHARLOTTE VALL	9,939	10,907	10,907	10,907	10,907
107	120501	DELHI	12,859	13,930	13,930	13,930	13,930
108	120701	FRANKLIN	12,786	13,106	13,106	13,106	13,106
109	120906	HANCOCK	13,854	14,267	14,267	14,267	14,267
110	121401	MARGARETVILLE	12,416	13,376	13,376	13,376	13,376
111	121502	ROXBURY	15,068	16,963	16,963	16,963	16,963
112	121601	SIDNEY	11,217	11,637	11,637	11,637	11,637
113	121701	STAMFORD	11,560	13,915	13,915	13,915	13,915
114	121702	S. KORTRIGHT	13,857	13,381	13,381	13,381	13,381
115	121901	WALTON	9,739	10,718	10,718	10,718	10,718
116	130200	BEACON	9,650	11,093	11,093	11,093	11,093
117	130502	DOVER	10,589	11,245	11,245	11,245	11,245
118	130801	HYDE PARK	10,965	12,055	12,055	12,055	12,055
119	131101	NORTHEAST	15,151	15,342	15,342	15,342	15,342
120	131201	PAWLING	14,556	15,571	15,571	15,571	15,571
121	131301	PINE PLAINS	12,380	14,565	14,565	14,565	14,565
122	131500	POUGHKEEPSIE	11,195	12,524	12,524	12,524	12,524
123	131601	ARLINGTON	10,651	11,469	11,469	11,469	11,469
124	131602	SPACKENKILL	14,417	16,018	16,018	16,018	16,018
125	131701	RED HOOK	12,091	13,202	13,202	13,202	13,202
126	131801	RHINEBECK	14,204	16,681	16,681	16,681	16,681
127	132101	WAPPINGERS	10,055	10,887	10,887	10,887	10,887
128	132201	MILLBROOK	11,769	12,902	12,902	12,902	12,902
129	140101	ALDEN	9,737	9,862	9,862	9,862	9,862
130	140201	AMHERST	10,138	10,721	10,721	10,721	10,721
131	140203	WILLIAMSVILLE	10,494	10,904	10,904	10,904	10,904
132	140207	SWEET HOME	10,961	11,954	11,954	11,954	11,954
133	140301	EAST AURORA	9,978	10,205	10,205	10,205	10,205
134	140600	BUFFALO	10,429	12,005	12,005	12,005	12,005
135	140701	CHEEKTOWAGA	9,733	10,235	10,235	10,235	10,235
136	140702	MARYVALE	10,025	10,433	10,433	10,433	10,433
137	140703	CLEVELAND HILL	9,946	10,428	10,428	10,428	10,428
138	140707	DEPEW	10,741	10,409	10,409	10,409	10,409
139	140709	SLOAN	10,860	11,946	11,946	11,946	11,946
140	140801	CLARENCE	8,747	9,001	9,001	9,001	9,001
141	141101	SPRINGVILLE-GR	10,053	11,347	11,347	11,347	11,347
142	141201	EDEN	8,752	9,674	9,674	9,674	9,674
143	141301	IROQUOIS	9,111	9,751	9,751	9,751	9,751
144	141401	EVANS-BRANT	11,083	11,618	11,618	11,618	11,618
145	141501	GRAND ISLAND	9,823	9,915	9,915	9,915	9,915
146	141601	HAMBURG	9,519	9,716	9,716	9,716	9,716
147	141604	FRONTIER	8,271	8,759	8,759	8,759	8,759
148	141701	HOLLAND	9,966	11,032	11,032	11,032	11,032
149	141800	LACKAWANNA	10,833	11,969	11,969	11,969	11,969
150	141901	LANCASTER	7,973	8,442	8,442	8,442	8,442

Charter Funding By NYS School District Code

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
151	142101	AKRON	9,760	10,134	10,134	10,134	10,134
152	142201	NORTH COLLINS	10,361	13,023	13,023	13,023	13,023
153	142301	ORCHARD PARK	10,198	10,523	10,523	10,523	10,523
154	142500	TONAWANDA	9,779	10,051	10,051	10,051	10,051
155	142601	KENMORE	10,412	9,477	9,477	9,477	9,477
156	142801	WEST SENECA	8,948	10,179	10,179	10,179	10,179
157	150203	CROWN POINT	14,203	15,675	15,675	15,675	15,675
158	150301	ELIZABETHTOWN	13,228	13,358	13,358	13,358	13,358
159	150601	KEENE	18,529	19,661	19,661	19,661	19,661
160	150801	MINERVA	27,490	25,637	25,637	25,637	25,637
161	150901	MORIAH	10,922	11,855	11,855	11,855	11,855
162	151001	NEWCOMB	51,675	43,580	43,580	43,580	43,580
163	151102	LAKE PLACID	13,620	14,942	14,942	14,942	14,942
164	151401	SCHROON LAKE	16,058	15,368	15,368	15,368	15,368
165	151501	TICONDEROGA	13,258	13,455	13,455	13,455	13,455
166	151601	WESTPORT	11,244	13,591	13,591	13,591	13,591
167	151701	WILLSBORO	13,461	15,355	15,355	15,355	15,355
168	160101	TUPPER LAKE	10,281	11,461	11,461	11,461	11,461
169	160801	CHATEAUGAY	9,502	10,879	10,879	10,879	10,879
170	161201	SALMON RIVER	11,610	13,576	13,576	13,576	13,576
171	161401	SARANAC LAKE	13,140	13,999	13,999	13,999	13,999
172	161501	MALONE	10,134	10,520	10,520	10,520	10,520
173	161601	BRUSHTON MOIRA	10,152	11,409	11,409	11,409	11,409
174	161801	ST REGIS FALLS	11,664	13,886	13,886	13,886	13,886
175	170301	WHEELERVILLE	15,077	18,435	18,435	18,435	18,435
176	170500	GLOVERSVILLE	9,121	9,865	9,865	9,865	9,865
177	170600	JOHNSTOWN	9,818	10,408	10,408	10,408	10,408
178	170801	MAYFIELD	8,827	10,094	10,094	10,094	10,094
179	170901	NORTHVILLE	12,396	13,440	13,440	13,440	13,440
180	171001	OPPENHEIM EPHR	13,504	13,229	13,229	13,229	13,229
181	171102	BROADALBIN-PER	8,605	8,636	8,636	8,636	8,636
182	180202	ALEXANDER	10,005	11,335	11,335	11,335	11,335
183	180300	BATAVIA	12,503	12,293	12,293	12,293	12,293
184	180701	BYRON BERGEN	10,098	11,347	11,347	11,347	11,347
185	180901	ELBA	10,830	11,423	11,423	11,423	11,423
186	181001	LE ROY	9,837	10,790	10,790	10,790	10,790
187	181101	OAKFIELD ALABA	10,716	9,994	9,994	9,994	9,994
188	181201	PAVILION	10,273	10,682	10,682	10,682	10,682
189	181302	PEMBROKE	9,919	11,828	11,828	11,828	11,828
190	190301	CAIRO-DURHAM	9,731	10,345	10,345	10,345	10,345
191	190401	CATSKILL	11,424	14,130	14,130	14,130	14,130
192	190501	COXSACKIE ATHE	10,905	11,888	11,888	11,888	11,888
193	190701	GREENVILLE	11,269	13,454	13,454	13,454	13,454
194	190901	HUNTER TANNERS	14,001	16,482	16,482	16,482	16,482
195	191401	WINDHAM ASHLAN	14,868	18,838	18,838	18,838	18,838
196	200101	PISECO	26,736	26,047	26,047	26,047	26,047
197	200401	INDIAN LAKE	22,268	24,054	24,054	24,054	24,054
198	200501	INLET	26,736	26,047	26,047	26,047	26,047
199	200601	LAKE PLEASANT	28,900	25,331	25,331	25,331	25,331
200	200701	LONG LAKE	37,270	42,198	42,198	42,198	42,198
201	200901	WELLS	18,500	21,823	21,823	21,823	21,823
202	210302	WEST CANADA VA	10,384	11,723	11,723	11,723	11,723
203	210402	FRANKFORT-SCHU	9,084	9,210	9,210	9,210	9,210
204	210501	ILION	8,025	8,450	8,450	8,450	8,450
205	210502	MOHAWK	9,642	10,758	10,758	10,758	10,758
206	210601	HERKIMER	9,185	9,525	9,525	9,525	9,525
207	210800	LITTLE FALLS	10,818	11,471	11,471	11,471	11,471
208	211003	DOLGEVILLE	9,576	10,527	10,527	10,527	10,527
209	211103	POLAND	10,558	11,690	11,690	11,690	11,690
210	211701	VAN HORNSVILLE	12,211	11,991	11,991	11,991	11,991
211	211901	TOWN OF WEBB	18,823	20,143	20,143	20,143	20,143
212	212001	MT MARKHAM CSD	10,836	11,231	11,231	11,231	11,231
213	220101	S. JEFFERSON	8,069	9,183	9,183	9,183	9,183
214	220202	ALEXANDRIA	10,338	12,100	12,100	12,100	12,100
215	220301	INDIAN RIVER	8,380	6,996	6,996	6,996	6,996
216	220401	GENERAL BROWN	8,410	8,580	8,580	8,580	8,580
217	220701	THOUSAND ISLAND	11,183	12,027	12,027	12,027	12,027
218	220909	BELLEVILLE-HEN	9,652	10,030	10,030	10,030	10,030
219	221001	SACKETS HARBOR	10,726	10,104	10,104	10,104	10,104
220	221301	LYME	10,382	13,021	13,021	13,021	13,021
221	221401	LA FARGEVILLE	10,039	9,458	9,458	9,458	9,458
222	222000	WATERTOWN	7,934	8,985	8,985	8,985	8,985
223	222201	CARTHAGE	8,911	8,472	8,472	8,472	8,472
224	230201	COPENHAGEN	9,038	9,935	9,935	9,935	9,935
225	230301	HARRISVILLE	11,794	12,372	12,372	12,372	12,372

Charter Funding By NYS School District Code

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
226	230901	LOWVILLE	8,403	9,603	9,603	9,603	9,603
227	231101	SOUTH LEWIS	11,777	13,513	13,513	13,513	13,513
228	231301	BEAVER RIVER	10,065	9,873	9,873	9,873	9,873
229	240101	AVON	10,726	10,465	10,465	10,465	10,465
230	240201	CALEDONIA MUMF	9,239	10,218	10,218	10,218	10,218
231	240401	GENESEO	10,819	12,257	12,257	12,257	12,257
232	240801	LIVONIA	10,725	11,356	11,356	11,356	11,356
233	240901	MOUNT MORRIS	11,101	12,120	12,120	12,120	12,120
234	241001	DANSVILLE	9,525	10,313	10,313	10,313	10,313
235	241101	DALTON-NUNDA	11,704	13,107	13,107	13,107	13,107
236	241701	YORK	9,863	10,694	10,694	10,694	10,694
237	250109	BROOKFIELD	11,515	11,171	11,171	11,171	11,171
238	250201	CAZENOVIA	9,996	10,613	10,613	10,613	10,613
239	250301	DE RUYTER	12,001	13,069	13,069	13,069	13,069
240	250401	MORRISVILLE EA	11,015	12,114	12,114	12,114	12,114
241	250701	HAMILTON	12,465	12,897	12,897	12,897	12,897
242	250901	CANASTOTA	9,135	9,837	9,837	9,837	9,837
243	251101	MADISON	10,976	10,738	10,738	10,738	10,738
244	251400	ONEIDA CITY	10,485	11,079	11,079	11,079	11,079
245	251501	STOCKBRIDGE VA	9,838	10,638	10,638	10,638	10,638
246	251601	CHITTENANGO	10,080	10,983	10,983	10,983	10,983
247	260101	BRIGHTON	12,025	12,448	12,448	12,448	12,448
248	260401	GATES CHILI	11,150	12,359	12,359	12,359	12,359
249	260501	GREECE	10,422	10,979	10,979	10,979	10,979
250	260801	E. IRONDEQUOIT	10,006	11,557	11,557	11,557	11,557
251	260803	W. IRONDEQUOIT	10,711	10,413	10,413	10,413	10,413
252	260901	HONEOYE FALLS	10,103	10,435	10,435	10,435	10,435
253	261001	SPENCERPORT	10,259	10,533	10,533	10,533	10,533
254	261101	HILTON	10,019	10,200	10,200	10,200	10,200
255	261201	PENFIELD	12,155	12,346	12,346	12,346	12,346
256	261301	FAIRPORT	10,220	10,647	10,647	10,647	10,647
257	261313	EAST ROCHESTER	12,418	12,585	12,585	12,585	12,585
258	261401	PITTSFORD	12,644	12,722	12,722	12,722	12,722
259	261501	CHURCHVILLE CH	9,496	10,122	10,122	10,122	10,122
260	261600	ROCHESTER	10,868	12,090	12,090	12,090	12,090
261	261701	RUSH HENRIETTA	11,738	12,330	12,330	12,330	12,330
262	261801	BROCKPORT	10,222	10,745	10,745	10,745	10,745
263	261901	WEBSTER	10,427	10,872	10,872	10,872	10,872
264	262001	WHEATLAND CHIL	14,442	15,259	15,259	15,259	15,259
265	270100	AMSTERDAM	8,887	9,443	9,443	9,443	9,443
266	270301	CANAJOHARIE	10,533	10,845	10,845	10,845	10,845
267	270601	FONDA FULTONVI	10,509	11,108	11,108	11,108	11,108
268	270701	FORT PLAIN	10,723	12,841	12,841	12,841	12,841
269	271102	ST JOHNSVILLE	10,561	11,910	11,910	11,910	11,910
270	280100	GLEN COVE	17,909	18,185	18,185	18,185	18,185
271	280201	HEMPSTEAD	17,356	18,202	18,202	18,202	18,202
272	280202	UNIONDALE	18,310	19,864	19,864	19,864	19,864
273	280203	EAST MEADOW	15,631	15,722	15,722	15,722	15,722
274	280204	NORTH BELLMORE	15,419	17,493	17,493	17,493	17,493
275	280205	LEVITTOWN	15,793	17,280	17,280	17,280	17,280
276	280206	SEAFORD	14,547	15,660	15,660	15,660	15,660
277	280207	BELLMORE	17,562	19,638	19,638	19,638	19,638
278	280208	ROOSEVELT	16,845	16,925	16,925	16,925	16,925
279	280209	FREEPORT	14,902	15,703	15,703	15,703	15,703
280	280210	BALDWIN	15,336	15,658	15,658	15,658	15,658
281	280211	OCEANSIDE	15,026	16,067	16,067	16,067	16,067
282	280212	MALVERNE	16,487	19,705	19,705	19,705	19,705
283	280213	V STR THIRTEEN	15,193	15,487	15,487	15,487	15,487
284	280214	HEWLETT WOODME	20,329	22,408	22,408	22,408	22,408
285	280215	LAWRENCE	20,562	23,002	23,002	23,002	23,002
286	280216	ELMONT	13,210	14,397	14,397	14,397	14,397
287	280217	FRANKLIN SQUAR	13,288	13,412	13,412	13,412	13,412
288	280218	GARDEN CITY	16,464	17,620	17,620	17,620	17,620
289	280219	EAST ROCKAWAY	16,680	18,383	18,383	18,383	18,383
290	280220	LYNBROOK	16,514	17,680	17,680	17,680	17,680
291	280221	ROCKVILLE CENT	17,397	18,767	18,767	18,767	18,767
292	280222	FLORAL PARK	12,852	14,937	14,937	14,937	14,937
293	280223	WANTAGH	13,441	13,593	13,593	13,593	13,593
294	280224	V STR TWENTY-F	18,886	20,044	20,044	20,044	20,044
295	280225	MERRICK	16,693	17,936	17,936	17,936	17,936
296	280226	ISLAND TREES	14,337	15,505	15,505	15,505	15,505
297	280227	WEST HEMPSTEAD	14,732	16,759	16,759	16,759	16,759
298	280229	NORTH MERRICK	16,678	17,825	17,825	17,825	17,825
299	280230	VALLEY STR UF	17,598	19,277	19,277	19,277	19,277
300	280231	ISLAND PARK	27,655	27,985	27,985	27,985	27,985

Charter Funding By NYS School District Code

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
301	280251	VALLEY STR CHS	13,762	14,858	14,858	14,858	14,858
302	280252	SEWANHAKA	12,243	12,522	12,522	12,522	12,522
303	280253	BELLMORE-MERRI	13,037	13,764	13,764	13,764	13,764
304	280300	LONG BEACH	19,842	22,348	22,348	22,348	22,348
305	280401	WESTBURY	17,435	18,287	18,287	18,287	18,287
306	280402	EAST WILLISTON	19,814	20,784	20,784	20,784	20,784
307	280403	ROSLYN	20,081	20,898	20,898	20,898	20,898
308	280404	PORT WASHINGTO	19,475	20,028	20,028	20,028	20,028
309	280405	NEW HYDE PARK	13,585	14,771	14,771	14,771	14,771
310	280406	MANHASSET	20,254	21,235	21,235	21,235	21,235
311	280407	GREAT NECK	21,183	22,468	22,468	22,468	22,468
312	280409	HERRICKS	16,522	17,029	17,029	17,029	17,029
313	280410	MINEOLA	22,566	23,709	23,709	23,709	23,709
314	280411	CARLE PLACE	19,902	20,187	20,187	20,187	20,187
315	280501	NORTH SHORE	20,288	23,323	23,323	23,323	23,323
316	280502	SYOSSET	19,526	20,263	20,263	20,263	20,263
317	280503	LOCUST VALLEY	22,104	22,507	22,507	22,507	22,507
318	280504	PLAINVIEW	16,964	17,942	17,942	17,942	17,942
319	280506	OYSTER BAY	22,633	22,533	22,533	22,533	22,533
320	280515	JERICHO	22,601	23,911	23,911	23,911	23,911
321	280517	HICKSVILLE	14,580	14,942	14,942	14,942	14,942
322	280518	PLAINEDGE	13,977	15,704	15,704	15,704	15,704
323	280521	BETHPAGE	17,066	17,350	17,350	17,350	17,350
324	280522	FARMINGDALE	15,641	16,831	16,831	16,831	16,831
325	280523	MASSAPEQUA	15,232	16,052	16,052	16,052	16,052
326	300000	NEW YORK CITY	12,443	13,527	13,527	13,527	13,527
327	400301	LEWISTON PORTE	12,236	12,229	12,229	12,229	12,229
328	400400	LOCKPORT	10,220	9,915	9,915	9,915	9,915
329	400601	NEWFANE	9,476	10,086	10,086	10,086	10,086
330	400701	NIAGARA WHEATF	9,672	10,443	10,443	10,443	10,443
331	400800	NIAGARA FALLS	10,015	10,925	10,925	10,925	10,925
332	400900	N. TONAWANDA	9,630	10,593	10,593	10,593	10,593
333	401001	STARPOINT	9,058	9,789	9,789	9,789	9,789
334	401201	ROYALTON HARTL	9,683	10,207	10,207	10,207	10,207
335	401301	BARKER	13,293	12,895	12,895	12,895	12,895
336	401501	WILSON	10,584	10,386	10,386	10,386	10,386
337	410401	ADIRONDACK	10,915	11,671	11,671	11,671	11,671
338	410601	CAMDEN	9,386	10,655	10,655	10,655	10,655
339	411101	CLINTON	11,072	11,529	11,529	11,529	11,529
340	411501	NEW HARTFORD	11,712	11,431	11,431	11,431	11,431
341	411504	NEW YORK MILLS	12,248	11,834	11,834	11,834	11,834
342	411603	SAUQUOIT VALLE	10,057	10,956	10,956	10,956	10,956
343	411701	REMSEN	13,154	14,798	14,798	14,798	14,798
344	411800	ROME	10,778	11,413	11,413	11,413	11,413
345	411902	WATERVILLE	10,734	10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900
346	412000	SHERRILL	9,667	9,651	9,651	9,651	9,651
347	412201	HOLLAND PATENT	10,071	10,388	10,388	10,388	10,388
348	412300	UTICA	8,441	9,280	9,280	9,280	9,280
349	412801	WESTMORELAND	11,188	11,938	11,938	11,938	11,938
350	412901	ORISKANY	10,625	10,992	10,992	10,992	10,992
351	412902	WHITESBORO	9,505	9,886	9,886	9,886	9,886
352	420101	WEST GENESEE	9,820	10,199	10,199	10,199	10,199
353	420303	NORTH SYRACUSE	9,332	10,709	10,709	10,709	10,709
354	420401	E SYRACUSE-MIN	12,805	13,674	13,674	13,674	13,674
355	420411	JAMESVILLE-DEW	10,805	10,944	10,944	10,944	10,944
356	420501	JORDAN ELBRIDG	10,342	11,623	11,623	11,623	11,623
357	420601	FABIUS-POMPEY	11,565	12,479	12,479	12,479	12,479
358	420701	WESTHILL	10,372	10,635	10,635	10,635	10,635
359	420702	SOLVAY	10,721	11,760	11,760	11,760	11,760
360	420807	LA FAYETTE	15,526	15,766	15,766	15,766	15,766
361	420901	BALDWINSVILLE	10,185	10,722	10,722	10,722	10,722
362	421001	FAYETTEVILLE	10,267	10,653	10,653	10,653	10,653
363	421101	MARCELLUS	8,871	9,775	9,775	9,775	9,775
364	421201	ONONDAGA	10,459	12,132	12,132	12,132	12,132
365	421501	LIVERPOOL	11,946	12,529	12,529	12,529	12,529
366	421504	LYNCOURT	13,521	15,499	15,499	15,499	15,499
367	421601	SKANEATELES	12,010	12,337	12,337	12,337	12,337
368	421800	SYRACUSE	10,362	11,930	11,930	11,930	11,930
369	421902	TULLY	9,585	10,036	10,036	10,036	10,036
370	430300	CANANDAIGUA	10,181	10,828	10,828	10,828	10,828
371	430501	EAST BLOOMFIEL	10,213	11,117	11,117	11,117	11,117
372	430700	GENEVA	10,458	12,688	12,688	12,688	12,688
373	430901	GORHAM-MIDDLES	11,367	11,872	11,872	11,872	11,872
374	431101	MANCHSTR-SHRTS	10,485	10,420	10,420	10,420	10,420
375	431201	NAPLES	13,488	13,135	13,135	13,135	13,135

Charter Funding By NYS School District Code

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
376	431301	PHELPS-CLIFTON	10,623	11,376	11,376	11,376	11,376
377	431401	HONEOYE	10,487	12,141	12,141	12,141	12,141
378	431701	VICTOR	9,618	9,518	9,518	9,518	9,518
379	440102	WASHINGTONVILL	11,687	11,931	11,931	11,931	11,931
380	440201	CHESTER	12,532	13,170	13,170	13,170	13,170
381	440301	CORNWALL	11,413	11,262	11,262	11,262	11,262
382	440401	PINE BUSH	10,576	11,570	11,570	11,570	11,570
383	440601	GOSHEN	12,560	12,773	12,773	12,773	12,773
384	440901	HIGHLAND FALLS	13,618	14,583	14,583	14,583	14,583
385	441000	MIDDLETOWN	11,355	12,759	12,759	12,759	12,759
386	441101	MINISINK VALLE	10,099	10,552	10,552	10,552	10,552
387	441201	MONROE WOODBUR	12,538	13,089	13,089	13,089	13,089
388	441202	KIRYAS JOEL	25,769	36,930	36,930	36,930	36,930
389	441301	VALLEY-MONTGMR	9,864	11,222	11,222	11,222	11,222
390	441600	NEWBURGH	12,738	14,796	14,796	14,796	14,796
391	441800	PORT JERVIS	11,776	11,904	11,904	11,904	11,904
392	441903	TUXEDO	18,323	17,470	17,470	17,470	17,470
393	442101	WARWICK VALLEY	11,493	12,198	12,198	12,198	12,198
394	442111	GREENWOOD LAKE	15,132	18,311	18,311	18,311	18,311
395	442115	FLORIDA	13,088	14,007	14,007	14,007	14,007
396	450101	ALBION	8,887	10,580	10,580	10,580	10,580
397	450607	KENDALL	9,917	12,590	12,590	12,590	12,590
398	450704	HOLLEY	9,844	10,275	10,275	10,275	10,275
399	450801	MEDINA	10,525	10,827	10,827	10,827	10,827
400	451001	LYNDONVILLE	9,930	10,519	10,519	10,519	10,519
401	460102	ALTMAR PARISH	11,395	11,463	11,463	11,463	11,463
402	460500	FULTON	10,413	11,616	11,616	11,616	11,616
403	460701	HANNIBAL	9,278	10,178	10,178	10,178	10,178
404	460801	CENTRAL SQUARE	9,066	9,571	9,571	9,571	9,571
405	460901	MEXICO	10,521	11,702	11,702	11,702	11,702
406	461300	OSWEGO	12,105	12,270	12,270	12,270	12,270
407	461801	PULASKI	10,270	11,580	11,580	11,580	11,580
408	461901	SANDY CREEK	10,747	12,911	12,911	12,911	12,911
409	462001	PHOENIX	11,107	12,226	12,226	12,226	12,226
410	470202	GLBTSVILLE-MT U	9,683	11,319	11,319	11,319	11,319
411	470501	EDMESTON	9,031	11,243	11,243	11,243	11,243
412	470801	LAURENS	10,185	10,634	10,634	10,634	10,634
413	470901	SCHENEVUS	11,100	12,566	12,566	12,566	12,566
414	471101	MILFORD	11,422	12,217	12,217	12,217	12,217
415	471201	MORRIS	9,185	10,436	10,436	10,436	10,436
416	471400	ONEONTA	10,915	11,790	11,790	11,790	11,790
417	471601	OTEGO-UNADILLA	10,367	11,481	11,481	11,481	11,481
418	471701	COOPERSTOWN	11,595	11,917	11,917	11,917	11,917
419	472001	RICHFIELD SPRI	10,271	10,916	10,916	10,916	10,916
420	472202	CHERRY VLY-SPR	12,632	12,674	12,674	12,674	12,674
421	472506	WORCESTER	10,422	12,352	12,352	12,352	12,352
422	480101	MAHOPAC	13,264	13,925	13,925	13,925	13,925
423	480102	CARMEL	14,865	15,409	15,409	15,409	15,409
424	480401	HALDANE	14,783	16,487	16,487	16,487	16,487
425	480404	GARRISON	20,327	21,713	21,713	21,713	21,713
426	480503	PUTNAM VALLEY	16,294	16,888	16,888	16,888	16,888
427	480601	BREWSTER	15,649	16,808	16,808	16,808	16,808
428	490101	BERLIN	12,019	12,890	12,890	12,890	12,890
429	490202	BRUNSWICK CENT	10,274	10,501	10,501	10,501	10,501
430	490301	EAST GREENBUSH	11,464	11,659	11,659	11,659	11,659
431	490501	HOOSICK FALLS	10,071	11,782	11,782	11,782	11,782
432	490601	LANSINGBURGH	8,509	9,352	9,352	9,352	9,352
433	490801	NORTH GREENBUSH	10,735	11,741	11,741	11,741	11,741
434	490804	WYNANTSKILL	10,613	11,243	11,243	11,243	11,243
435	491200	RENSSELAER	10,996	8,884	8,884	8,884	8,884
436	491302	AVERILL PARK	9,363	10,036	10,036	10,036	10,036
437	491401	HOOSIC VALLEY	9,733	10,338	10,338	10,338	10,338
438	491501	SCHODACK	11,674	12,169	12,169	12,169	12,169
439	491700	TROY	13,360	15,986	15,986	15,986	15,986
440	500101	CLARKSTOWN	12,759	13,310	13,310	13,310	13,310
441	500108	NANUET	17,763	18,531	18,531	18,531	18,531
442	500201	HAVERSTRAW-ST	15,854	17,121	17,121	17,121	17,121
443	500301	S. ORANGETOWN	14,729	15,503	15,503	15,503	15,503
444	500304	NYACK	17,016	18,240	18,240	18,240	18,240
445	500308	PEARL RIVER	14,413	14,858	14,858	14,858	14,858
446	500401	RAMAPO	17,571	16,919	16,919	16,919	16,919
447	500402	EAST RAMAPO	16,326	16,555	16,555	16,555	16,555
448	510101	BRASHER FALLS	9,507	10,172	10,172	10,172	10,172
449	510201	CANTON	11,114	11,252	11,252	11,252	11,252
450	510401	CLIFTON FINE	18,407	17,107	17,107	17,107	17,107

Charter Funding By NYS School District Code

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
451	510501	COLTON PIERREP	16,949	18,381	18,381	18,381	18,381
452	511101	GOVERNEUR	8,945	10,255	10,255	10,255	10,255
453	511201	HAMMOND	11,478	12,727	12,727	12,727	12,727
454	511301	HERMON DEKALB	13,114	12,646	12,646	12,646	12,646
455	511602	LISBON	11,867	12,322	12,322	12,322	12,322
456	511901	MADRID WADDING	10,883	10,491	10,491	10,491	10,491
457	512001	MASSENA	9,131	10,197	10,197	10,197	10,197
458	512101	MORRISTOWN	13,150	13,680	13,680	13,680	13,680
459	512201	NORWOOD NORFOL	10,085	10,652	10,652	10,652	10,652
460	512300	OGDENSBURG	13,053	13,953	13,953	13,953	13,953
461	512404	HEUVELTON	11,007	10,704	10,704	10,704	10,704
462	512501	PARISHVILLE	9,890	10,609	10,609	10,609	10,609
463	512902	POTSDAM	10,840	11,390	11,390	11,390	11,390
464	513102	EDWARDS-KNOX	10,001	10,536	10,536	10,536	10,536
465	520101	BURNT HILLS	10,562	10,168	10,168	10,168	10,168
466	520302	SHENENDEHOWA	10,502	10,919	10,919	10,919	10,919
467	520401	CORINTH	10,020	10,919	10,919	10,919	10,919
468	520601	EDINBURG	28,077	20,896	20,896	20,896	20,896
469	520701	GALWAY	9,042	10,157	10,157	10,157	10,157
470	521200	MECHANICVILLE	9,597	10,280	10,280	10,280	10,280
471	521301	BALLSTON SPA	11,233	11,797	11,797	11,797	11,797
472	521401	S. GLENS FALLS	9,844	10,667	10,667	10,667	10,667
473	521701	SCHUYLERVILLE	11,600	11,472	11,472	11,472	11,472
474	521800	SARATOGA SPRIN	10,496	10,532	10,532	10,532	10,532
475	522001	STILLWATER	8,971	9,269	9,269	9,269	9,269
476	522101	WATERFORD	12,183	12,844	12,844	12,844	12,844
477	530101	DUANESBURG	9,259	9,234	9,234	9,234	9,234
478	530202	SCOTIA GLENVIL	10,245	11,013	11,013	11,013	11,013
479	530301	NISKAYUNA	11,408	11,790	11,790	11,790	11,790
480	530501	SCHALMONT	12,830	13,862	13,862	13,862	13,862
481	530515	MOHONASEN	8,047	8,989	8,989	8,989	8,989
482	530600	SCHENECTADY	10,272	12,015	12,015	12,015	12,015
483	540801	GILBOA CONESVI	13,436	15,707	15,707	15,707	15,707
484	540901	JEFFERSON	11,905	12,445	12,445	12,445	12,445
485	541001	MIDDLEBURGH	13,341	12,453	12,453	12,453	12,453
486	541102	COBLESKL-RICHM	10,568	10,802	10,802	10,802	10,802
487	541201	SCHOHARIE	10,626	12,147	12,147	12,147	12,147
488	541401	SHARON SPRINGS	12,510	14,154	14,154	14,154	14,154
489	550101	ODESSA MONTOUR	9,698	11,363	11,363	11,363	11,363
490	550301	WATKINS GLEN	9,562	10,489	10,489	10,489	10,489
491	560501	SOUTH SENECA	12,642	13,574	13,574	13,574	13,574
492	560603	ROMULUS	11,830	14,567	14,567	14,567	14,567
493	560701	SENECA FALLS	10,676	11,016	11,016	11,016	11,016
494	561006	WATERLOO CENT	9,295	10,263	10,263	10,263	10,263
495	570101	ADDISON	10,215	11,263	11,263	11,263	11,263
496	570201	AVOCA	11,471	13,203	13,203	13,203	13,203
497	570302	BATH	9,370	9,780	9,780	9,780	9,780
498	570401	BRADFORD	12,185	13,266	13,266	13,266	13,266
499	570603	CAMPBELL-SAVON	9,702	10,531	10,531	10,531	10,531
500	571000	CORNING	10,255	10,637	10,637	10,637	10,637
501	571502	CANISTEO-GREEN	11,986	13,797	13,797	13,797	13,797
502	571800	HORNELL	10,088	9,858	9,858	9,858	9,858
503	571901	ARKPORT	8,587	9,680	9,680	9,680	9,680
504	572301	PRATTSBURG	9,983	10,626	10,626	10,626	10,626
505	572702	JASPER-TRPSBRG	9,625	10,590	10,590	10,590	10,590
506	572901	HAMMONDSPORT	14,515	14,766	14,766	14,766	14,766
507	573002	WAYLAND-COHOCT	9,611	10,769	10,769	10,769	10,769
508	580101	BABYLON	17,161	16,928	16,928	16,928	16,928
509	580102	WEST BABYLON	13,840	14,849	14,849	14,849	14,849
510	580103	NORTH BABYLON	13,353	14,290	14,290	14,290	14,290
511	580104	LINDENHURST	13,409	14,253	14,253	14,253	14,253
512	580105	COPIAGUE	13,079	15,361	15,361	15,361	15,361
513	580106	AMITYVILLE	16,765	17,777	17,777	17,777	17,777
514	580107	DEER PARK	15,380	15,685	15,685	15,685	15,685
515	580109	WYANDANCH	15,791	16,666	16,666	16,666	16,666
516	580201	THREE VILLAGE	14,277	15,887	15,887	15,887	15,887
517	580203	COMSEWOGUE	12,594	13,498	13,498	13,498	13,498
518	580205	SACHEM	13,313	13,251	13,251	13,251	13,251
519	580206	PORT JEFFERSON	21,369	21,199	21,199	21,199	21,199
520	580207	MOUNT SINAI	14,326	14,841	14,841	14,841	14,841
521	580208	MILLER PLACE	14,009	12,922	12,922	12,922	12,922
522	580209	ROCKY POINT	12,271	12,814	12,814	12,814	12,814
523	580211	MIDDLE COUNTRY	12,109	13,119	13,119	13,119	13,119
524	580212	LONGWOOD	13,845	14,380	14,380	14,380	14,380
525	580224	PATCHOGUE-MEDF	12,251	12,814	12,814	12,814	12,814

Charter Funding By NYS School District Code

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
526	580232	WILLIAM FLOYD	13,077	14,169	14,169	14,169	14,169
527	580233	CENTER MORICHE	14,851	14,847	14,847	14,847	14,847
528	580234	EAST MORICHES	15,102	17,372	17,372	17,372	17,372
529	580235	SOUTH COUNTRY	15,404	15,951	15,951	15,951	15,951
530	580301	EAST HAMPTON	21,330	23,729	23,729	23,729	23,729
531	580302	WAINSCOTT	19,419	15,281	15,281	15,281	15,281
532	580303	AMAGANSETT	45,754	57,648	57,648	57,648	57,648
533	580304	SPRINGS	19,627	21,775	21,775	21,775	21,775
534	580305	SAG HARBOR	23,814	24,725	24,725	24,725	24,725
535	580306	MONTAUK	23,842	31,259	31,259	31,259	31,259
536	580401	ELWOOD	14,427	14,773	14,773	14,773	14,773
537	580402	COLD SPRING HA	20,529	20,586	20,586	20,586	20,586
538	580403	HUNTINGTON	16,922	17,512	17,512	17,512	17,512
539	580404	NORTHPORT	16,459	18,008	18,008	18,008	18,008
540	580405	HALF HOLLOW HI	14,381	14,896	14,896	14,896	14,896
541	580406	HARBORFIELDS	13,134	13,773	13,773	13,773	13,773
542	580410	COMMACK	14,858	15,506	15,506	15,506	15,506
543	580413	S. HUNTINGTON	15,252	15,758	15,758	15,758	15,758
544	580501	BAY SHORE	15,048	15,357	15,357	15,357	15,357
545	580502	ISLIP	12,916	14,288	14,288	14,288	14,288
546	580503	EAST ISLIP	13,735	14,659	14,659	14,659	14,659
547	580504	SAYVILLE	15,751	16,460	16,460	16,460	16,460
548	580505	BAYPORT BLUE P	17,035	17,566	17,566	17,566	17,566
549	580506	HAUPPAUGE	15,992	16,435	16,435	16,435	16,435
550	580507	CONNETQUOT	14,373	15,947	15,947	15,947	15,947
551	580509	WEST ISLIP	12,679	13,799	13,799	13,799	13,799
552	580512	BRENTWOOD	12,950	13,483	13,483	13,483	13,483
553	580513	CENTRAL ISLIP	18,571	19,614	19,614	19,614	19,614
554	580514	FIRE ISLAND	105,135	107,803	107,803	107,803	107,803
555	580601	SHOREHAM-WADIN	14,471	15,726	15,726	15,726	15,726
556	580602	RIVERHEAD	15,743	16,076	16,076	16,076	16,076
557	580701	SHELTER ISLAND	25,456	28,013	28,013	28,013	28,013
558	580801	SMITHTOWN	13,272	13,969	13,969	13,969	13,969
559	580805	KINGS PARK	13,065	13,928	13,928	13,928	13,928
560	580901	REMSENBURG	29,102	36,414	36,414	36,414	36,414
561	580902	WESTHAMPTON BE	18,052	18,354	18,354	18,354	18,354
562	580903	QUOGUE	38,866	46,923	46,923	46,923	46,923
563	580905	HAMPTON BAYS	14,438	16,093	16,093	16,093	16,093
564	580906	SOUTHAMPTON	24,096	23,414	23,414	23,414	23,414
565	580909	BRIDGEHAMPTON	51,579	53,545	53,545	53,545	53,545
566	580910	SAGAPONACK	19,419	15,281	15,281	15,281	15,281
567	580912	EASTPORT-SOUTH	12,376	13,276	13,276	13,276	13,276
568	580913	TUCKAHOE COMMO	24,715	28,200	28,200	28,200	28,200
569	580917	EAST QUOGUE	21,071	22,116	22,116	22,116	22,116
570	581002	OYSTERPONDS	30,893	32,931	32,931	32,931	32,931
571	581004	FISHERS ISLAND	37,296	42,471	42,471	42,471	42,471
572	581005	SOUTHOLD	15,431	17,400	17,400	17,400	17,400
573	581010	GREENPORT	14,945	16,700	16,700	16,700	16,700
574	581012	MATTITUCK-CUTC	15,260	15,967	15,967	15,967	15,967
575	581015	NEW SUFFOLK	19,419	15,281	15,281	15,281	15,281
576	590501	FALLSBURGH	16,265	19,402	19,402	19,402	19,402
577	590801	ELDRED	13,588	13,570	13,570	13,570	13,570
578	590901	LIBERTY	15,551	17,477	17,477	17,477	17,477
579	591201	TRI VALLEY	16,260	18,324	18,324	18,324	18,324
580	591301	ROSCOE	17,289	17,826	17,826	17,826	17,826
581	591302	LIVINGSTON MAN	14,627	16,085	16,085	16,085	16,085
582	591401	MONTICELLO	12,084	14,106	14,106	14,106	14,106
583	591502	SULLIVAN WEST	14,012	15,008	15,008	15,008	15,008
584	600101	WAVERLY	8,476	9,063	9,063	9,063	9,063
585	600301	CANDOR	10,252	11,287	11,287	11,287	11,287
586	600402	NEWARK VALLEY	10,412	10,287	10,287	10,287	10,287
587	600601	OWEGO-APALACHI	10,347	11,524	11,524	11,524	11,524
588	600801	SPENCER VAN ET	8,771	10,808	10,808	10,808	10,808
589	600903	TIOGA	8,451	9,451	9,451	9,451	9,451
590	610301	DRYDEN	10,200	11,012	11,012	11,012	11,012
591	610501	GROTON	9,486	10,406	10,406	10,406	10,406
592	610600	ITHACA	12,113	12,670	12,670	12,670	12,670
593	610801	LANSING	12,850	10,855	10,855	10,855	10,855
594	610901	NEWFIELD	8,923	9,832	9,832	9,832	9,832
595	611001	TRUMANSBURG	10,114	10,227	10,227	10,227	10,227
596	620600	KINGSTON	12,731	14,461	14,461	14,461	14,461
597	620803	HIGHLAND	11,914	12,457	12,457	12,457	12,457
598	620901	RONDOUT VALLEY	15,569	17,589	17,589	17,589	17,589
599	621001	MARLBORO	14,591	15,512	15,512	15,512	15,512
600	621101	NEW PALTZ	12,290	13,284	13,284	13,284	13,284

Charter Funding By NYS School District Code

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
601	621201	ONTEORA	15,687	18,571	18,571	18,571	18,571
602	621601	SAUGERTIES	11,303	11,822	11,822	11,822	11,822
603	621801	WALLKILL	10,532	10,997	10,997	10,997	10,997
604	622002	ELLENVILLE	15,427	15,150	15,150	15,150	15,150
605	630101	BOLTON	18,295	20,535	20,535	20,535	20,535
606	630202	NORTH WARREN	15,288	15,475	15,475	15,475	15,475
607	630300	GLENS FALLS	10,923	11,469	11,469	11,469	11,469
608	630601	JOHNSBURG	16,769	18,365	18,365	18,365	18,365
609	630701	LAKE GEORGE	12,636	12,521	12,521	12,521	12,521
610	630801	HADLEY LUZERNE	11,969	13,745	13,745	13,745	13,745
611	630902	QUEENSBURY	8,801	9,538	9,538	9,538	9,538
612	630918	GLENS FALLS CO	14,736	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
613	631201	WARRENSBURG	14,290	14,836	14,836	14,836	14,836
614	640101	ARGYLE	10,694	11,402	11,402	11,402	11,402
615	640502	FORT ANN	13,187	13,847	13,847	13,847	13,847
616	640601	FORT EDWARD	11,926	11,493	11,493	11,493	11,493
617	640701	GRANVILLE	10,678	10,360	10,360	10,360	10,360
618	640801	GREENWICH	11,995	12,131	12,131	12,131	12,131
619	641001	HARTFORD	11,389	12,205	12,205	12,205	12,205
620	641301	HUDSON FALLS	9,322	10,021	10,021	10,021	10,021
621	641401	PUTNAM	23,086	23,966	23,966	23,966	23,966
622	641501	SALEM	11,650	13,082	13,082	13,082	13,082
623	641610	CAMBRIDGE	10,634	12,350	12,350	12,350	12,350
624	641701	WHITEHALL	10,832	12,236	12,236	12,236	12,236
625	650101	NEWARK	9,934	11,100	11,100	11,100	11,100
626	650301	CLYDE-SAVANNAH	11,351	13,326	13,326	13,326	13,326
627	650501	LYONS	9,951	10,690	10,690	10,690	10,690
628	650701	MARION	10,933	11,418	11,418	11,418	11,418
629	650801	WAYNE	10,781	11,034	11,034	11,034	11,034
630	650901	PALMYRA-MACEDO	10,787	10,655	10,655	10,655	10,655
631	650902	GANANDA	9,476	9,757	9,757	9,757	9,757
632	651201	SODUS	12,258	12,623	12,623	12,623	12,623
633	651402	WILLIAMSON	11,381	12,113	12,113	12,113	12,113
634	651501	N. ROSE-WOLCOT	11,430	12,030	12,030	12,030	12,030
635	651503	RED CREEK	9,664	10,916	10,916	10,916	10,916
636	660101	KATONAH LEWISB	18,574	20,507	20,507	20,507	20,507
637	660102	BEDFORD	19,852	20,556	20,556	20,556	20,556
638	660202	CROTON HARMON	15,550	15,733	15,733	15,733	15,733
639	660203	HENDRICK HUDSO	16,618	18,174	18,174	18,174	18,174
640	660301	EASTCHESTER	17,035	17,832	17,832	17,832	17,832
641	660302	TUCKAHOE	18,600	20,009	20,009	20,009	20,009
642	660303	BRONXVILLE	21,219	22,106	22,106	22,106	22,106
643	660401	TARRYTOWN	16,455	16,449	16,449	16,449	16,449
644	660402	IRVINGTON	18,320	20,150	20,150	20,150	20,150
645	660403	DOBBS FERRY	18,231	18,927	18,927	18,927	18,927
646	660404	HASTINGS ON HU	17,463	19,404	19,404	19,404	19,404
647	660405	ARDSLEY	17,638	20,471	20,471	20,471	20,471
648	660406	EDGEMONT	16,226	17,814	17,814	17,814	17,814
649	660407	GREENBURGH	20,451	22,343	22,343	22,343	22,343
650	660409	ELMSFORD	21,033	22,211	22,211	22,211	22,211

Charter Funding By NYS School District Code

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
651	660501	HARRISON	20,737	23,457	23,457	23,457	23,457
652	660701	MAMARONECK	16,404	18,416	18,416	18,416	18,416
653	660801	MT PLEAS CENT	18,168	18,590	18,590	18,590	18,590
654	660802	POCANTICO HILL	36,989	46,333	46,333	46,333	46,333
655	660805	VALHALLA	19,877	20,983	20,983	20,983	20,983
656	660809	PLEASANTVILLE	15,490	16,558	16,558	16,558	16,558
657	660900	MOUNT VERNON	15,367	16,794	16,794	16,794	16,794
658	661004	CHAPPAQUA	18,326	19,041	19,041	19,041	19,041
659	661100	NEW ROCHELLE	15,491	16,138	16,138	16,138	16,138
660	661201	BYRAM HILLS	19,805	20,054	20,054	20,054	20,054
661	661301	NORTH SALEM	20,267	20,280	20,280	20,280	20,280
662	661401	OSSINING	16,981	18,296	18,296	18,296	18,296
663	661402	BRIARCLIFF MAN	20,102	22,861	22,861	22,861	22,861
664	661500	PEEKSKILL	16,068	16,430	16,430	16,430	16,430
665	661601	PELHAM	15,317	16,187	16,187	16,187	16,187
666	661800	RYE	18,846	18,917	18,917	18,917	18,917
667	661901	RYE NECK	18,168	18,357	18,357	18,357	18,357
668	661904	PORT CHESTER	12,674	13,392	13,392	13,392	13,392
669	661905	BLIND BROOK-RY	18,589	20,318	20,318	20,318	20,318
670	662001	SCARSDALE	20,819	22,148	22,148	22,148	22,148
671	662101	SOMERS	15,818	16,825	16,825	16,825	16,825
672	662200	WHITE PLAINS	18,811	19,443	19,443	19,443	19,443
673	662300	YONKERS	12,006	14,523	14,523	14,523	14,523
674	662401	LAKELAND	14,318	15,009	15,009	15,009	15,009
675	662402	YORKTOWN	14,859	16,743	16,743	16,743	16,743
676	670201	ATTICA	9,393	9,552	9,552	9,552	9,552
677	670401	LETCHWORTH	10,945	11,337	11,337	11,337	11,337
678	671002	WYOMING	14,555	15,376	15,376	15,376	15,376
679	671201	PERRY	10,672	11,041	11,041	11,041	11,041
680	671501	WARSAW	12,111	11,643	11,643	11,643	11,643
681	680601	PENN YAN	10,287	11,554	11,554	11,554	11,554
682	680801	DUNDEE	9,390	9,998	9,998	9,998	9,998

Charter Funding Alphabetical By NYS School District

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
1	570101	ADDISON	10,215	11,263	11,263	11,263	11,263
2	410401	ADIRONDACK	10,915	11,671	11,671	11,671	11,671
3	80101	AFTON	11,717	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800
4	142101	AKRON	9,760	10,134	10,134	10,134	10,134
5	10100	ALBANY	11,712	14,072	14,072	14,072	14,072
6	450101	ALBION	8,887	10,580	10,580	10,580	10,580
7	140101	ALDEN	9,737	9,862	9,862	9,862	9,862
8	180202	ALEXANDER	10,005	11,335	11,335	11,335	11,335
9	220202	ALEXANDRIA	10,338	12,100	12,100	12,100	12,100
10	20101	ALFRED ALMOND	10,226	10,628	10,628	10,628	10,628
11	40302	ALLEGANY-LIMES	9,652	10,362	10,362	10,362	10,362
12	460102	ALTMAR PARISH	11,395	11,463	11,463	11,463	11,463
13	580303	AMAGANSETT	45,754	57,648	57,648	57,648	57,648
14	140201	AMHERST	10,138	10,721	10,721	10,721	10,721
15	580106	AMITYVILLE	16,765	17,777	17,777	17,777	17,777
16	270100	AMSTERDAM	8,887	9,443	9,443	9,443	9,443
17	120102	ANDES	15,445	21,107	21,107	21,107	21,107
18	20601	ANDOVER	11,107	12,353	12,353	12,353	12,353
19	660405	ARDSLEY	17,638	20,471	20,471	20,471	20,471
20	640101	ARGYLE	10,694	11,402	11,402	11,402	11,402
21	571901	ARKPORT	8,587	9,680	9,680	9,680	9,680
22	131601	ARLINGTON	10,651	11,469	11,469	11,469	11,469
23	670201	ATTICA	9,393	9,552	9,552	9,552	9,552
24	50100	AUBURN	9,959	10,495	10,495	10,495	10,495
25	90201	AUSABLE VALLEY	12,302	13,185	13,185	13,185	13,185
26	491302	AVERILL PARK	9,363	10,036	10,036	10,036	10,036
27	570201	AVOCA	11,471	13,203	13,203	13,203	13,203
28	240101	AVON	10,726	10,465	10,465	10,465	10,465
29	580101	BABYLON	17,161	16,928	16,928	16,928	16,928
30	80201	BAINBRIDGE GUI	10,541	11,434	11,434	11,434	11,434
31	280210	BALDWIN	15,336	15,658	15,658	15,658	15,658
32	420901	BALDWINSVILLE	10,185	10,722	10,722	10,722	10,722
33	521301	BALLSTON SPA	11,233	11,797	11,797	11,797	11,797
34	401301	BARKER	13,293	12,895	12,895	12,895	12,895
35	180300	BATAVIA	12,503	12,293	12,293	12,293	12,293
36	570302	BATH	9,370	9,780	9,780	9,780	9,780
37	580501	BAY SHORE	15,048	15,357	15,357	15,357	15,357
38	580505	BAYPORT BLUE P	17,035	17,566	17,566	17,566	17,566
39	130200	BEACON	9,650	11,093	11,093	11,093	11,093
40	231301	BEAVER RIVER	10,065	9,873	9,873	9,873	9,873
41	660102	BEDFORD	19,852	20,556	20,556	20,556	20,556
42	90301	BEEKMANTOWN	11,410	11,708	11,708	11,708	11,708
43	20801	BELFAST	10,153	11,619	11,619	11,619	11,619
44	220909	BELLEVILLE-HEN	9,652	10,030	10,030	10,030	10,030
45	280207	BELLMORE	17,562	19,638	19,638	19,638	19,638
46	280253	BELLMORE-MERRI	13,037	13,764	13,764	13,764	13,764
47	61001	BEMUS POINT	10,726	11,810	11,810	11,810	11,810
48	490101	BERLIN	12,019	12,890	12,890	12,890	12,890
49	10201	BERNE KNOX	10,814	13,371	13,371	13,371	13,371
50	10306	BETHLEHEM	12,653	12,513	12,513	12,513	12,513
51	280521	BETHPAGE	17,066	17,350	17,350	17,350	17,350
52	30200	BINGHAMTON	9,820	10,220	10,220	10,220	10,220
53	661905	BLIND BROOK-RY	18,589	20,318	20,318	20,318	20,318
54	22902	BOLIVAR-RICHBG	11,418	10,885	10,885	10,885	10,885
55	630101	BOLTON	18,295	20,535	20,535	20,535	20,535
56	570401	BRADFORD	12,185	13,266	13,266	13,266	13,266
57	510101	BRASHER FALLS	9,507	10,172	10,172	10,172	10,172
58	580512	BRENTWOOD	12,950	13,483	13,483	13,483	13,483
59	480601	BREWSTER	15,649	16,808	16,808	16,808	16,808
60	661402	BRIARCLIFF MAN	20,102	22,861	22,861	22,861	22,861
61	580909	BRIDGEHAMPTON	51,579	53,545	53,545	53,545	53,545
62	260101	BRIGHTON	12,025	12,448	12,448	12,448	12,448
63	171102	BROADALBIN-PER	8,605	8,636	8,636	8,636	8,636
64	261801	BROCKPORT	10,222	10,745	10,745	10,745	10,745
65	62301	BROCTON	12,774	12,437	12,437	12,437	12,437
66	660303	BRONXVILLE	21,219	22,106	22,106	22,106	22,106
67	250109	BROOKFIELD	11,515	11,171	11,171	11,171	11,171
68	490202	BRUNSWICK CENT	10,274	10,501	10,501	10,501	10,501
69	161601	BRUSHTON MOIRA	10,152	11,409	11,409	11,409	11,409
70	140600	BUFFALO	10,429	12,005	12,005	12,005	12,005
71	520101	BURNT HILLS	10,562	10,168	10,168	10,168	10,168
72	661201	BYRAM HILLS	19,805	20,054	20,054	20,054	20,054
73	180701	BYRON BERGEN	10,098	11,347	11,347	11,347	11,347
74	190301	CAIRO-DURHAM	9,731	10,345	10,345	10,345	10,345
75	240201	CALEDONIA MUMF	9,239	10,218	10,218	10,218	10,218

Charter Funding Alphabetical By NYS School District

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
76	641610	CAMBRIDGE	10,634	12,350	12,350	12,350	12,350
77	410601	CAMDEN	9,386	10,655	10,655	10,655	10,655
78	570603	CAMPBELL-SAVON	9,702	10,531	10,531	10,531	10,531
79	270301	CANAJOHARIE	10,533	10,845	10,845	10,845	10,845
80	430300	CANANDAIGUA	10,181	10,828	10,828	10,828	10,828
81	21102	CANASERAGA	11,354	12,329	12,329	12,329	12,329
82	250901	CANASTOTA	9,135	9,837	9,837	9,837	9,837
83	600301	CANDOR	10,252	11,287	11,287	11,287	11,287
84	571502	CANISTEO-GREEN	11,986	13,797	13,797	13,797	13,797
85	510201	CANTON	11,114	11,252	11,252	11,252	11,252
86	280411	CARLE PLACE	19,902	20,187	20,187	20,187	20,187
87	480102	CARMEL	14,865	15,409	15,409	15,409	15,409
88	222201	CARTHAGE	8,911	8,472	8,472	8,472	8,472
89	60401	CASSADAGA VALL	10,976	11,359	11,359	11,359	11,359
90	50401	CATO MERIDIAN	9,412	10,653	10,653	10,653	10,653
91	190401	CATSKILL	11,424	14,130	14,130	14,130	14,130
92	42302	CATTARAUGUS-LI	11,536	11,368	11,368	11,368	11,368
93	250201	CAZENOVIA	9,996	10,613	10,613	10,613	10,613
94	580233	CENTER MORICHE	14,851	14,847	14,847	14,847	14,847
95	580513	CENTRAL ISLIP	18,571	19,614	19,614	19,614	19,614
96	460801	CENTRAL SQUARE	9,066	9,571	9,571	9,571	9,571
97	661004	CHAPPAQUA	18,326	19,041	19,041	19,041	19,041
98	120401	CHARLOTTE VALL	9,939	10,907	10,907	10,907	10,907
99	160801	CHATEAUGAY	9,502	10,879	10,879	10,879	10,879
100	101001	CHATHAM	12,277	12,977	12,977	12,977	12,977
101	60503	CHAUTAUQUA	14,330	14,457	14,457	14,457	14,457
102	90601	CHAZY	10,616	11,716	11,716	11,716	11,716
103	140701	CHEEKTOWAGA	9,733	10,235	10,235	10,235	10,235
104	30101	CHENANGO FORKS	10,119	10,503	10,503	10,503	10,503
105	30701	CHENANGO VALLE	10,906	10,670	10,670	10,670	10,670
106	472202	CHERRY VLY-SPR	12,632	12,674	12,674	12,674	12,674
107	440201	CHESTER	12,532	13,170	13,170	13,170	13,170
108	251601	CHITTENANGO	10,080	10,983	10,983	10,983	10,983
109	261501	CHURCHVILLE CH	9,496	10,122	10,122	10,122	10,122
110	110101	CINCINNATUS	12,195	12,691	12,691	12,691	12,691
111	140801	CLARENCE	8,747	9,001	9,001	9,001	9,001
112	500101	CLARKSTOWN	12,759	13,310	13,310	13,310	13,310
113	140703	CLEVELAND HILL	9,946	10,428	10,428	10,428	10,428
114	510401	CLIFTON FINE	18,407	17,107	17,107	17,107	17,107
115	411101	CLINTON	11,072	11,529	11,529	11,529	11,529
116	650301	CLYDE-SAVANNAH	11,351	13,326	13,326	13,326	13,326
117	60701	CLYMER	13,768	14,425	14,425	14,425	14,425
118	541102	COBLESKL-RICHM	10,568	10,802	10,802	10,802	10,802
119	10500	COHOES	11,070	11,791	11,791	11,791	11,791
120	580402	COLD SPRING HA	20,529	20,586	20,586	20,586	20,586
121	510501	COLTON PIERREP	16,949	18,381	18,381	18,381	18,381
122	580410	COMMACK	14,858	15,506	15,506	15,506	15,506
123	580203	COMSEWOGUE	12,594	13,498	13,498	13,498	13,498
124	580507	CONNETQUOT	14,373	15,947	15,947	15,947	15,947
125	471701	COOPERSTOWN	11,595	11,917	11,917	11,917	11,917
126	100501	COPAKE-TACONIC	13,043	13,622	13,622	13,622	13,622
127	230201	COPENHAGEN	9,038	9,935	9,935	9,935	9,935
128	580105	COPIAGUE	13,079	15,361	15,361	15,361	15,361
129	520401	CORINTH	10,020	10,919	10,919	10,919	10,919
130	571000	CORNING	10,255	10,637	10,637	10,637	10,637
131	440301	CORNWALL	11,413	11,262	11,262	11,262	11,262
132	110200	CORTLAND	9,778	10,142	10,142	10,142	10,142
133	190501	COXSACKIE ATHE	10,905	11,888	11,888	11,888	11,888
134	660202	CROTON HARMON	15,550	15,733	15,733	15,733	15,733
135	150203	CROWN POINT	14,203	15,675	15,675	15,675	15,675
136	22302	CUBA-RUSHFORD	10,889	12,488	12,488	12,488	12,488
137	241101	DALTON-NUNDA	11,704	13,107	13,107	13,107	13,107
138	241001	DANSVILLE	9,525	10,313	10,313	10,313	10,313
139	250301	DE RUYTER	12,001	13,069	13,069	13,069	13,069
140	580107	DEER PARK	15,380	15,685	15,685	15,685	15,685
141	120501	DELHI	12,859	13,930	13,930	13,930	13,930
142	140707	DEPEW	10,741	10,409	10,409	10,409	10,409
143	31301	DEPOSIT	14,020	14,304	14,304	14,304	14,304
144	660403	DOBBS FERRY	18,231	18,927	18,927	18,927	18,927
145	211003	DOLGEVILLE	9,576	10,527	10,527	10,527	10,527
146	130502	DOVER	10,589	11,245	11,245	11,245	11,245
147	120301	DOWNSVILLE	16,110	17,143	17,143	17,143	17,143
148	610301	DRYDEN	10,200	11,012	11,012	11,012	11,012
149	530101	DUANESBURG	9,259	9,234	9,234	9,234	9,234
150	680801	DUNDEE	9,390	9,998	9,998	9,998	9,998

Charter Funding Alphabetical By NYS School District

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
151	60800	DUNKIRK	12,054	12,985	12,985	12,985	12,985
152	420401	E SYRACUSE-MIN	12,805	13,674	13,674	13,674	13,674
153	260801	E. IRONDEQUOIT	10,006	11,557	11,557	11,557	11,557
154	140301	EAST AURORA	9,978	10,205	10,205	10,205	10,205
155	430501	EAST BLOOMFIEL	10,213	11,117	11,117	11,117	11,117
156	490301	EAST GREENBUSH	11,464	11,659	11,659	11,659	11,659
157	580301	EAST HAMPTON	21,330	23,729	23,729	23,729	23,729
158	580503	EAST ISLIP	13,735	14,659	14,659	14,659	14,659
159	280203	EAST MEADOW	15,631	15,722	15,722	15,722	15,722
160	580234	EAST MORICHES	15,102	17,372	17,372	17,372	17,372
161	580917	EAST QUOGUE	21,071	22,116	22,116	22,116	22,116
162	500402	EAST RAMAPO	16,326	16,555	16,555	16,555	16,555
163	261313	EAST ROCHESTER	12,418	12,585	12,585	12,585	12,585
164	280219	EAST ROCKAWAY	16,680	18,383	18,383	18,383	18,383
165	280402	EAST WILLISTON	19,814	20,784	20,784	20,784	20,784
166	660301	EASTCHESTER	17,035	17,832	17,832	17,832	17,832
167	580912	EASTPORT-SOUTH	12,376	13,276	13,276	13,276	13,276
168	141201	EDEN	8,752	9,674	9,674	9,674	9,674
169	660406	EDGEMONT	16,226	17,814	17,814	17,814	17,814
170	520601	EDINBURG	28,077	20,896	20,896	20,896	20,896
171	470501	EDMESTON	9,031	11,243	11,243	11,243	11,243
172	513102	EDWARDS-KNOX	10,001	10,536	10,536	10,536	10,536
173	180901	ELBA	10,830	11,423	11,423	11,423	11,423
174	590801	ELDRED	13,588	13,570	13,570	13,570	13,570
175	150301	ELIZABETHTOWN	13,228	13,358	13,358	13,358	13,358
176	622002	ELLENVILLE	15,427	15,150	15,150	15,150	15,150
177	40901	ELLICOTTVILLE	10,206	11,491	11,491	11,491	11,491
178	70600	ELMIRA	9,965	11,012	11,012	11,012	11,012
179	70902	ELMIRA HEIGHTS	9,761	10,136	10,136	10,136	10,136
180	280216	ELMONT	13,210	14,397	14,397	14,397	14,397
181	660409	ELMSFORD	21,033	22,211	22,211	22,211	22,211
182	580401	ELWOOD	14,427	14,773	14,773	14,773	14,773
183	141401	EVANS-BRANT	11,083	11,618	11,618	11,618	11,618
184	420601	FABIUS-POMPEY	11,565	12,479	12,479	12,479	12,479
185	261301	FAIRPORT	10,220	10,647	10,647	10,647	10,647
186	61101	FALCONER	8,694	9,522	9,522	9,522	9,522
187	590501	FALLSBURGH	16,265	19,402	19,402	19,402	19,402
188	280522	FARMINGDALE	15,641	16,831	16,831	16,831	16,831
189	421001	FAYETTEVILLE	10,267	10,653	10,653	10,653	10,653
190	22001	FILLMORE	8,668	9,156	9,156	9,156	9,156
191	580514	FIRE ISLAND	105,135	107,803	107,803	107,803	107,803
192	581004	FISHERS ISLAND	37,296	42,471	42,471	42,471	42,471
193	280222	FLORAL PARK	12,852	14,937	14,937	14,937	14,937
194	442115	FLORIDA	13,088	14,007	14,007	14,007	14,007
195	270601	FONDA FULTONVI	10,509	11,108	11,108	11,108	11,108
196	61503	FORESTVILLE	10,133	10,484	10,484	10,484	10,484
197	640502	FORT ANN	13,187	13,847	13,847	13,847	13,847
198	640601	FORT EDWARD	11,926	11,493	11,493	11,493	11,493
199	270701	FORT PLAIN	10,723	12,841	12,841	12,841	12,841
200	210402	FRANKFORT-SCHU	9,084	9,210	9,210	9,210	9,210
201	120701	FRANKLIN	12,786	13,106	13,106	13,106	13,106
202	280217	FRANKLIN SQUAR	13,288	13,412	13,412	13,412	13,412
203	41101	FRANKLINVILLE	10,696	11,351	11,351	11,351	11,351
204	62201	FREDONIA	11,242	12,007	12,007	12,007	12,007
205	280209	FREEPORT	14,902	15,703	15,703	15,703	15,703
206	60301	FREWSBURG	8,965	10,206	10,206	10,206	10,206
207	21601	FRIENDSHIP	11,948	12,385	12,385	12,385	12,385
208	141604	FRONTIER	8,271	8,759	8,759	8,759	8,759
209	460500	FULTON	10,413	11,616	11,616	11,616	11,616
210	520701	GALWAY	9,042	10,157	10,157	10,157	10,157
211	650902	GANANDA	9,476	9,757	9,757	9,757	9,757
212	280218	GARDEN CITY	16,464	17,620	17,620	17,620	17,620
213	480404	GARRISON	20,327	21,713	21,713	21,713	21,713
214	260401	GATES CHILI	11,150	12,359	12,359	12,359	12,359
215	220401	GENERAL BROWN	8,410	8,580	8,580	8,580	8,580
216	20702	GENESEE VALLEY	9,686	11,013	11,013	11,013	11,013
217	240401	GENESEO	10,819	12,257	12,257	12,257	12,257
218	430700	GENEVA	10,458	12,688	12,688	12,688	12,688
219	100902	GERMANTOWN	12,770	14,614	14,614	14,614	14,614
220	540801	GILBOA CONESVI	13,436	15,707	15,707	15,707	15,707
221	470202	GLBTSVILLE-MT U	9,683	11,319	11,319	11,319	11,319
222	280100	GLEN COVE	17,909	18,185	18,185	18,185	18,185
223	630300	GLENS FALLS	10,923	11,469	11,469	11,469	11,469
224	630918	GLENS FALLS CO	14,736	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
225	170500	GLOVERSVILLE	9,121	9,865	9,865	9,865	9,865

Charter Funding Alphabetical By NYS School District

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
226	430901	GORHAM-MIDDLES	11,367	11,872	11,872	11,872	11,872
227	440601	GOSHEN	12,560	12,773	12,773	12,773	12,773
228	511101	GOUVERNEUR	8,945	10,255	10,255	10,255	10,255
229	42801	GOWANDA	10,020	11,326	11,326	11,326	11,326
230	141501	GRAND ISLAND	9,823	9,915	9,915	9,915	9,915
231	640701	GRANVILLE	10,678	10,360	10,360	10,360	10,360
232	280407	GREAT NECK	21,183	22,468	22,468	22,468	22,468
233	260501	GREECE	10,422	10,979	10,979	10,979	10,979
234	10701	GREEN ISLAND	10,997	12,662	12,662	12,662	12,662
235	660407	GREENBURGH	20,451	22,343	22,343	22,343	22,343
236	80601	GREENE	9,598	10,565	10,565	10,565	10,565
237	581010	GREENPORT	14,945	16,700	16,700	16,700	16,700
238	190701	GREENVILLE	11,269	13,454	13,454	13,454	13,454
239	640801	GREENWICH	11,995	12,131	12,131	12,131	12,131
240	442111	GREENWOOD LAKE	15,132	18,311	18,311	18,311	18,311
241	81401	GRGETWN-SO OTS	11,700	12,871	12,871	12,871	12,871
242	610501	GROTON	9,486	10,406	10,406	10,406	10,406
243	10802	GUILDERLAND	10,712	11,354	11,354	11,354	11,354
244	630801	HADLEY LUZERNE	11,969	13,745	13,745	13,745	13,745
245	480401	HALDANE	14,783	16,487	16,487	16,487	16,487
246	580405	HALF HOLLOW HI	14,381	14,896	14,896	14,896	14,896
247	141601	HAMBURG	9,519	9,716	9,716	9,716	9,716
248	250701	HAMILTON	12,465	12,897	12,897	12,897	12,897
249	511201	HAMMOND	11,478	12,727	12,727	12,727	12,727
250	572901	HAMMONDSPORT	14,515	14,766	14,766	14,766	14,766
251	580905	HAMPTON BAYS	14,438	16,093	16,093	16,093	16,093
252	120906	HANCOCK	13,854	14,267	14,267	14,267	14,267
253	460701	HANNIBAL	9,278	10,178	10,178	10,178	10,178
254	580406	HARBORFIELDS	13,134	13,773	13,773	13,773	13,773
255	30501	HARPURVILLE	9,718	9,887	9,887	9,887	9,887
256	660501	HARRISON	20,737	23,457	23,457	23,457	23,457
257	230301	HARRISVILLE	11,794	12,372	12,372	12,372	12,372
258	641001	HARTFORD	11,389	12,205	12,205	12,205	12,205
259	660404	HASTINGS ON HU	17,463	19,404	19,404	19,404	19,404
260	580506	HAUPPAUGE	15,992	16,435	16,435	16,435	16,435
261	500201	HAVERSTRAW-ST	15,854	17,121	17,121	17,121	17,121
262	280201	HEMPSTEAD	17,356	18,202	18,202	18,202	18,202
263	660203	HENDRICK HUDSO	16,618	18,174	18,174	18,174	18,174
264	210601	HERKIMER	9,185	9,525	9,525	9,525	9,525
265	511301	HERMON DEKALB	13,114	12,646	12,646	12,646	12,646
266	280409	HERRICKS	16,522	17,029	17,029	17,029	17,029
267	512404	HEUVELTON	11,007	10,704	10,704	10,704	10,704
268	280214	HEWLETT WOODME	20,329	22,408	22,408	22,408	22,408
269	280517	HICKSVILLE	14,580	14,942	14,942	14,942	14,942
270	620803	HIGHLAND	11,914	12,457	12,457	12,457	12,457
271	440901	HIGHLAND FALLS	13,618	14,583	14,583	14,583	14,583
272	261101	HILTON	10,019	10,200	10,200	10,200	10,200
273	41401	HINSDALE	10,640	9,952	9,952	9,952	9,952
274	141701	HOLLAND	9,966	11,032	11,032	11,032	11,032
275	412201	HOLLAND PATENT	10,071	10,388	10,388	10,388	10,388
276	450704	HOLLEY	9,844	10,275	10,275	10,275	10,275
277	110701	HOMER	10,182	11,092	11,092	11,092	11,092
278	431401	HONEOYE	10,487	12,141	12,141	12,141	12,141
279	260901	HONEOYE FALLS	10,103	10,435	10,435	10,435	10,435
280	491401	HOOSIC VALLEY	9,733	10,338	10,338	10,338	10,338
281	490501	HOOSICK FALLS	10,071	11,782	11,782	11,782	11,782
282	571800	HORNELL	10,088	9,858	9,858	9,858	9,858
283	70901	HORSEHEADS	9,466	10,188	10,188	10,188	10,188
284	101300	HUDSON	11,982	13,222	13,222	13,222	13,222
285	641301	HUDSON FALLS	9,322	10,021	10,021	10,021	10,021
286	190901	HUNTER TANNERS	14,001	16,482	16,482	16,482	16,482
287	580403	HUNTINGTON	16,922	17,512	17,512	17,512	17,512
288	130801	HYDE PARK	10,965	12,055	12,055	12,055	12,055
289	210501	ILION	8,025	8,450	8,450	8,450	8,450
290	200401	INDIAN LAKE	22,268	24,054	24,054	24,054	24,054
291	220301	INDIAN RIVER	8,380	6,996	6,996	6,996	6,996
292	200501	INLET	26,736	26,047	26,047	26,047	26,047
293	141301	IROQUOIS	9,111	9,751	9,751	9,751	9,751
294	660402	IRVINGTON	18,320	20,150	20,150	20,150	20,150
295	280231	ISLAND PARK	27,655	27,985	27,985	27,985	27,985
296	280226	ISLAND TREES	14,337	15,505	15,505	15,505	15,505
297	580502	ISLIP	12,916	14,288	14,288	14,288	14,288
298	610600	ITHACA	12,113	12,670	12,670	12,670	12,670
299	61700	JAMESTOWN	10,157	10,164	10,164	10,164	10,164
300	420411	JAMESVILLE-DEW	10,805	10,944	10,944	10,944	10,944

Charter Funding Alphabetical By NYS School District

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
301	572702	JASPER-TRPSBRG	9,625	10,590	10,590	10,590	10,590
302	540901	JEFFERSON	11,905	12,445	12,445	12,445	12,445
303	280515	JERICHO	22,601	23,911	23,911	23,911	23,911
304	630601	JOHNSBURG	16,769	18,365	18,365	18,365	18,365
305	31502	JOHNSON CITY	11,182	12,066	12,066	12,066	12,066
306	170600	JOHNSTOWN	9,818	10,408	10,408	10,408	10,408
307	420501	JORDAN ELBRIDG	10,342	11,623	11,623	11,623	11,623
308	660101	KATONAH LEWISB	18,574	20,507	20,507	20,507	20,507
309	150601	KEENE	18,529	19,661	19,661	19,661	19,661
310	450607	KENDALL	9,917	12,590	12,590	12,590	12,590
311	142601	KENMORE	10,412	9,477	9,477	9,477	9,477
312	101401	KINDERHOOK	10,114	11,239	11,239	11,239	11,239
313	580805	KINGS PARK	13,065	13,928	13,928	13,928	13,928
314	620600	KINGSTON	12,731	14,461	14,461	14,461	14,461
315	441202	KIRYAS JOEL	25,769	36,930	36,930	36,930	36,930
316	221401	LA FARGEVILLE	10,039	9,458	9,458	9,458	9,458
317	420807	LA FAYETTE	15,526	15,766	15,766	15,766	15,766
318	141800	LACKAWANNA	10,833	11,969	11,969	11,969	11,969
319	630701	LAKE GEORGE	12,636	12,521	12,521	12,521	12,521
320	151102	LAKE PLACID	13,620	14,942	14,942	14,942	14,942
321	200601	LAKE PLEASANT	28,900	25,331	25,331	25,331	25,331
322	662401	LAKELAND	14,318	15,009	15,009	15,009	15,009
323	141901	LANCASTER	7,973	8,442	8,442	8,442	8,442
324	610801	LANSING	12,850	10,855	10,855	10,855	10,855
325	490601	LANSINGBURGH	8,509	9,352	9,352	9,352	9,352
326	470801	LAURENS	10,185	10,634	10,634	10,634	10,634
327	280215	LAWRENCE	20,562	23,002	23,002	23,002	23,002
328	181001	LE ROY	9,837	10,790	10,790	10,790	10,790
329	670401	LETCHWORTH	10,945	11,337	11,337	11,337	11,337
330	280205	LEVITTOWN	15,793	17,280	17,280	17,280	17,280
331	400301	LEWISTON PORTE	12,236	12,229	12,229	12,229	12,229
332	590901	LIBERTY	15,551	17,477	17,477	17,477	17,477
333	580104	LINDENHURST	13,409	14,253	14,253	14,253	14,253
334	511602	LISBON	11,867	12,322	12,322	12,322	12,322
335	210800	LITTLE FALLS	10,818	11,471	11,471	11,471	11,471
336	421501	LIVERPOOL	11,946	12,529	12,529	12,529	12,529
337	591302	LIVINGSTON MAN	14,627	16,085	16,085	16,085	16,085
338	240801	LIVONIA	10,725	11,356	11,356	11,356	11,356
339	400400	LOCKPORT	10,220	9,915	9,915	9,915	9,915
340	280503	LOCUST VALLEY	22,104	22,507	22,507	22,507	22,507
341	280300	LONG BEACH	19,842	22,348	22,348	22,348	22,348
342	200701	LONG LAKE	37,270	42,198	42,198	42,198	42,198
343	580212	LONGWOOD	13,845	14,380	14,380	14,380	14,380
344	230901	LOWVILLE	8,403	9,603	9,603	9,603	9,603
345	221301	LYME	10,382	13,021	13,021	13,021	13,021
346	280220	LYNBROOK	16,514	17,680	17,680	17,680	17,680
347	421504	LYNCOURT	13,521	15,499	15,499	15,499	15,499
348	451001	LYNDONVILLE	9,930	10,519	10,519	10,519	10,519
349	650501	LYONS	9,951	10,690	10,690	10,690	10,690
350	251101	MADISON	10,976	10,738	10,738	10,738	10,738
351	511901	MADRID WADDING	10,883	10,491	10,491	10,491	10,491
352	480101	MAHOPAC	13,264	13,925	13,925	13,925	13,925
353	31101	MAINE ENDWELL	11,002	10,197	10,197	10,197	10,197
354	161501	MALONE	10,134	10,520	10,520	10,520	10,520
355	280212	MALVERNE	16,487	19,705	19,705	19,705	19,705
356	660701	MAMARONECK	16,404	18,416	18,416	18,416	18,416
357	431101	MANCHSTR-SHRTS	10,485	10,420	10,420	10,420	10,420
358	280406	MANHASSET	20,254	21,235	21,235	21,235	21,235
359	110901	MARATHON	7,853	11,911	11,911	11,911	11,911
360	421101	MARCELLUS	8,871	9,775	9,775	9,775	9,775
361	121401	MARGARETVILLE	12,416	13,376	13,376	13,376	13,376
362	650701	MARION	10,933	11,418	11,418	11,418	11,418
363	621001	MARLBORO	14,591	15,512	15,512	15,512	15,512
364	140702	MARYVALE	10,025	10,433	10,433	10,433	10,433
365	280523	MASSAPEQUA	15,232	16,052	16,052	16,052	16,052
366	512001	MASSENA	9,131	10,197	10,197	10,197	10,197
367	581012	MATTITUCK-CUTC	15,260	15,967	15,967	15,967	15,967
368	170801	MAYFIELD	8,827	10,094	10,094	10,094	10,094
369	110304	MCGRAW	11,222	11,248	11,248	11,248	11,248
370	521200	MECHANICVILLE	9,597	10,280	10,280	10,280	10,280
371	450801	MEDINA	10,525	10,827	10,827	10,827	10,827
372	10615	MENANDS	16,582	15,870	15,870	15,870	15,870
373	280225	MERRICK	16,693	17,936	17,936	17,936	17,936
374	460901	MEXICO	10,521	11,702	11,702	11,702	11,702
375	580211	MIDDLE COUNTRY	12,109	13,119	13,119	13,119	13,119

Charter Funding Alphabetical By NYS School District

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
376	541001	MIDDLEBURGH	13,341	12,453	12,453	12,453	12,453
377	441000	MIDDLETOWN	11,355	12,759	12,759	12,759	12,759
378	471101	MILFORD	11,422	12,217	12,217	12,217	12,217
379	132201	MILLBROOK	11,769	12,902	12,902	12,902	12,902
380	580208	MILLER PLACE	14,009	12,922	12,922	12,922	12,922
381	280410	MINEOLA	22,566	23,709	23,709	23,709	23,709
382	150801	MINERVA	27,490	25,637	25,637	25,637	25,637
383	441101	MINISINK VALLE	10,099	10,552	10,552	10,552	10,552
384	210502	MOHAWK	9,642	10,758	10,758	10,758	10,758
385	530515	MOHONASEN	8,047	8,989	8,989	8,989	8,989
386	441201	MONROE WOODBUR	12,538	13,089	13,089	13,089	13,089
387	580306	MONTAUK	23,842	31,259	31,259	31,259	31,259
388	591401	MONTICELLO	12,084	14,106	14,106	14,106	14,106
389	513101	MORAVIA	9,940	10,202	10,202	10,202	10,202
390	150901	MORIAH	10,922	11,855	11,855	11,855	11,855
391	471201	MORRIS	9,185	10,436	10,436	10,436	10,436
392	512101	MORRISTOWN	13,150	13,680	13,680	13,680	13,680
393	250401	MORRISVILLE EA	11,015	12,114	12,114	12,114	12,114
394	240901	MOUNT MORRIS	11,101	12,120	12,120	12,120	12,120
395	580207	MOUNT SINAI	14,326	14,841	14,841	14,841	14,841
396	660900	MOUNT VERNON	15,367	16,794	16,794	16,794	16,794
397	212001	MT MARKHAM CSD	10,836	11,231	11,231	11,231	11,231
398	660801	MT PLEAS CENT	18,168	18,590	18,590	18,590	18,590
399	651501	N. ROSE-WOLCOT	11,430	12,030	12,030	12,030	12,030
400	400900	N. TONAWANDA	9,630	10,593	10,593	10,593	10,593
401	500108	NANUET	17,763	18,531	18,531	18,531	18,531
402	431201	NAPLES	13,488	13,135	13,135	13,135	13,135
403	411501	NEW HARTFORD	11,712	11,431	11,431	11,431	11,431
404	280405	NEW HYDE PARK	13,585	14,771	14,771	14,771	14,771
405	101601	NEW LEBANON	13,441	15,792	15,792	15,792	15,792
406	621101	NEW PALTZ	12,290	13,284	13,284	13,284	13,284
407	661100	NEW ROCHELLE	15,491	16,138	16,138	16,138	16,138
408	581015	NEW SUFFOLK	19,419	15,281	15,281	15,281	15,281
409	300000	NEW YORK CITY	12,443	13,527	13,527	13,527	13,527
410	411504	NEW YORK MILLS	12,248	11,834	11,834	11,834	11,834
411	650101	NEWARK	9,934	11,100	11,100	11,100	11,100
412	600402	NEWARK VALLEY	10,412	10,287	10,287	10,287	10,287
413	441600	NEWBURGH	12,738	14,796	14,796	14,796	14,796
414	151001	NEWCOMB	51,675	43,580	43,580	43,580	43,580
415	400601	NEWFANE	9,476	10,086	10,086	10,086	10,086
416	610901	NEWFIELD	8,923	9,832	9,832	9,832	9,832
417	400800	NIAGARA FALLS	10,015	10,925	10,925	10,925	10,925
418	400701	NIAGARA WHEATF	9,672	10,443	10,443	10,443	10,443
419	530301	NISKAYUNA	11,408	11,790	11,790	11,790	11,790
420	580103	NORTH BABYLON	13,353	14,290	14,290	14,290	14,290
421	280204	NORTH BELLMORE	15,419	17,493	17,493	17,493	17,493
422	142201	NORTH COLLINS	10,361	13,023	13,023	13,023	13,023
423	10623	NORTH COLONIE	10,541	10,708	10,708	10,708	10,708
424	490801	NORTH GREENBUSH	10,735	11,741	11,741	11,741	11,741
425	280229	NORTH MERRICK	16,678	17,825	17,825	17,825	17,825
426	661301	NORTH SALEM	20,267	20,280	20,280	20,280	20,280
427	280501	NORTH SHORE	20,288	23,323	23,323	23,323	23,323
428	420303	NORTH SYRACUSE	9,332	10,709	10,709	10,709	10,709
429	630202	NORTH WARREN	15,288	15,475	15,475	15,475	15,475
430	131101	NORTHEAST	15,151	15,342	15,342	15,342	15,342
431	90501	NORTHEASTERN	9,825	11,543	11,543	11,543	11,543
432	580404	NORTHPORT	16,459	18,008	18,008	18,008	18,008
433	90901	NORTHRN ADIRON	10,706	12,594	12,594	12,594	12,594
434	170901	NORTHVILLE	12,396	13,440	13,440	13,440	13,440
435	81200	NORWICH	9,089	9,956	9,956	9,956	9,956
436	512201	NORWOOD NORFOL	10,085	10,652	10,652	10,652	10,652
437	500304	NYACK	17,016	18,240	18,240	18,240	18,240
438	181101	OAKFIELD ALABA	10,716	9,994	9,994	9,994	9,994
439	280211	OCEANSIDE	15,026	16,067	16,067	16,067	16,067
440	550101	ODESSA MONTOUR	9,698	11,363	11,363	11,363	11,363
441	512300	OGDENSBURG	13,053	13,953	13,953	13,953	13,953
442	42400	OLEAN	9,933	10,976	10,976	10,976	10,976
443	251400	ONEIDA CITY	10,485	11,079	11,079	11,079	11,079
444	471400	ONEONTA	10,915	11,790	11,790	11,790	11,790
445	421201	ONONDAGA	10,459	12,132	12,132	12,132	12,132
446	621201	ONTEORA	15,687	18,571	18,571	18,571	18,571
447	171001	OPPENHEIM EPHR	13,504	13,229	13,229	13,229	13,229
448	142301	ORCHARD PARK	10,198	10,523	10,523	10,523	10,523
449	412901	ORISKANY	10,625	10,992	10,992	10,992	10,992
450	661401	OSSINING	16,981	18,296	18,296	18,296	18,296

Charter Funding Alphabetical By NYS School District

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
451	461300	OSWEGO	12,105	12,270	12,270	12,270	12,270
452	471601	OTEGO-UNADILLA	10,367	11,481	11,481	11,481	11,481
453	600601	OWEGO-APALACHI	10,347	11,524	11,524	11,524	11,524
454	81501	OXFORD	11,678	11,858	11,858	11,858	11,858
455	280506	OYSTER BAY	22,633	22,533	22,533	22,533	22,533
456	581002	OYSTERPONDS	30,893	32,931	32,931	32,931	32,931
457	650901	PALMYRA-MACEDO	10,787	10,655	10,655	10,655	10,655
458	61601	PANAMA	10,861	11,826	11,826	11,826	11,826
459	512501	PARISHVILLE	9,890	10,609	10,609	10,609	10,609
460	580224	PATCHOGUE-MEDF	12,251	12,814	12,814	12,814	12,814
461	181201	PAVILION	10,273	10,682	10,682	10,682	10,682
462	131201	PAWLING	14,556	15,571	15,571	15,571	15,571
463	500308	PEARL RIVER	14,413	14,858	14,858	14,858	14,858
464	661500	PEEKSKILL	16,068	16,430	16,430	16,430	16,430
465	661601	PELHAM	15,317	16,187	16,187	16,187	16,187
466	181302	PEMBROKE	9,919	11,828	11,828	11,828	11,828
467	261201	PENFIELD	12,155	12,346	12,346	12,346	12,346
468	680601	PENN YAN	10,287	11,554	11,554	11,554	11,554
469	671201	PERRY	10,672	11,041	11,041	11,041	11,041
470	91101	PERU	11,126	11,849	11,849	11,849	11,849
471	431301	PHELPS-CLIFTON	10,623	11,376	11,376	11,376	11,376
472	462001	PHOENIX	11,107	12,226	12,226	12,226	12,226
473	440401	PINE BUSH	10,576	11,570	11,570	11,570	11,570
474	131301	PINE PLAINS	12,380	14,565	14,565	14,565	14,565
475	60601	PINE VALLEY	11,072	11,589	11,589	11,589	11,589
476	200101	PISECO	26,736	26,047	26,047	26,047	26,047
477	261401	PITTSFORD	12,644	12,722	12,722	12,722	12,722
478	280518	PLAINEDGE	13,977	15,704	15,704	15,704	15,704
479	280504	PLAINVIEW	16,964	17,942	17,942	17,942	17,942
480	91200	PLATTSBURGH	12,526	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604
481	660809	PLEASANTVILLE	15,490	16,558	16,558	16,558	16,558
482	660802	POCANTICO HILL	36,989	46,333	46,333	46,333	46,333
483	211103	POLAND	10,558	11,690	11,690	11,690	11,690
484	51101	PORT BYRON	10,138	10,865	10,865	10,865	10,865
485	661904	PORT CHESTER	12,674	13,392	13,392	13,392	13,392
486	580206	PORT JEFFERSON	21,369	21,199	21,199	21,199	21,199
487	441800	PORT JERVIS	11,776	11,904	11,904	11,904	11,904
488	280404	PORT WASHINGTO	19,475	20,028	20,028	20,028	20,028
489	42901	PORTVILLE	9,726	10,058	10,058	10,058	10,058
490	512902	POTSDAM	10,840	11,390	11,390	11,390	11,390
491	131500	POUGHKEEPSIE	11,195	12,524	12,524	12,524	12,524
492	572301	PRATTSBURG	9,983	10,626	10,626	10,626	10,626
493	461801	PULASKI	10,270	11,580	11,580	11,580	11,580
494	641401	PUTNAM	23,086	23,966	23,966	23,966	23,966
495	480503	PUTNAM VALLEY	16,294	16,888	16,888	16,888	16,888
496	630902	QUEENSBURY	8,801	9,538	9,538	9,538	9,538
497	580903	QUOGUE	38,866	46,923	46,923	46,923	46,923
498	500401	RAMAPO	17,571	16,919	16,919	16,919	16,919
499	43001	RANDOLPH	9,806	10,720	10,720	10,720	10,720
500	10402	RAVENA COEYMAN	11,936	13,289	13,289	13,289	13,289
501	651503	RED CREEK	9,664	10,916	10,916	10,916	10,916
502	131701	RED HOOK	12,091	13,202	13,202	13,202	13,202
503	411701	REMSEN	13,154	14,798	14,798	14,798	14,798
504	580901	REMSENBURG	29,102	36,414	36,414	36,414	36,414
505	491200	RENSSELAER	10,996	8,884	8,884	8,884	8,884
506	131801	RHINEBECK	14,204	16,681	16,681	16,681	16,681
507	472001	RICHFIELD SPRI	10,271	10,916	10,916	10,916	10,916
508	62401	RIPLEY	13,456	15,941	15,941	15,941	15,941
509	580602	RIVERHEAD	15,743	16,076	16,076	16,076	16,076
510	261600	ROCHESTER	10,868	12,090	12,090	12,090	12,090
511	280221	ROCKVILLE CENT	17,397	18,767	18,767	18,767	18,767
512	580209	ROCKY POINT	12,271	12,814	12,814	12,814	12,814
513	411800	ROME	10,778	11,413	11,413	11,413	11,413
514	560603	ROMULUS	11,830	14,567	14,567	14,567	14,567
515	620901	RONDOT VALLEY	15,569	17,589	17,589	17,589	17,589
516	280208	ROOSEVELT	16,845	16,925	16,925	16,925	16,925
517	591301	ROSCOE	17,289	17,826	17,826	17,826	17,826
518	280403	ROSLYN	20,081	20,898	20,898	20,898	20,898
519	121502	ROXBURY	15,068	16,963	16,963	16,963	16,963
520	401201	ROYALTON HARTL	9,683	10,207	10,207	10,207	10,207
521	261701	RUSH HENRIETTA	11,738	12,330	12,330	12,330	12,330
522	661800	RYE	18,846	18,917	18,917	18,917	18,917
523	661901	RYE NECK	18,168	18,357	18,357	18,357	18,357
524	521401	S. GLENS FALLS	9,844	10,667	10,667	10,667	10,667
525	580413	S. HUNTINGTON	15,252	15,758	15,758	15,758	15,758

Charter Funding Alphabetical By NYS School District

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
526	220101	S. JEFFERSON	8,069	9,183	9,183	9,183	9,183
527	121702	S. KORTRIGHT	13,857	13,381	13,381	13,381	13,381
528	500301	S. ORANGETOWN	14,729	15,503	15,503	15,503	15,503
529	580205	SACHEM	13,313	13,251	13,251	13,251	13,251
530	221001	SACKETS HARBOR	10,726	10,104	10,104	10,104	10,104
531	580305	SAG HARBOR	23,814	24,725	24,725	24,725	24,725
532	580910	SAGAPONACK	19,419	15,281	15,281	15,281	15,281
533	43200	SALAMANCA	11,211	11,582	11,582	11,582	11,582
534	641501	SALEM	11,650	13,082	13,082	13,082	13,082
535	161201	SALMON RIVER	11,610	13,576	13,576	13,576	13,576
536	461901	SANDY CREEK	10,747	12,911	12,911	12,911	12,911
537	91402	SARANAC	9,535	11,333	11,333	11,333	11,333
538	161401	SARANAC LAKE	13,140	13,999	13,999	13,999	13,999
539	521800	SARATOGA SPRIN	10,496	10,532	10,532	10,532	10,532
540	621601	SAUGERTIES	11,303	11,822	11,822	11,822	11,822
541	411603	SAUQUOIT VALLE	10,057	10,956	10,956	10,956	10,956
542	580504	SAYVILLE	15,751	16,460	16,460	16,460	16,460
543	662001	SCARSDALE	20,819	22,148	22,148	22,148	22,148
544	530501	SCHALMONT	12,830	13,862	13,862	13,862	13,862
545	530600	SCHENECTADY	10,272	12,015	12,015	12,015	12,015
546	470901	SCHENEVUS	11,100	12,566	12,566	12,566	12,566
547	491501	SCHODACK	11,674	12,169	12,169	12,169	12,169
548	541201	SCHOHARIE	10,626	12,147	12,147	12,147	12,147
549	151401	SCHROON LAKE	16,058	15,368	15,368	15,368	15,368
550	521701	SCHUYLERVILLE	11,600	11,472	11,472	11,472	11,472
551	22401	SCIO	12,133	11,968	11,968	11,968	11,968
552	530202	SCOTIA GLENVIL	10,245	11,013	11,013	11,013	11,013
553	280206	SEAFORD	14,547	15,660	15,660	15,660	15,660
554	560701	SENECA FALLS	10,676	11,016	11,016	11,016	11,016
555	280252	SEWANHAKA	12,243	12,522	12,522	12,522	12,522
556	541401	SHARON SPRINGS	12,510	14,154	14,154	14,154	14,154
557	580701	SHELTER ISLAND	25,456	28,013	28,013	28,013	28,013
558	520302	SHENENDEHOWA	10,502	10,919	10,919	10,919	10,919
559	82001	SHERBURNE EARL	9,264	10,712	10,712	10,712	10,712
560	62601	SHERMAN	10,611	10,196	10,196	10,196	10,196
561	412000	SHERRILL	9,667	9,651	9,651	9,651	9,651
562	580601	SHOREHAM-WADIN	14,471	15,726	15,726	15,726	15,726
563	121601	SIDNEY	11,217	11,637	11,637	11,637	11,637
564	61501	SILVER CREEK	10,079	11,223	11,223	11,223	11,223
565	421601	SKANEATELES	12,010	12,337	12,337	12,337	12,337
566	140709	SLOAN	10,860	11,946	11,946	11,946	11,946
567	580801	SMITHTOWN	13,272	13,969	13,969	13,969	13,969
568	651201	SODUS	12,258	12,623	12,623	12,623	12,623
569	420702	SOLVAY	10,721	11,760	11,760	11,760	11,760
570	662101	SOMERS	15,818	16,825	16,825	16,825	16,825
571	10601	SOUTH COLONIE	11,422	12,137	12,137	12,137	12,137
572	580235	SOUTH COUNTRY	15,404	15,951	15,951	15,951	15,951
573	231101	SOUTH LEWIS	11,777	13,513	13,513	13,513	13,513
574	560501	SOUTH SENECA	12,642	13,574	13,574	13,574	13,574
575	580906	SOUTHAMPTON	24,096	23,414	23,414	23,414	23,414
576	50701	SOUTHERN CAYUG	12,338	13,419	13,419	13,419	13,419
577	581005	SOUTHOLD	15,431	17,400	17,400	17,400	17,400
578	60201	SOUTHWESTERN	9,691	10,202	10,202	10,202	10,202
579	131602	SPACKENKILL	14,417	16,018	16,018	16,018	16,018
580	600801	SPENCER VAN ET	8,771	10,808	10,808	10,808	10,808
581	261001	SPENCERPORT	10,259	10,533	10,533	10,533	10,533
582	580304	SPRINGS	19,627	21,775	21,775	21,775	21,775
583	141101	SPRINGVILLE-GR	10,053	11,347	11,347	11,347	11,347
584	271102	ST JOHNSVILLE	10,561	11,910	11,910	11,910	11,910
585	161801	ST REGIS FALLS	11,664	13,886	13,886	13,886	13,886
586	121701	STAMFORD	11,560	13,915	13,915	13,915	13,915
587	401001	STARPOINT	9,058	9,789	9,789	9,789	9,789
588	522001	STILLWATER	8,971	9,269	9,269	9,269	9,269
589	251501	STOCKBRIDGE VA	9,838	10,638	10,638	10,638	10,638
590	591502	SULLIVAN WEST	14,012	15,008	15,008	15,008	15,008
591	30601	SUSQUEHANNA VA	11,919	12,156	12,156	12,156	12,156
592	140207	SWEET HOME	10,961	11,954	11,954	11,954	11,954
593	280502	SYOSSET	19,526	20,263	20,263	20,263	20,263
594	421800	SYRACUSE	10,362	11,930	11,930	11,930	11,930
595	660401	TARRYTOWN	16,455	16,449	16,449	16,449	16,449
596	220701	THOUSAND ISLAND	11,183	12,027	12,027	12,027	12,027
597	580201	THREE VILLAGE	14,277	15,887	15,887	15,887	15,887
598	151501	TICONDEROGA	13,258	13,455	13,455	13,455	13,455
599	600903	TIOGA	8,451	9,451	9,451	9,451	9,451
600	142500	TONAWANDA	9,779	10,051	10,051	10,051	10,051

Charter Funding Alphabetical By NYS School District

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
601	211901	TOWN OF WEBB	18,823	20,143	20,143	20,143	20,143
602	591201	TRI VALLEY	16,260	18,324	18,324	18,324	18,324
603	491700	TROY	13,360	15,986	15,986	15,986	15,986
604	611001	TRUMANSBURG	10,114	10,227	10,227	10,227	10,227
605	660302	TUCKAHOE	18,600	20,009	20,009	20,009	20,009
606	580913	TUCKAHOE COMMO	24,715	28,200	28,200	28,200	28,200
607	421902	TULLY	9,585	10,036	10,036	10,036	10,036
608	160101	TUPPER LAKE	10,281	11,461	11,461	11,461	11,461
609	441903	TUXEDO	18,323	17,470	17,470	17,470	17,470
610	81003	UNADILLA	10,725	11,393	11,393	11,393	11,393
611	51901	UNION SPRINGS	11,969	12,059	12,059	12,059	12,059
612	280202	UNIONDALE	18,310	19,864	19,864	19,864	19,864
613	31501	UNION-ENDICOTT	10,955	11,048	11,048	11,048	11,048
614	412300	UTICA	8,441	9,280	9,280	9,280	9,280
615	280213	V STR THIRTEEN	15,193	15,487	15,487	15,487	15,487
616	280224	V STR TWENTY-F	18,886	20,044	20,044	20,044	20,044
617	660805	VALHALLA	19,877	20,983	20,983	20,983	20,983
618	280251	VALLEY STR CHS	13,762	14,858	14,858	14,858	14,858
619	280230	VALLEY STR UF	17,598	19,277	19,277	19,277	19,277
620	441301	VALLEY-MONTGMR	9,864	11,222	11,222	11,222	11,222
621	211701	VAN HORNSVILLE	12,211	11,991	11,991	11,991	11,991
622	31601	VESTAL	11,413	12,166	12,166	12,166	12,166
623	431701	VICTOR	9,618	9,518	9,518	9,518	9,518
624	11003	VOORHEESVILLE	12,377	12,742	12,742	12,742	12,742
625	260803	W. IRONDEQUOIT	10,711	10,413	10,413	10,413	10,413
626	580302	WAINSCOTT	19,419	15,281	15,281	15,281	15,281
627	621801	WALLKILL	10,532	10,997	10,997	10,997	10,997
628	121901	WALTON	9,739	10,718	10,718	10,718	10,718
629	280223	WANTAGH	13,441	13,593	13,593	13,593	13,593
630	132101	WAPPINGERS	10,055	10,887	10,887	10,887	10,887
631	631201	WARRENSBURG	14,290	14,836	14,836	14,836	14,836
632	671501	WARSAW	12,111	11,643	11,643	11,643	11,643
633	442101	WARWICK VALLEY	11,493	12,198	12,198	12,198	12,198
634	440102	WASHINGTONVILL	11,687	11,931	11,931	11,931	11,931
635	522101	WATERFORD	12,183	12,844	12,844	12,844	12,844
636	561006	WATERLOO CENT	9,295	10,263	10,263	10,263	10,263
637	222000	WATERTOWN	7,934	8,985	8,985	8,985	8,985
638	411902	WATERVILLE	10,734	10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900
639	11200	WATERVLIET	9,070	9,404	9,404	9,404	9,404
640	550301	WATKINS GLEN	9,562	10,489	10,489	10,489	10,489
641	600101	WAVERLY	8,476	9,063	9,063	9,063	9,063
642	573002	WAYLAND-COHOCT	9,611	10,769	10,769	10,769	10,769
643	650801	WAYNE	10,781	11,034	11,034	11,034	11,034
644	261901	WEBSTER	10,427	10,872	10,872	10,872	10,872
645	50301	WEEDSPORT	10,421	11,820	11,820	11,820	11,820
646	200901	WELLS	18,500	21,823	21,823	21,823	21,823
647	22601	WELLSVILLE	11,455	11,671	11,671	11,671	11,671
648	580102	WEST BABYLON	13,840	14,849	14,849	14,849	14,849
649	210302	WEST CANADA VA	10,384	11,723	11,723	11,723	11,723
650	420101	WEST GENESEE	9,820	10,199	10,199	10,199	10,199

Charter Funding Alphabetical By NYS School District

* The FY 2013-14 rates are the same as the past three fiscal years' rates.*

	District Code	School District Name	Final 2009-10 Basic Tuition	Final 2010-11 Basic Tuition	Final 2011-12 Basic Tuition	Final 2012-13 Basic Tuition	Final 2013-14 Basic Tuition
651	280227	WEST HEMPSTEAD	14,732	16,759	16,759	16,759	16,759
652	580509	WEST ISLIP	12,679	13,799	13,799	13,799	13,799
653	142801	WEST SENECA	8,948	10,179	10,179	10,179	10,179
654	40204	WEST VALLEY	13,408	13,623	13,623	13,623	13,623
655	280401	WESTBURY	17,435	18,287	18,287	18,287	18,287
656	62901	WESTFIELD	10,983	11,891	11,891	11,891	11,891
657	580902	WESTHAMPTON BE	18,052	18,354	18,354	18,354	18,354
658	420701	WESTHILL	10,372	10,635	10,635	10,635	10,635
659	412801	WESTMORELAND	11,188	11,938	11,938	11,938	11,938
660	151601	WESTPORT	11,244	13,591	13,591	13,591	13,591
661	262001	WHEATLAND CHIL	14,442	15,259	15,259	15,259	15,259
662	170301	WHEELERVILLE	15,077	18,435	18,435	18,435	18,435
663	662200	WHITE PLAINS	18,811	19,443	19,443	19,443	19,443
664	641701	WHITEHALL	10,832	12,236	12,236	12,236	12,236
665	412902	WHITESBORO	9,505	9,886	9,886	9,886	9,886
666	22101	WHITESVILLE	10,241	10,904	10,904	10,904	10,904
667	31401	WHITNEY POINT	9,883	11,324	11,324	11,324	11,324
668	580232	WILLIAM FLOYD	13,077	14,169	14,169	14,169	14,169
669	651402	WILLIAMSON	11,381	12,113	12,113	12,113	12,113
670	140203	WILLIAMSVILLE	10,494	10,904	10,904	10,904	10,904
671	151701	WILLSBORO	13,461	15,355	15,355	15,355	15,355
672	401501	WILSON	10,584	10,386	10,386	10,386	10,386
673	191401	WINDHAM ASHLAN	14,868	18,838	18,838	18,838	18,838
674	31701	WINDSOR	9,813	10,115	10,115	10,115	10,115
675	472506	WORCESTER	10,422	12,352	12,352	12,352	12,352
676	580109	WYANDANCH	15,791	16,666	16,666	16,666	16,666
677	490804	WYNANTS KILL	10,613	11,243	11,243	11,243	11,243
678	671002	WYOMING	14,555	15,376	15,376	15,376	15,376
679	662300	YONKERS	12,006	14,523	14,523	14,523	14,523
680	241701	YORK	9,863	10,694	10,694	10,694	10,694
681	43501	YORKSHIRE-PIONE	10,566	11,406	11,406	11,406	11,406
682	662402	YORKTOWN	14,859	16,743	16,743	16,743	16,743

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Financial Statements

For the Eighteen Month Period Ended
June 30, 2014

Independent Auditors' Report

**Board of Trustees
Middle Village Preparatory Charter School**

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Middle Village Preparatory Charter School (the "School"), which comprise the statement of financial position as of June 30, 2014, and the related statements of activities and cash flows for the period January 1, 2013 (commencement of operations) through June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

O'CONNOR DAVIES, LLP

500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 301, Harrison, NY 10528 | Tel: 914.381.8900 | Fax: 914.381.8910 | www.odpkf.com

O'Connor Davies, LLP is a member firm of the PKF International Limited network of legally independent firms and does not accept any responsibility or liability for the actions or inactions on the part of any other individual member firm or firms.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Middle Village Preparatory Charter School as of June 30, 2014, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the period January 1, 2013 (commencement of operations) through June 30, 2014 in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Supplemental Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The schedule of functional expenses on page 11 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated October 16, 2014 on our consideration of Middle Village Preparatory Charter School's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Middle Village Preparatory Charter School's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

O'Connor Davies, LLP

October 16, 2014

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Statement of Financial Position June 30, 2014

ASSETS

Cash	\$ 170,362
Grants and accounts receivable	113,034
Prepaid expenses and other assets	11,599
Restricted cash	25,000
Property and equipment, net	<u>742,096</u>
	<u>\$ 1,062,091</u>

LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS

Liabilities	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 266,090
Line of credit	50,000
Loan payable	409,913
Deferred revenue	<u>30,774</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>756,777</u>
Net Assets, Unrestricted	<u>305,314</u>
	<u>\$ 1,062,091</u>

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Statement of Activities For the period January 1, 2013 (commencement of operations) through June 30, 2014

REVENUE AND SUPPORT

Public School District -	
State and local per pupil operating revenue	\$ 1,700,264
Government grants and contracts	775,390
Other income	<u>44,209</u>
Total Revenue	<u>2,519,863</u>

EXPENSES

Program services	1,782,089
Management and general	<u>432,460</u>
Total Expenses	<u>2,214,549</u>
Change in Net Assets	305,314

NET ASSETS, UNRESTRICTED

Beginning of period	<u>-</u>
End of period	<u>\$ 305,314</u>

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Statement of Cash Flows For the period January 1, 2013 (commencement of operations) through June 30, 2014

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Cash receipts from per pupil operating revenue and government grants and contracts	\$ 2,393,394
Other income	<u>44,209</u>
Total Cash Received	<u>2,437,603</u>
Cash paid for payroll and benefits	862,912
Cash paid to vendors	<u>923,404</u>
Total Cash Paid	<u>1,786,316</u>
Net Cash from Operating Activities	<u>651,287</u>

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Purchases of property and equipment	<u>(915,838)</u>
Net Cash from Investing Activities	<u>(915,838)</u>

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Borrowings from line of credit	100,000
Payments on line of credit	(50,000)
Loan payable	<u>409,913</u>
Net Cash from Financing Activities	<u>459,913</u>
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	195,362

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Beginning of period	<u>-</u>
End of period	<u>\$ 195,362</u>

RECONCILIATION OF CHANGE IN NET ASSETS TO NET CASH FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Change in net assets	\$ 305,314
Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets to net cash from operating activities:	
Depreciation and amortization	173,742
Change in operating assets and liabilities	
Grants and accounts receivable	(113,034)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(11,599)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	266,090
Deferred revenue	<u>30,774</u>
Net Cash from Operating Activities	<u>\$ 651,287</u>

See notes to financial statements

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2014

1. Organization and Tax Status

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School (the "School") is a public charter school that prepares students with the academic skills, strength of character and social and emotional well-being to excel in high school and college, to lead in their communities and to realize their best possible selves.

The School operates in Middle Village, New York. On January 1, 2013, the Board of Regents of the University of the State of New York granted the School a provisional charter valid for a term of five years and renewable upon expiration. The school opened with its first academic year in the fall of 2013 with sixth grade, and will add a grade each year until it serves sixth through eighth grade.

The School is exempt from federal income taxes under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The School's primary sources of income are from per pupil tuition and other government funding.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Use of Estimates

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP"), which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingencies, if any, at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of support and revenue and expenses during the period then ended. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Restricted Cash

Included in restricted cash is an escrow fund of \$25,000 to cover debts in the event of the School's dissolution. According to Section 2851(2)(t) of the Charter School Law, the School will maintain no less than \$75,000 in an escrow account. The escrow account will be no less than \$25,000 by April 1 of year 1 of the School's operation. By April 1 of year 2, the balance will be no less than \$50,000, and by April 1, of year 3 it will be no less than \$75,000.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is recorded at cost. Additions and improvements or betterments in excess of \$1,000 with an estimated useful life of more than one year are capitalized. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the asset or term of the related lease.

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2014

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (*continued*)

Presentation of Net Assets

The net assets of the School and changes therein are classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted and permanently restricted based on the existence or absence of donor-imposed restrictions. Unrestricted net assets are those that are not subject to donor-imposed stipulations. Temporarily restricted net assets represent contributions with donor-imposed restrictions that have not yet been satisfied or are time restricted. When a stipulated time restriction ends or a purpose restriction is accomplished, temporarily restricted net assets are reclassified to unrestricted net assets and reported in the statement of activities as net assets released from restriction. Permanently restricted net assets are those which are established by donor gifts to provide a permanent endowment. There were no temporarily or permanently restricted net assets at June 30, 2014.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from state and local governments under the charter agreement is based on the number of students enrolled, and recorded when services are performed in accordance with the charter agreement.

Revenue from federal, state and local government grants and contracts is recognized by the School when qualifying expenditures are incurred. Funds received in advance for which qualifying expenditures have not been incurred are reflected as deferred revenue in the accompanying statement of financial position.

The School follows U.S. GAAP guidance on accounting for contributions received and contributions made. Accordingly, contributed assets are recorded at fair value at date of donation. Services are recognized as revenue and assets or expenses at fair value if those services (a) create or enhance nonfinancial assets, (b) would typically need to be purchased by the School if they had not been provided by contribution or (c) require specialized skills and are provided by individuals with those skills.

A number of volunteers have made a contribution of their time to the School to develop its programs and to serve on the School's board of trustees. The value of such contributed time is not reflected in these financial statements because it does not meet the criteria for recognition.

Functional Expenses

Expenses that can be directly identified with the program or supporting service to which they relate are charged accordingly. Other expenses by function have been allocated among program and supporting service classifications based upon benefits received.

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2014

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (*continued*)

Functional Expenses (continued)

Expenses are classified according to the functional categories for which they are incurred, as follows:

General Education Program Services – represents expenses directly associated with general education.

Special Education Program Services – represents expenses directly associated with special education for certain students requiring additional attention and guidance.

Management and general – represents expenses related to the overall administration and operation of the School that are not associated with any education services or fundraising.

Marketing and Recruitment

Marketing and recruitment costs are expensed as incurred for staff and student recruitment. Marketing and recruitment costs for the eighteen month period ended June 30, 2014 was \$19,335.

Operating Leases

Operating leases are classified in accordance with the terms of the underlying agreements. Operating lease payments are charged to rental expense. Rent expense is recorded on a straight-line basis. Deferred rent is recorded when there are material differences between the fixed payment and the rent expense.

Accounting For Uncertainty in Income Taxes

The School recognizes the effect of income tax positions only when they are more likely than not to be sustained. Management has determined that the School had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition or disclosure. The School is subject to examination by applicable taxing authorities since inception in 2013.

Subsequent Events Evaluation by Management

Management has evaluated subsequent events for disclosure and/or recognition in the financial statements through October 16, 2014, which is the date that the financial statement was available to be issued.

3. Concentration of Credit Risk and Revenue

The School maintains its cash and cash equivalents in bank deposit accounts which, at times, may exceed federally insured limits.

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2014

3. Concentration of Credit Risk and Revenue (*continued*)

The School receives a majority of its revenues from the New York State Education Department through the Charter Schools Institute of the State of New York. The Charter Schools Institute of the State of New York provides general operating support to the School based upon the location and the number of students enrolled. Per pupil general education and special education support provided to the School totaled \$1,700,264 for the 18 month period ended June 30, 2014. The School is dependent upon this level of funding in order to continue its operations.

The School is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; thefts of, damage to, and destruction of assets; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The School maintains commercial insurance to protect itself from such risks and is self-insured for other risks.

The School entered into contractual relationships with certain governmental funding sources. The governmental agencies may request return of funds as a result of noncompliance by the School, as well as additional funds for the use of facilities. The accompanying financial statements make no provision for the possible disallowance or refund, because management does not believe that there are any liabilities to be recorded.

4. Grants and Accounts Receivable

Grants and accounts receivable are deemed to be fully collectible by management.

5. Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment consist of the following at June 30, 2014:

		<u>Estimated Useful Lives</u>
Computers and other equipment	\$ 225,778	3
Furniture and fixtures	179,577	7
Leasehold improvements	<u>510,483</u>	5
	915,838	
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	<u>(173,742)</u>	
	<u>\$ 742,096</u>	

6. Line of Credit

The School entered into a line of credit with Victory Education Partners ("VEP") in the amount of \$150,000 with interest rate of 3% per annum, compounded monthly, payable within the first or second year of operation. The line of credit will be used in the event that the School's cash receipts are insufficient to fund all of the cash expenditure during the fiscal year 2013 ("Start-up Year") and fiscal year 2014 ("Year 1"). The line of credit had an outstanding balance of \$50,000 at June 30, 2014. The interest expense for the eighteen month period ended June 30, 2014 was \$3,298.

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2014

7. Loan Payable

The School has a loan payable of \$409,913 to the lessor for the renovations made as per the lease agreement in Note 8. The loan is unsecured and non-interest bearing and is to be paid in full by 2018.

8. Operating leases

On February 1, 2013, the School entered into a lease agreement for a period of five years and five months terminating on June 30, 2018. The lease may be renewed for an additional five years with the same terms. The rate of rent stays flat without increase during the term of the lease, but, as the space utilization increases, the rent is proportionately increased. The rent for additional space is calculated and charged in proportion to the increase in floor space. The initial base rent per year is \$240,000 rising to \$720,000 during the last period of the lease.

Future minimum rental expense for the years ending June 30, based on anticipated square footage space, is as follows:

2015	\$ 480,000
2016	720,000
2017	720,000
2018	720,000
	<u>\$ 2,640,000</u>

9. Employee Benefit Plan

The School maintains a deferred compensation plan for all qualified employees. The School elects to make contributions to the plan on a discretionary basis. For the 18 month period ended June 30, 2014, the School contributed \$21,096 to the plan.

* * * * *

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Supplemental Information
June 30, 2014

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Schedule of Functional Expenses For the period from January 1, 2013 (commencement of operations) through June 30, 2014

	Program Services			Management and General	Total
	General Education	Special Education	Total Program Services		
Administrative staff personnel	\$ 213,287	\$ 18,056	\$ 231,343	\$ 125,343	\$ 356,686
Instructional personnel	421,524	22,476	444,000	-	444,000
Non-instructional personnel	<u>24,865</u>	<u>30,952</u>	<u>55,817</u>	<u>4,183</u>	<u>60,000</u>
Total Salaries and Related Expenses	659,676	71,485	731,160	129,526	860,686
Fringe benefits and payroll taxes	91,002	9,861	100,863	17,868	118,731
Retirement	16,115	1,746	17,862	3,164	21,026
Legal service	-	-	-	11,428	11,428
Accounting and audit services	-	-	-	68,882	68,882
Building and land rent	204,388	22,148	226,536	40,131	266,667
Facility expenses	98,579	10,682	109,261	19,356	128,617
Insurance	4,590	497	5,087	37,352	42,439
Utilities	55,185	5,980	61,165	10,835	72,000
Supplies and materials	95,259	10,323	105,582	18,704	124,286
Equipment and furnishings	9,085	984	10,069	1,784	11,853
Staff development	10,572	1,146	11,717	2,076	13,793
Marketing and recruitment	14,819	1,606	16,425	2,910	19,335
Technology	3,305	358	3,663	649	4,312
Food service	55,845	6,051	61,896	10,965	72,861
Student services	65,925	7,144	73,069	12,944	86,013
Office expense	27,075	2,934	30,009	5,316	35,325
Depreciation and amortization	133,165	14,430	147,595	26,147	173,742
Other expenses	<u>63,273</u>	<u>6,856</u>	<u>70,129</u>	<u>12,424</u>	<u>82,553</u>
Total Expenses	<u>\$ 1,607,857</u>	<u>\$ 174,232</u>	<u>\$ 1,782,089</u>	<u>\$ 432,460</u>	<u>\$ 2,214,549</u>

See independent auditors' report

**Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other
Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With
Government Auditing Standards**

Independent Auditors' Report

**Board of Trustees
Middle Village Preparatory Charter School**

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Middle Village Preparatory Charter School (the "School"), which comprise the statement of financial position as of June 30, 2014, and the related statements of activities and cash flows for the period January 1, 2013 (commencement of operations) through June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 16, 2014.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the School's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the School's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness the School's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the School's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the School's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the School's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

O'Connor Davies, LLP

October 16, 2014



Transmittal Form
Annual Financial Statement Audit Report
for SUNY Authorized Charter Schools

School Name:	Middle Village Preparatory Charter School
Date (Report is due Nov. 1):	November 1, 2014
School Fiscal Contact Name:	Christian Quezada
School Fiscal Contact Email:	[REDACTED]
School Fiscal Contact Phone:	[REDACTED]
School Audit Firm Name:	O'Connor Davies
School Audit Contact Name:	Alexander Buchholz
School Audit Contact Email:	abuchholz@odpkf.com
School Audit Contact Phone:	914.341.7074
Audit Period:	2013-14
Prior Year:	2012-13

The following items are required to be included:

- The independent auditor's report on financial statements and notes.
- Excel template file containing the Financial Position, Statement of Activities, Cash Flow and Functional Expenses worksheets.
- Reports on internal controls over financial reporting and on compliance.

The additional items listed below should be included if applicable. Please explain the reason(s) if the items are not included. Examples might include: a written management letter was not issued; the school did not expend federal funds in excess of the Single Audit Threshold of \$500,000; the management letter response will be submitted by the following date (should be no later than 30 days from the submission of the report); etc.

Item	If not included, state the reason(s) below (if not applicable fill in N/A):
Management Letter	Included
Management Letter Response	Included in corrective action plan
Form 990	Not complete yet
Federal Single Audit (A-133) ¹	Fund were not received in excess of \$500K
Corrective Action Plan	Included

Please also send an ELECTRONIC copy of: 1.) This transmittal form; 2.) Audited Financial Report; and if applicable 3.) Management Letter and Response; 4.) Federal Single Audit (A-133) ONLY to the following offices via email. A copy of the Excel file containing the four schedules Does NOT need to be included.

NYS Education Department Public School Choice Programs 89 Washington Avenue Room 462 EBA Albany, New York 12234 charterschools@mail.nysed.gov	NYS Education Department Office of Audit Services 89 Washington Avenue Room 524 EBA Room 524 EBA Albany, New York 12234 fsanda133@mail.nysed.gov
---	---

¹ A copy of the Federal Single Audit must be filed with the Federal Audit Clearinghouse. Please refer to OMB Cir

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School
Statement of Financial Position
as of June 30

<u>ASSETS</u>		<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>FIC</u>
<u>CURRENT ASSETS</u>				ny nu
Cash and cash equivalents	CSI: State, Federal or other	195362		\$-
Grants and contracts receivable		113034		-
Accounts receivables	CSI: NON GRANT	0		-
Prepaid expenses	- Due from School Districts	11599		-
Contributions and other receivables	- Due from Governments	0		-
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS		319,995		-
<u>PROPERTY, BUILDING AND EQUIPMENT, net</u>		742096		-
<u>OTHER ASSETS</u>	CSI: Operating and Capital Reserves, Deferred Costs, Investments, Due from Affiliate/CMO, Fixed Assets	0		-
TOTAL ASSETS		1,062,091		-
<u>LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS</u>				
<u>CURRENT LIABILITIES</u>				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		266090		\$-
Accrued payroll and benefits		0		-
Deferred Revenue		30774		-
Current maturities of long-term debt		0		-
Short Term Debt - Bonds, Notes Payable		0		-
Other		50000		-
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES		346,864		-
<u>LONG-TERM DEBT and NOTES PAYABLE, net current maturities</u>		409913		-
TOTAL LIABILITIES		756,777		-
<u>NET ASSETS</u>				
Unrestricted		305314		-
Temporarily restricted		0		-
TOTAL NET ASSETS		305,314		-
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS		1,062,091		-

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School
Statement of Activities
as of June 30

	2014			2013
	Unrestricted	Temporarily Restricted	Total	Total
REVENUE, GAINS AND OTHER SUPPORT				
Public School District				
Resident Student Enrollment	1603288	\$-	\$1,603,288	\$-
Students with disabilities	96976	-	96,976	-
Grants and Contracts				
State and local	113309	-	113,309	-
Federal - Title and IDEA	27945	-	27,945	-
Federal - Other	633591	-	633,591	-
Other	0	-	-	-
Food Service/Child Nutrition Program	41888	-	41,888	-
TOTAL REVENUE, GAINS AND OTHER SUPPORT	2,516,997	-	2,516,997	-
EXPENSES				
Program Services				
Regular Education	1607857	\$-	\$1,607,857	\$-
Special Education	174233	-	174,233	-
Other Programs	0	-	-	-
Total Program Services	1,782,090	-	1,782,090	-
Management and general	432460	-	432,460	-
Fundraising	-	-	-	-
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	2,214,550	-	2,214,550	-
SURPLUS / (DEFICIT) FROM SCHOOL OPERATIONS	302,447	-	302,447	-
SUPPORT AND OTHER REVENUE				
Contributions				
Foundations	0	\$-	\$-	\$-
Individuals	545	-	545	-
Corporations	0	-	-	-
Fundraising	0	-	-	-
Interest income	0	-	-	-
Miscellaneous income	2322	-	2,322	-
Net assets released from restriction	0	-	-	-
TOTAL SUPPORT AND OTHER REVENUE	2,867	-	2,867	-
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS	305,314	-	305,314	-
NET ASSETS BEGINNING OF YEAR	0	-	-	-
PRIOR YEAR/PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS	0	-	-	-
NET ASSETS END OF YEAR	\$305,314	\$-	\$305,314	\$-

ГЦ
у ну

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Statement of Cash Flows

as of June 30

	2014	2013
		*Please briefly explain at
CASH FLOWS - OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Increase (decrease) in net assets	305314	\$-
Revenues from School Districts	0	-
Accounts Receivable	0	-
Due from School Districts	0	-
Depreciation	173742	-
Grants Receivable	-113034	-
Due from NYS	0	-
Grant revenues	0	-
Prepaid Expenses	-11599	-
Accounts Payable	266090	-
Accrued Expenses	0	-
Accrued Liabilities	0	-
Contributions and fund-raising activities	0	-
Miscellaneous sources	0	-
Deferred Revenue	30774	-
Interest payments	0	-
Other	0	-
Other	0	-
NET CASH PROVIDED FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$651,287	\$-
CASH FLOWS - INVESTING ACTIVITIES	\$	\$
Purchase of equipment	-915838	-
Other	0	-
NET CASH PROVIDED FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES	\$(915,838)	\$-
CASH FLOWS - FINANCING ACTIVITIES	\$	\$
Principal payments on long-term debt	409913	-
Other	50000	-
NET CASH PROVIDED FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES	\$459,913	\$-
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	\$195,362	\$-
Cash at beginning of year	0	-
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR	\$195,362	\$-

ПЧ
у ну

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School
Statement of Functional Expenses
as of June 30

		2014					
		Program Services				Supporting Service	
	No. of Positions	Regular Education	Special Education	Other Education	Total	Fund-raising	Management and General
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Personnel Services Costs							
Administrative Staff Personnel	5	213287	18056	-	231,343	-	125343
Instructional Personnel	8	421524	22476	-	444,000	-	0
Non-Instructional Personnel	-	24865	30952	-	55,817	-	4183
Total Salaries and Staff	13.00	659,676	71,484	-	731,160	-	129,526
Fringe Benefits & Payroll Taxes		91002	9861	-	100,863	-	17868
Retirement		16115	1746	-	17,861	-	3164
Management Company Fees		0	0	-	-	-	0
Legal Service		0	0	-	-	-	11428
Accounting / Audit Services		0	0	-	-	-	68882
Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting Services		0	0	-	-	-	0
Building and Land Rent / Lease		204387	22151	-	226,538	-	40130
Repairs & Maintenance		98579	10682	-	109,261	-	19356
Insurance		4590	497	-	5,087	-	37352
Utilities		55185	5980	-	61,165	-	10835
Supplies / Materials		95259	10323	-	105,582	-	18704
Equipment / Furnishings		9085	984	-	10,069	-	1784
Staff Development		10572	1146	-	11,718	-	2076
Marketing / Recruitment		14819	1606	-	16,425	-	2910
Technology		3305	358	-	3,663	-	649
Food Service		55845	6051	-	61,896	-	10965
Student Services		65925	7144	-	73,069	-	12944
Office Expense		27075	2934	-	30,009	-	5316
Depreciation		133165	14430	-	147,595	-	26147
OTHER		63273	6856	-	70,129	-	12424
Total Expenses		\$1,607,857	\$174,233	\$-	\$1,782,090	\$-	\$432,460

2013		
S		
Total	Total	
\$	\$	\$
125,343	356,686	-
-	444,000	-
4,183	60,000	-
129,526	860,686	-
17,868	118,731	-
3,164	21,025	-
-	-	-
11,428	11,428	-
68,882	68,882	-
-	-	-
40,130	266,668	-
19,356	128,617	-
37,352	42,439	-
10,835	72,000	-
18,704	124,286	-
1,784	11,853	-
2,076	13,794	-
2,910	19,335	-
649	4,312	-
10,965	72,861	-
12,944	86,013	-
5,316	35,325	-
26,147	173,742	-
12,424	82,553	-
\$432,460	\$2,214,550	\$-

Appendix E: Disclosure of Financial Interest Form

Created Friday, June 27, 2014

Updated Tuesday, August 12, 2014

Page 1

342400861048 MIDDLE VILLAGE PREP CS

An Appendix E: Disclosure of Financial Interest Form must be completed for each active Trustee who served on the charter school's Board of Trustees during the 2013-14 school year. Trustees are at times difficult to track down in the summer months. Trustees may complete and submit at their leisure (but before the deadline) their individual form at:

<http://fluidsurveys.com/surveys/vickie-smith/appendix-e-trustee-disclosure-form/>. Trustees may download and/or email their forms to you upon completion.

Trustees who are technologically advanced may complete the survey using their smartphones or other mobile devices by downloading the this bar code link to the survey <https://fluidsurveys.com/account/surveys/540612/publish/qrcode/>. (Make sure you have the bar code application reader on your phone).

If a Trustee is unable to complete the form by the deadline (i.e, out of the country), the school is responsible for submitting the information required on the form for that individual trustee.

Just send the links via email today to your Trustees requesting that they each complete their form as soon as possible.
Thank you.

Yes, each member of the school's Board of Trustees has received a link to the Disclosure of Financial Interest Form.

Yes

Thank you.

Appendix F: BOT Membership Table

Created Tuesday, July 22, 2014

Page 1

342400861048 MIDDLE VILLAGE PREP CS

1. Current Board Member Information

	Full Name of Individual Trustees	Position on Board (Officer or Rep).	Voting Member	Area of Expertise &/or Additional Role	Terms Served & Length (include date of election and expiration)	Committee affiliations
1	Josephine Lume	Chair/President	Yes	Finance		
2	Serphim R. Maltese	Vice Chair/Vice President	Yes	Fundraising		
3	Rosemary DeGennaro	Secretary	Yes	Academic		
4	Laura O'Gorman	Member	Yes	Academic		
5	Deborah Kueber	Member	Yes	Fundraising		
6	Maureen Campbell	Member	Yes	Academic		
7	Kaiko Hayes	Member	Yes	Fundraising		
8	Michael Michel	Other	No	Finance		
9	Margaret Ognibene	Treasurer	Yes	Finance		

2. Total Number of Members Joining Board during the 2013-14 school year

0

3. Total Number of Members Departing the Board during the 2013-14 school year

0

4. According to the School's by-laws, what is the maximum number of trustees that may comprise the governing board?

9

5. How many times did the Board meet during the 2013-14 school year?

9

6. How many times will the Board meet during the 2014-15 school year?

Thank you.



MIDDLE VILLAGE PREPARATORY CHARTER SCHOOL

WHERE EVERY CHILD IS OUR...
...**MOST VALUED PERSON**

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

JOSEPHINE LUME
CHAIRPERSON

SERPHIN R. MALTESE
VICE-CHAIRPERSON

MARGARET OGNIBENE
TREASURER

ROSEMARY DEGENNARO
SECRETARY

MAUREEN CAMPBELL

KAIKO HAYES

DEBBIE KUEBER

MICHAEL MICHEL

LAURA O'GORMAN

RONALD RIVERA
PRINCIPAL

CHRISTIAN QUEZADA
DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS

July 17, 2014

To the State Charter Center
Progress Report
ENROLLMENT AND RETENTION EFFORTS

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School advertised on February, 2013 to recruit students from District 24 Q in Queens, N.Y. Newspaper articles and advertising pieces that were mailed or distributed to parents clearly stated that MVP would "serve all students".

In our first year, we were able to recruit 11 Special Education students and 1 ELL child to join our total school population of 120 students. Approximately 10% of our student body in the first year fell into these two categories of students. In our second year, we have recruited an increase number of IEP students (19 new IEP students) and approximately 10 new ELL students.

Our recruitment efforts for year one, which not only included mailings, brochure distributions and school visitations to PTA meetings also resulted in a Reduced – Free Lunch school population of approximately 50 % in our first year. For the coming year 2014- 2015, many more students in the Reduced- Free category have applied and have been accepted, with an anticipated increased enrollment of about 60% for September, 2014.

For the future, we will rigorously continue our mailing and school visitation approaches in order to maintain and increase our Enrollment and Retention Efforts for IEP and ELL students.

Mr. Ronald E. Rivera
Principal, MVP Charter School

Appendix I: Teacher and Administrator Attrition

Created Friday, July 18, 2014

Page 1

Charter School Name: 342400861048 MIDDLE VILLAGE PREP CS

Instructions for completing the Teacher and Administrator Attrition Tables

Board of Regents-authorized charter schools should provide, for teachers and administrators only, the full time equivalent (FTE) of staff on June 30, 2013, the FTE for added staff from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, and the FTE for any departed staff from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014 using the two tables provided.

2013-14 Teacher Attrition Table

FTE Teachers on June 30, 2013	FTE Teachers Additions 7/1/13 – 6/30/14	FTE Teacher Departures 7/1/13 – 6/30/14
8	0	0

2013-14 Administrator Position Attrition Table

FTE Administrator Positions On 6/30/2013	FTE Administrator Additions 7/1/13 – 6/30/14	FTE Administrator Departures 7/1/13 – 6/30/14
3	0	0

Thank you

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Middle Village Preparatory Charter School as of June 30, 2014, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the period January 1, 2013 (commencement of operations) through June 30, 2014 in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Supplemental Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The schedule of functional expenses on page 11 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated October 16, 2014 on our consideration of Middle Village Preparatory Charter School's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Middle Village Preparatory Charter School's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

O'Connor Davies, LLP

October 16, 2014

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Statement of Financial Position June 30, 2014

ASSETS

Cash	\$ 170,362
Grants and accounts receivable	113,034
Prepaid expenses and other assets	11,599
Restricted cash	25,000
Property and equipment, net	<u>742,096</u>
	<u>\$ 1,062,091</u>

LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS

Liabilities	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 266,090
Line of credit	50,000
Loan payable	409,913
Deferred revenue	<u>30,774</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>756,777</u>
Net Assets, Unrestricted	<u>305,314</u>
	<u>\$ 1,062,091</u>

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Statement of Activities For the period January 1, 2013 (commencement of operations) through June 30, 2014

REVENUE AND SUPPORT

Public School District -	
State and local per pupil operating revenue	\$ 1,700,264
Government grants and contracts	775,390
Other income	<u>44,209</u>
Total Revenue	<u>2,519,863</u>

EXPENSES

Program services	1,782,089
Management and general	<u>432,460</u>
Total Expenses	<u>2,214,549</u>
Change in Net Assets	305,314

NET ASSETS, UNRESTRICTED

Beginning of period	<u>-</u>
End of period	<u>\$ 305,314</u>

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Statement of Cash Flows For the period January 1, 2013 (commencement of operations) through June 30, 2014

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Cash receipts from per pupil operating revenue and government grants and contracts	\$ 2,393,394
Other income	<u>44,209</u>
Total Cash Received	<u>2,437,603</u>
Cash paid for payroll and benefits	862,912
Cash paid to vendors	<u>923,404</u>
Total Cash Paid	<u>1,786,316</u>
Net Cash from Operating Activities	<u>651,287</u>

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Purchases of property and equipment	<u>(915,838)</u>
Net Cash from Investing Activities	<u>(915,838)</u>

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Borrowings from line of credit	100,000
Payments on line of credit	(50,000)
Loan payable	<u>409,913</u>
Net Cash from Financing Activities	<u>459,913</u>
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	195,362

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Beginning of period	<u>-</u>
End of period	<u>\$ 195,362</u>

RECONCILIATION OF CHANGE IN NET ASSETS TO NET CASH FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Change in net assets	\$ 305,314
Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets to net cash from operating activities:	
Depreciation and amortization	173,742
Change in operating assets and liabilities	
Grants and accounts receivable	(113,034)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(11,599)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	266,090
Deferred revenue	<u>30,774</u>
Net Cash from Operating Activities	<u>\$ 651,287</u>

See notes to financial statements

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2014

1. Organization and Tax Status

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School (the "School") is a public charter school that prepares students with the academic skills, strength of character and social and emotional well-being to excel in high school and college, to lead in their communities and to realize their best possible selves.

The School operates in Middle Village, New York. On January 1, 2013, the Board of Regents of the University of the State of New York granted the School a provisional charter valid for a term of five years and renewable upon expiration. The school opened with its first academic year in the fall of 2013 with sixth grade, and will add a grade each year until it serves sixth through eighth grade.

The School is exempt from federal income taxes under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The School's primary sources of income are from per pupil tuition and other government funding.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Use of Estimates

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP"), which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingencies, if any, at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of support and revenue and expenses during the period then ended. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Restricted Cash

Included in restricted cash is an escrow fund of \$25,000 to cover debts in the event of the School's dissolution. According to Section 2851(2)(t) of the Charter School Law, the School will maintain no less than \$75,000 in an escrow account. The escrow account will be no less than \$25,000 by April 1 of year 1 of the School's operation. By April 1 of year 2, the balance will be no less than \$50,000, and by April 1, of year 3 it will be no less than \$75,000.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is recorded at cost. Additions and improvements or betterments in excess of \$1,000 with an estimated useful life of more than one year are capitalized. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the asset or term of the related lease.

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2014

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (*continued*)

Presentation of Net Assets

The net assets of the School and changes therein are classified as unrestricted, temporarily restricted and permanently restricted based on the existence or absence of donor-imposed restrictions. Unrestricted net assets are those that are not subject to donor-imposed stipulations. Temporarily restricted net assets represent contributions with donor-imposed restrictions that have not yet been satisfied or are time restricted. When a stipulated time restriction ends or a purpose restriction is accomplished, temporarily restricted net assets are reclassified to unrestricted net assets and reported in the statement of activities as net assets released from restriction. Permanently restricted net assets are those which are established by donor gifts to provide a permanent endowment. There were no temporarily or permanently restricted net assets at June 30, 2014.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from state and local governments under the charter agreement is based on the number of students enrolled, and recorded when services are performed in accordance with the charter agreement.

Revenue from federal, state and local government grants and contracts is recognized by the School when qualifying expenditures are incurred. Funds received in advance for which qualifying expenditures have not been incurred are reflected as deferred revenue in the accompanying statement of financial position.

The School follows U.S. GAAP guidance on accounting for contributions received and contributions made. Accordingly, contributed assets are recorded at fair value at date of donation. Services are recognized as revenue and assets or expenses at fair value if those services (a) create or enhance nonfinancial assets, (b) would typically need to be purchased by the School if they had not been provided by contribution or (c) require specialized skills and are provided by individuals with those skills.

A number of volunteers have made a contribution of their time to the School to develop its programs and to serve on the School's board of trustees. The value of such contributed time is not reflected in these financial statements because it does not meet the criteria for recognition.

Functional Expenses

Expenses that can be directly identified with the program or supporting service to which they relate are charged accordingly. Other expenses by function have been allocated among program and supporting service classifications based upon benefits received.

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2014

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (*continued*)

Functional Expenses (continued)

Expenses are classified according to the functional categories for which they are incurred, as follows:

General Education Program Services – represents expenses directly associated with general education.

Special Education Program Services – represents expenses directly associated with special education for certain students requiring additional attention and guidance.

Management and general – represents expenses related to the overall administration and operation of the School that are not associated with any education services or fundraising.

Marketing and Recruitment

Marketing and recruitment costs are expensed as incurred for staff and student recruitment. Marketing and recruitment costs for the eighteen month period ended June 30, 2014 was \$19,335.

Operating Leases

Operating leases are classified in accordance with the terms of the underlying agreements. Operating lease payments are charged to rental expense. Rent expense is recorded on a straight-line basis. Deferred rent is recorded when there are material differences between the fixed payment and the rent expense.

Accounting For Uncertainty in Income Taxes

The School recognizes the effect of income tax positions only when they are more likely than not to be sustained. Management has determined that the School had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition or disclosure. The School is subject to examination by applicable taxing authorities since inception in 2013.

Subsequent Events Evaluation by Management

Management has evaluated subsequent events for disclosure and/or recognition in the financial statements through October 16, 2014, which is the date that the financial statement was available to be issued.

3. Concentration of Credit Risk and Revenue

The School maintains its cash and cash equivalents in bank deposit accounts which, at times, may exceed federally insured limits.

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2014

3. Concentration of Credit Risk and Revenue (*continued*)

The School receives a majority of its revenues from the New York State Education Department through the Charter Schools Institute of the State of New York. The Charter Schools Institute of the State of New York provides general operating support to the School based upon the location and the number of students enrolled. Per pupil general education and special education support provided to the School totaled \$1,700,264 for the 18 month period ended June 30, 2014. The School is dependent upon this level of funding in order to continue its operations.

The School is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; thefts of, damage to, and destruction of assets; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The School maintains commercial insurance to protect itself from such risks and is self-insured for other risks.

The School entered into contractual relationships with certain governmental funding sources. The governmental agencies may request return of funds as a result of noncompliance by the School, as well as additional funds for the use of facilities. The accompanying financial statements make no provision for the possible disallowance or refund, because management does not believe that there are any liabilities to be recorded.

4. Grants and Accounts Receivable

Grants and accounts receivable are deemed to be fully collectible by management.

5. Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment consist of the following at June 30, 2014:

		<u>Estimated Useful Lives</u>
Computers and other equipment	\$ 225,778	3
Furniture and fixtures	179,577	7
Leasehold improvements	<u>510,483</u>	5
	915,838	
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	<u>(173,742)</u>	
	<u>\$ 742,096</u>	

6. Line of Credit

The School entered into a line of credit with Victory Education Partners ("VEP") in the amount of \$150,000 with interest rate of 3% per annum, compounded monthly, payable within the first or second year of operation. The line of credit will be used in the event that the School's cash receipts are insufficient to fund all of the cash expenditure during the fiscal year 2013 ("Start-up Year") and fiscal year 2014 ("Year 1"). The line of credit had an outstanding balance of \$50,000 at June 30, 2014. The interest expense for the eighteen month period ended June 30, 2014 was \$3,298.

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2014

7. Loan Payable

The School has a loan payable of \$409,913 to the lessor for the renovations made as per the lease agreement in Note 8. The loan is unsecured and non-interest bearing and is to be paid in full by 2018.

8. Operating leases

On February 1, 2013, the School entered into a lease agreement for a period of five years and five months terminating on June 30, 2018. The lease may be renewed for an additional five years with the same terms. The rate of rent stays flat without increase during the term of the lease, but, as the space utilization increases, the rent is proportionately increased. The rent for additional space is calculated and charged in proportion to the increase in floor space. The initial base rent per year is \$240,000 rising to \$720,000 during the last period of the lease.

Future minimum rental expense for the years ending June 30, based on anticipated square footage space, is as follows:

2015	\$ 480,000
2016	720,000
2017	720,000
2018	720,000
	<u>\$ 2,640,000</u>

9. Employee Benefit Plan

The School maintains a deferred compensation plan for all qualified employees. The School elects to make contributions to the plan on a discretionary basis. For the 18 month period ended June 30, 2014, the School contributed \$21,096 to the plan.

* * * * *

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Supplemental Information
June 30, 2014

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Schedule of Functional Expenses For the period from January 1, 2013 (commencement of operations) through June 30, 2014

	Program Services			Management and General	Total
	General Education	Special Education	Total Program Services		
Administrative staff personnel	\$ 213,287	\$ 18,056	\$ 231,343	\$ 125,343	\$ 356,686
Instructional personnel	421,524	22,476	444,000	-	444,000
Non-instructional personnel	<u>24,865</u>	<u>30,952</u>	<u>55,817</u>	<u>4,183</u>	<u>60,000</u>
Total Salaries and Related Expenses	659,676	71,485	731,160	129,526	860,686
Fringe benefits and payroll taxes	91,002	9,861	100,863	17,868	118,731
Retirement	16,115	1,746	17,862	3,164	21,026
Legal service	-	-	-	11,428	11,428
Accounting and audit services	-	-	-	68,882	68,882
Building and land rent	204,388	22,148	226,536	40,131	266,667
Facility expenses	98,579	10,682	109,261	19,356	128,617
Insurance	4,590	497	5,087	37,352	42,439
Utilities	55,185	5,980	61,165	10,835	72,000
Supplies and materials	95,259	10,323	105,582	18,704	124,286
Equipment and furnishings	9,085	984	10,069	1,784	11,853
Staff development	10,572	1,146	11,717	2,076	13,793
Marketing and recruitment	14,819	1,606	16,425	2,910	19,335
Technology	3,305	358	3,663	649	4,312
Food service	55,845	6,051	61,896	10,965	72,861
Student services	65,925	7,144	73,069	12,944	86,013
Office expense	27,075	2,934	30,009	5,316	35,325
Depreciation and amortization	133,165	14,430	147,595	26,147	173,742
Other expenses	<u>63,273</u>	<u>6,856</u>	<u>70,129</u>	<u>12,424</u>	<u>82,553</u>
Total Expenses	<u>\$ 1,607,857</u>	<u>\$ 174,232</u>	<u>\$ 1,782,089</u>	<u>\$ 432,460</u>	<u>\$ 2,214,549</u>

See independent auditors' report

**Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other
Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With
Government Auditing Standards**

Independent Auditors' Report

**Board of Trustees
Middle Village Preparatory Charter School**

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Middle Village Preparatory Charter School (the "School"), which comprise the statement of financial position as of June 30, 2014, and the related statements of activities and cash flows for the period January 1, 2013 (commencement of operations) through June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 16, 2014.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the School's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the School's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness the School's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the School's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the School's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the School's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

O'Connor Davies, LLP

October 16, 2014



Transmittal Form
Annual Financial Statement Audit Report
for SUNY Authorized Charter Schools

School Name:	Middle Village Preparatory Charter School
Date (Report is due Nov. 1):	November 1, 2014
School Fiscal Contact Name:	Christian Quezada
School Fiscal Contact Email:	[REDACTED]
School Fiscal Contact Phone:	[REDACTED]
School Audit Firm Name:	O'Connor Davies
School Audit Contact Name:	Alexander Buchholz
School Audit Contact Email:	abuchholz@odpkf.com
School Audit Contact Phone:	914.341.7074
Audit Period:	2013-14
Prior Year:	2012-13

The following items are required to be included:

- The independent auditor's report on financial statements and notes.
- Excel template file containing the Financial Position, Statement of Activities, Cash Flow and Functional Expenses worksheets.
- Reports on internal controls over financial reporting and on compliance.

The additional items listed below should be included if applicable. Please explain the reason(s) if the items are not included. Examples might include: a written management letter was not issued; the school did not expend federal funds in excess of the Single Audit Threshold of \$500,000; the management letter response will be submitted by the following date (should be no later than 30 days from the submission of the report); etc.

Item	If not included, state the reason(s) below (if not applicable fill in N/A):
Management Letter	Included
Management Letter Response	Included in corrective action plan
Form 990	Not complete yet
Federal Single Audit (A-133) ¹	Fund were not received in excess of \$500K
Corrective Action Plan	Included

Please also send an ELECTRONIC copy of: 1.) This transmittal form; 2.) Audited Financial Report; and if applicable 3.) Management Letter and Response; 4.) Federal Single Audit (A-133) ONLY to the following offices via email. A copy of the Excel file containing the four schedules Does NOT need to be included.

NYS Education Department Public School Choice Programs 89 Washington Avenue Room 462 EBA Albany, New York 12234 charterschools@mail.nysed.gov	NYS Education Department Office of Audit Services 89 Washington Avenue Room 524 EBA Room 524 EBA Albany, New York 12234 fsanda133@mail.nysed.gov
---	---

¹ A copy of the Federal Single Audit must be filed with the Federal Audit Clearinghouse. Please refer to OMB Cir

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School
Statement of Financial Position
as of June 30

<u>ASSETS</u>		<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>FIC</u>
<u>CURRENT ASSETS</u>				ny nu
Cash and cash equivalents	CSI: State, Federal or other	195362		\$-
Grants and contracts receivable		113034		-
Accounts receivables	CSI: NON GRANT	0		-
Prepaid expenses	- Due from School Districts	11599		-
Contributions and other receivables	- Due from Governments	0		-
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS		319,995		-
<u>PROPERTY, BUILDING AND EQUIPMENT, net</u>		742096		-
<u>OTHER ASSETS</u>	CSI: Operating and Capital Reserves, Deferred Costs, Investments, Due from Affiliate/CMO, Fixed Assets	0		-
TOTAL ASSETS		1,062,091		-
<u>LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS</u>				
<u>CURRENT LIABILITIES</u>				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		266090		\$-
Accrued payroll and benefits		0		-
Deferred Revenue		30774		-
Current maturities of long-term debt		0		-
Short Term Debt - Bonds, Notes Payable		0		-
Other		50000		-
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES		346,864		-
<u>LONG-TERM DEBT and NOTES PAYABLE, net current maturities</u>		409913		-
TOTAL LIABILITIES		756,777		-
<u>NET ASSETS</u>				
Unrestricted		305314		-
Temporarily restricted		0		-
TOTAL NET ASSETS		305,314		-
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS		1,062,091		-

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School
Statement of Activities
as of June 30

	2014			2013
	Unrestricted	Temporarily Restricted	Total	Total
REVENUE, GAINS AND OTHER SUPPORT				
Public School District				
Resident Student Enrollment	1603288	\$-	\$1,603,288	\$-
Students with disabilities	96976	-	96,976	-
Grants and Contracts				
State and local	113309	-	113,309	-
Federal - Title and IDEA	27945	-	27,945	-
Federal - Other	633591	-	633,591	-
Other	0	-	-	-
Food Service/Child Nutrition Program	41888	-	41,888	-
TOTAL REVENUE, GAINS AND OTHER SUPPORT	2,516,997	-	2,516,997	-
EXPENSES				
Program Services				
Regular Education	1607857	\$-	\$1,607,857	\$-
Special Education	174233	-	174,233	-
Other Programs	0	-	-	-
Total Program Services	1,782,090	-	1,782,090	-
Management and general	432460	-	432,460	-
Fundraising	-	-	-	-
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	2,214,550	-	2,214,550	-
SURPLUS / (DEFICIT) FROM SCHOOL OPERATIONS	302,447	-	302,447	-
SUPPORT AND OTHER REVENUE				
Contributions				
Foundations	0	\$-	\$-	\$-
Individuals	545	-	545	-
Corporations	0	-	-	-
Fundraising	0	-	-	-
Interest income	0	-	-	-
Miscellaneous income	2322	-	2,322	-
Net assets released from restriction	0	-	-	-
TOTAL SUPPORT AND OTHER REVENUE	2,867	-	2,867	-
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS	305,314	-	305,314	-
NET ASSETS BEGINNING OF YEAR	0	-	-	-
PRIOR YEAR/PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS	0	-	-	-
NET ASSETS END OF YEAR	\$305,314	\$-	\$305,314	\$-

ГЦ
у ну

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School

Statement of Cash Flows

as of June 30

	2014	2013
		*Please briefly explain at
CASH FLOWS - OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Increase (decrease) in net assets	305314	\$-
Revenues from School Districts	0	-
Accounts Receivable	0	-
Due from School Districts	0	-
Depreciation	173742	-
Grants Receivable	-113034	-
Due from NYS	0	-
Grant revenues	0	-
Prepaid Expenses	-11599	-
Accounts Payable	266090	-
Accrued Expenses	0	-
Accrued Liabilities	0	-
Contributions and fund-raising activities	0	-
Miscellaneous sources	0	-
Deferred Revenue	30774	-
Interest payments	0	-
Other	0	-
Other	0	-
NET CASH PROVIDED FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$651,287	\$-
CASH FLOWS - INVESTING ACTIVITIES	\$	\$
Purchase of equipment	-915838	-
Other	0	-
NET CASH PROVIDED FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES	\$(915,838)	\$-
CASH FLOWS - FINANCING ACTIVITIES	\$	\$
Principal payments on long-term debt	409913	-
Other	50000	-
NET CASH PROVIDED FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES	\$459,913	\$-
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	\$195,362	\$-
Cash at beginning of year	0	-
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR	\$195,362	\$-

ПЧ
у ну

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School
Statement of Functional Expenses
as of June 30

		2014					
		Program Services				Supporting Service	
	No. of Positions	Regular Education	Special Education	Other Education	Total	Fund-raising	Management and General
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Personnel Services Costs							
Administrative Staff Personnel	5	213287	18056	-	231,343	-	125343
Instructional Personnel	8	421524	22476	-	444,000	-	0
Non-Instructional Personnel	-	24865	30952	-	55,817	-	4183
Total Salaries and Staff	13.00	659,676	71,484	-	731,160	-	129,526
Fringe Benefits & Payroll Taxes		91002	9861	-	100,863	-	17868
Retirement		16115	1746	-	17,861	-	3164
Management Company Fees		0	0	-	-	-	0
Legal Service		0	0	-	-	-	11428
Accounting / Audit Services		0	0	-	-	-	68882
Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting Services		0	0	-	-	-	0
Building and Land Rent / Lease		204387	22151	-	226,538	-	40130
Repairs & Maintenance		98579	10682	-	109,261	-	19356
Insurance		4590	497	-	5,087	-	37352
Utilities		55185	5980	-	61,165	-	10835
Supplies / Materials		95259	10323	-	105,582	-	18704
Equipment / Furnishings		9085	984	-	10,069	-	1784
Staff Development		10572	1146	-	11,718	-	2076
Marketing / Recruitment		14819	1606	-	16,425	-	2910
Technology		3305	358	-	3,663	-	649
Food Service		55845	6051	-	61,896	-	10965
Student Services		65925	7144	-	73,069	-	12944
Office Expense		27075	2934	-	30,009	-	5316
Depreciation		133165	14430	-	147,595	-	26147
OTHER		63273	6856	-	70,129	-	12424
Total Expenses		\$1,607,857	\$174,233	\$-	\$1,782,090	\$-	\$432,460

2013		
S		
Total	Total	
\$	\$	\$
125,343	356,686	-
-	444,000	-
4,183	60,000	-
129,526	860,686	-
17,868	118,731	-
3,164	21,025	-
-	-	-
11,428	11,428	-
68,882	68,882	-
-	-	-
40,130	266,668	-
19,356	128,617	-
37,352	42,439	-
10,835	72,000	-
18,704	124,286	-
1,784	11,853	-
2,076	13,794	-
2,910	19,335	-
649	4,312	-
10,965	72,861	-
12,944	86,013	-
5,316	35,325	-
26,147	173,742	-
12,424	82,553	-
\$432,460	\$2,214,550	\$-

Appendix E: Disclosure of Financial Interest Form

Created Friday, June 27, 2014

Updated Tuesday, August 12, 2014

Page 1

342400861048 MIDDLE VILLAGE PREP CS

An Appendix E: Disclosure of Financial Interest Form must be completed for each active Trustee who served on the charter school's Board of Trustees during the 2013-14 school year. Trustees are at times difficult to track down in the summer months. Trustees may complete and submit at their leisure (but before the deadline) their individual form at:

<http://fluidsurveys.com/surveys/vickie-smith/appendix-e-trustee-disclosure-form/>. Trustees may download and/or email their forms to you upon completion.

Trustees who are technologically advanced may complete the survey using their smartphones or other mobile devices by downloading the this bar code link to the survey <https://fluidsurveys.com/account/surveys/540612/publish/qrcode/>. (Make sure you have the bar code application reader on your phone).

If a Trustee is unable to complete the form by the deadline (i.e, out of the country), the school is responsible for submitting the information required on the form for that individual trustee.

Just send the links via email today to your Trustees requesting that they each complete their form as soon as possible.
Thank you.

Yes, each member of the school's Board of Trustees has received a link to the Disclosure of Financial Interest Form.

Yes

Thank you.

Appendix F: BOT Membership Table

Created Tuesday, July 22, 2014

Page 1

342400861048 MIDDLE VILLAGE PREP CS

1. Current Board Member Information

	Full Name of Individual Trustees	Position on Board (Officer or Rep).	Voting Member	Area of Expertise &/or Additional Role	Terms Served & Length (include date of election and expiration)	Committee affiliations
1	Josephine Lume	Chair/President	Yes	Finance		
2	Serphim R. Maltese	Vice Chair/Vice President	Yes	Fundraising		
3	Rosemary DeGennaro	Secretary	Yes	Academic		
4	Laura O'Gorman	Member	Yes	Academic		
5	Deborah Kueber	Member	Yes	Fundraising		
6	Maureen Campbell	Member	Yes	Academic		
7	Kaiko Hayes	Member	Yes	Fundraising		
8	Michael Michel	Other	No	Finance		
9	Margaret Ognibene	Treasurer	Yes	Finance		

2. Total Number of Members Joining Board during the 2013-14 school year

0

3. Total Number of Members Departing the Board during the 2013-14 school year

0

4. According to the School's by-laws, what is the maximum number of trustees that may comprise the governing board?

9

5. How many times did the Board meet during the 2013-14 school year?

9

6. How many times will the Board meet during the 2014-15 school year?

Thank you.



MIDDLE VILLAGE PREPARATORY CHARTER SCHOOL

WHERE EVERY CHILD IS OUR...
...**MOST VALUED PERSON**

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

JOSEPHINE LUME
CHAIRPERSON

SERPHIN R. MALTESE
VICE-CHAIRPERSON

MARGARET OGNIBENE
TREASURER

ROSEMARY DEGENNARO
SECRETARY

MAUREEN CAMPBELL

KAIKO HAYES

DEBBIE KUEBER

MICHAEL MICHEL

LAURA O'GORMAN

RONALD RIVERA
PRINCIPAL

CHRISTIAN QUEZADA
DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS

July 17, 2014

To the State Charter Center
Progress Report
ENROLLMENT AND RETENTION EFFORTS

Middle Village Preparatory Charter School advertised on February, 2013 to recruit students from District 24 Q in Queens, N.Y. Newspaper articles and advertising pieces that were mailed or distributed to parents clearly stated that MVP would "serve all students".

In our first year, we were able to recruit 11 Special Education students and 1 ELL child to join our total school population of 120 students. Approximately 10% of our student body in the first year fell into these two categories of students. In our second year, we have recruited an increase number of IEP students (19 new IEP students) and approximately 10 new ELL students.

Our recruitment efforts for year one, which not only included mailings, brochure distributions and school visitations to PTA meetings also resulted in a Reduced – Free Lunch school population of approximately 50 % in our first year. For the coming year 2014- 2015, many more students in the Reduced- Free category have applied and have been accepted, with an anticipated increased enrollment of about 60% for September, 2014.

For the future, we will rigorously continue our mailing and school visitation approaches in order to maintain and increase our Enrollment and Retention Efforts for IEP and ELL students.

Mr. Ronald E. Rivera
Principal, MVP Charter School

Appendix I: Teacher and Administrator Attrition

Created Friday, July 18, 2014

Page 1

Charter School Name: 342400861048 MIDDLE VILLAGE PREP CS

Instructions for completing the Teacher and Administrator Attrition Tables
Board of Regents-authorized charter schools should provide, for teachers and administrators only, the full time equivalent (FTE) of staff on June 30, 2013, the FTE for added staff from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, and the FTE for any departed staff from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014 using the two tables provided.

2013-14 Teacher Attrition Table

FTE Teachers on June 30, 2013	FTE Teachers Additions 7/1/13 – 6/30/14	FTE Teacher Departures 7/1/13 – 6/30/14
8	0	0

2013-14 Administrator Position Attrition Table

FTE Administrator Positions On 6/30/2013	FTE Administrator Additions 7/1/13 – 6/30/14	FTE Administrator Departures 7/1/13 – 6/30/14
3	0	0

Thank you

Required Form: 2013-14 Appendix E - Disclosure of Financial Interest Form

Created Thursday, July 31, 2014

<https://fluidsurveys.com/account/surveys/540612/responses/export//surveys/vickie-smith/appendix-e-trustee-disclosure-form/d7879>

Page 1

Please open the link to this form using **Google Chrome** as your browser. Doing so will allow you to input your signature on page 2 of the form. Thank you.

1. Trustee Name:

Michael Michel

2. Charter School Name:

Middle Village Preparatory CS

3. Charter Authorizer:

SUNY

4. *Your Home Address:

4. *Your Home Address: | Street Address

4. *Your Home Address: | City/State

4. *Your Home Address: | Zip

5. *Your Business Address

5. *Your Business Address | Street Address

5. *Your Business Address | City/State

5. *Your Business Address | Zip

6. *Daytime Phone Number:

7

7. *E-mail Address:

8. Select all positions you held on Board:

(check all that apply)

-
- Other, please specify...: Founder
-

9. Are you a trustee and also an employee of the school?

No

10. Are you a trustee and an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter school?

No

13. Have you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house had an interest in or engaged in a transaction with the charter school during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service?

No

14. Are you a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with school that is doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or relationship?

No

Signature of Trustee

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael Minc". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style. The first name "Michael" is written with a large, sweeping 'M' and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right. The last name "Minc" is written with a large, sweeping 'M' and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Required Form: 2013-14 Appendix E - Disclosure of Financial Interest Form

Created Thursday, July 31, 2014

<https://fluidsurveys.com/account/surveys/540612/responses/export//surveys/vickie-smith/appendix-e-trustee-disclosure-form/1aef9>

Page 1

Please open the link to this form using **Google Chrome** as your browser. Doing so will allow you to input your signature on page 2 of the form. Thank you.

1. Trustee Name:

Loriann Temkin

2. Charter School Name:

Middle Village Preparatory CS

3. Charter Authorizer:

SUNY

4. *Your Home Address:

4. *Your Home Address: | Street Address

4. *Your Home Address: | City/State

4. *Your Home Address: | Zip

5. *Your Business Address

5. *Your Business Address | Street Address

5. *Your Business Address | City/State

5. *Your Business Address | Zip

6. *Daytime Phone Number:

7. *E-mail Address:

8. Select all positions you held on Board:

(check all that apply)

-
- Parent Representative
-

9. Are you a trustee and also an employee of the school?

No

10. Are you a trustee and an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter school?

No


13. Have you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house had an interest in or engaged in a transaction with the charter school during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service?

No

14. Are you a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with school that is doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or relationship?

No

Signature of Trustee

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lauren Jenkins". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a large initial 'L' and a distinct 'J' at the end.

Required Form: 2013-14 Appendix E - Disclosure of Financial Interest Form

Created Thursday, July 31, 2014

<https://fluidsurveys.com/account/surveys/540612/responses/export//surveys/vickie-smith/appendix-e-trustee-disclosure-form/67589>

Page 1

Please open the link to this form using **Google Chrome** as your browser. Doing so will allow you to input your signature on page 2 of the form. Thank you.

1. Trustee Name:

Josephine Lume

2. Charter School Name:

Middle Village Preparatory CS

3. Charter Authorizer:

SUNY

4. *Your Home Address:

4. *Your Home Address: | Street Address

4. *Your Home Address: | City/State

4. *Your Home Address: | Zip

5. *Your Business Address

5. *Your Business Address | Street Address

5. *Your Business Address | City/State

5. *Your Business Address | Zip

6. *Daytime Phone Number:

7. *E-mail Address:

8. Select all positions you held on Board:

(check all that apply)

-
- Chair/President
-

9. Are you a trustee and also an employee of the school?

No

10. Are you a trustee and an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter school?

No

Page 2

13. Have you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house had an interest in or engaged in a transaction with the charter school during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service?

No

14. Are you a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with school that is doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or relationship?

No

Signature of Trustee

Required Form: 2013-14 Appendix E - Disclosure of Financial Interest Form

Created Thursday, July 31, 2014

<https://fluidsurveys.com/account/surveys/540612/responses/export//surveys/vickie-smith/appendix-e-trustee-disclosure-form/614ca>

Page 1

Please open the link to this form using **Google Chrome** as your browser. Doing so will allow you to input your signature on page 2 of the form. Thank you.

1. Trustee Name:

Debbie Kueber

2. Charter School Name:

Middle Village Preparatory CS

3. Charter Authorizer:

SUNY

4. *Your Home Address:

4. *Your Home Address: | Street Address

4. *Your Home Address: | City/State

4. *Your Home Address: | Zip

5. *Your Business Address

5. *Your Business Address | Street Address

5. *Your Business Address | City/State

5. *Your Business Address | Zip

6. *Daytime Phone Number:

7. *E-mail Address:

8. Select all positions you held on Board:

(check all that apply)

-
- Other, please specify...: Trustee
-

9. Are you a trustee and also an employee of the school?

No

10. Are you a trustee and an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter school?

No

Page 2

13. Have you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house had an interest in or engaged in a transaction with the charter school during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service?

No

14. Are you a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with school that is doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or relationship?

No

Signature of Trustee

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Valent", written across the page.

Required Form: 2013-14 Appendix E - Disclosure of Financial Interest Form

Created Thursday, July 31, 2014

<https://fluidsurveys.com/account/surveys/540612/responses/export//surveys/vickie-smith/appendix-e-trustee-disclosure-form/cbb62>

Page 1

Please open the link to this form using **Google Chrome** as your browser. Doing so will allow you to input your signature on page 2 of the form. Thank you.

1. Trustee Name:

Serphin R. Maltese

2. Charter School Name:

Middle Village Preparatory CS

3. Charter Authorizer:

SUNY

4. *Your Home Address:

4. *Your Home Address: | Street Address

4. *Your Home Address: | City/State

4. *Your Home Address: | Zip

5. *Your Business Address

5. *Your Business Address | Street Address

5. *Your Business Address | City/State

5. *Your Business Address | Zip

6. *Daytime Phone Number:

7. *E-mail Address:

8. Select all positions you held on Board:

(check all that apply)

-
- Vice Chair/Vice President
-

9. Are you a trustee and also an employee of the school?

No

10. Are you a trustee and an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter school?

No

13. Have you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house had an interest in or engaged in a transaction with the charter school during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service?

No

14. Are you a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with school that is doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or relationship?

No

Signature of Trustee

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dennis M. [unclear]", written in a cursive style.

Required Form: 2013-14 Appendix E - Disclosure of Financial Interest Form

Created Thursday, July 31, 2014

<https://fluidsurveys.com/account/surveys/540612/responses/export//surveys/vickie-smith/appendix-e-trustee-disclosure-form/0a850>

Page 1

Please open the link to this form using **Google Chrome** as your browser. Doing so will allow you to input your signature on page 2 of the form. Thank you.

1. Trustee Name:

Kaiko Hayes

2. Charter School Name:

Middle Village Preparatory CS

3. Charter Authorizer:

SUNY

4. *Your Home Address:

4. *Your Home Address: | Street Address

4. *Your Home Address: | City/State

4. *Your Home Address: | Zip

5. *Your Business Address

5. *Your Business Address | Street Address

5. *Your Business Address | City/State

5. *Your Business Address | Zip

6. *Daytime Phone Number:

7. *E-mail Address:

8. Select all positions you held on Board:

(check all that apply)

-
- Other, please specify...: Trustee
-

9. Are you a trustee and also an employee of the school?

No

10. Are you a trustee and an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter school?

No


13. Have you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house had an interest in or engaged in a transaction with the charter school during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service?

No

14. Are you a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with school that is doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or relationship?

No

Signature of Trustee

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Hank Hager". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with some loops and a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Required Form: 2013-14 Appendix E - Disclosure of Financial Interest Form

Created Thursday, July 31, 2014

<https://fluidsurveys.com/account/surveys/540612/responses/export//surveys/vickie-smith/appendix-e-trustee-disclosure-form/7f0d6>

Page 1

Please open the link to this form using **Google Chrome** as your browser. Doing so will allow you to input your signature on page 2 of the form. Thank you.

1. Trustee Name:

Laura O'Gorman

2. Charter School Name:

Middle Village Preparatory CS

3. Charter Authorizer:

SUNY

4. *Your Home Address:

4. *Your Home Address: | Street Address

4. *Your Home Address: | City/State

4. *Your Home Address: | Zip

5. *Your Business Address

5. *Your Business Address | Street Address

5. *Your Business Address | City/State

5. *Your Business Address | Zip

6. *Daytime Phone Number:

7. *E-mail Address:

8. Select all positions you held on Board:

(check all that apply)

-
- Other, please specify...: Trustee
-

9. Are you a trustee and also an employee of the school?

No

10. Are you a trustee and an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter school?

No

13. Have you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house had an interest in or engaged in a transaction with the charter school during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service?

No

14. Are you a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with school that is doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or relationship?

No

Signature of Trustee

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dane D. Gorn", written on a white background.

Required Form: 2013-14 Appendix E - Disclosure of Financial Interest Form

Created Thursday, July 31, 2014

<https://fluidsurveys.com/account/surveys/540612/responses/export//surveys/vickie-smith/appendix-e-trustee-disclosure-form/99434>

Page 1

Please open the link to this form using **Google Chrome** as your browser. Doing so will allow you to input your signature on page 2 of the form. Thank you.

1. Trustee Name:

Margaret V. Ognibene

2. Charter School Name:

Middle Village Preparatory CS

3. Charter Authorizer:

SUNY

4. *Your Home Address:

4. *Your Home Address: | Street Address

4. *Your Home Address: | City/State

4. *Your Home Address: | Zip

5. *Your Business Address

5. *Your Business Address | Street Address

5. *Your Business Address | City/State

5. *Your Business Address | Zip

6. *Daytime Phone Number:

7. *E-mail Address:

8. Select all positions you held on Board:

(check all that apply)

-
- Treasurer
-

9. Are you a trustee and also an employee of the school?

No

10. Are you a trustee and an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter school?

No


13. Have you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house had an interest in or engaged in a transaction with the charter school during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service?

No

14. Are you a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with school that is doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or relationship?

No

Signature of Trustee

Two handwritten signatures in black ink. The signature on the left is 'Morgan' followed by a stylized flourish. The signature on the right is 'D. J. [unclear]' followed by a long horizontal line.

Required Form: 2013-14 Appendix E - Disclosure of Financial Interest Form

Created Thursday, July 31, 2014

<https://fluidsurveys.com/account/surveys/540612/responses/export//surveys/vickie-smith/appendix-e-trustee-disclosure-form/8aa97>

Page 1

Please open the link to this form using **Google Chrome** as your browser. Doing so will allow you to input your signature on page 2 of the form. Thank you.

1. Trustee Name:

Rosemary DeGennaro

2. Charter School Name:

Middle Village Preparatory CS

3. Charter Authorizer:

SUNY

4. *Your Home Address:

4. *Your Home Address: | Street Address

4. *Your Home Address: | City/State

4. *Your Home Address: | Zip

5. *Your Business Address

5. *Your Business Address | Street Address

5. *Your Business Address | City/State

5. *Your Business Address | Zip

6. *Daytime Phone Number:

7. *E-mail Address:

8. Select all positions you held on Board:

(check all that apply)

-
- Secretary
-

9. Are you a trustee and also an employee of the school?

No

10. Are you a trustee and an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter school?

No


13. Have you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house had an interest in or engaged in a transaction with the charter school during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service?

No

14. Are you a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with school that is doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or relationship?

No

Signature of Trustee

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Rosemary T. O'Connell". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a large initial "R" and a distinct "T" and "O".

Required Form: 2013-14 Appendix E - Disclosure of Financial Interest Form

Created Thursday, July 31, 2014

<https://fluidsurveys.com/account/surveys/540612/responses/export//surveys/vickie-smith/appendix-e-trustee-disclosure-form/38738>

Page 1

Please open the link to this form using **Google Chrome** as your browser. Doing so will allow you to input your signature on page 2 of the form. Thank you.

1. Trustee Name:

Maureen Campbell

2. Charter School Name:

Middle Village Preparatory CS

3. Charter Authorizer:

SUNY

4. *Your Home Address:

4. *Your Home Address: | Street Address

4. *Your Home Address: | City/State

4. *Your Home Address: | Zip

5. *Your Business Address

5. *Your Business Address | Street Address

5. *Your Business Address | City/State

5. *Your Business Address | Zip

6. *Daytime Phone Number:

7. *E-mail Address:

8. Select all positions you held on Board:

(check all that apply)

-
- Other, please specify...: Trustee
-

9. Are you a trustee and also an employee of the school?

No

10. Are you a trustee and an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter school?

No


13. Have you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house had an interest in or engaged in a transaction with the charter school during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service?

No

14. Are you a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with school that is doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or relationship?

No

Signature of Trustee

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. C. [unclear]", written across the page.